1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	_
Page 1	Page 3
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE	1 INDEX
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND	
FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA	
	3 Direct Examination by MS. FORTUNATO34
CASE NO.: 2017-013616-FC-04 Michelle Watts O/B/O	4 Cross-Examination by MS. DIENSTAG68
Jacob Gantine.	5 TESTIMONY OF DR. ELIZABETH HOOPER
	6 Voir Dire Examination by MS. DIENSTAG97
Petitioner,	7 Voir Dire Examination by MS. FORTUNADO100
and	8 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
and	
Michael J.M. Gantine,	9
Respondent.	11 EXHIBITS
HEARING BEFORE: Honorable Marcia B. Caballero	12 EXHIBIT NO. PAGE RECEIVED
DATE: June 20, 2017	13 PETITIONER'S 1 40
TIME: 1:58 p.m 5:44 p.m.	14 PETITIONER'S 2 41
LOCATION: Miami-Dade County Children's Courthouse	15 PETITIONER'S 3 41
155 Northwest 3rd Street Miami, FL 33128	16 PETITIONER'S 4 58
REPORTER: Patricia J. Feucht, RPR	17 PETITIONER'S 5 73
Stenograph Shorthand Reporter and	
Notary Public, State of Florida	18 PETITIONER'S 6 105
at Large.	19RESPONDENTS A83
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	25
Page 2	Page 4
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES:	1 PROCEEDINGS
1 APPEARANCES: 2	_
1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 3 MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO	1 PROCEEDINGS
1 APPEARANCES: 2	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 *******
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 	 PROCEEDINGS ******** THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed?
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******** 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******** 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument.
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions.
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER 7 CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court.
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday,
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CONTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday,
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CONTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings,
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great.
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CONTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had
1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 3 3 MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 4 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 5 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com 6 Counsel for the PETITIONER 7 CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 9 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 10 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com 11 Counsel for the RESPONDENT 12 ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready 4 to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to 6 have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this
 APPEARANCES: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this 21 hearing to let him know what happened, the outcome of
 A P P E A R A N C E S: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this
 APPEARANCES: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE 	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this 21 hearing to let him know what happened, the outcome of
APPEARANCES: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the status of matters over there. I understand that there 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this 21 hearing to let him know what happened, the outcome of this hearing.
APPEARANCES: MELODY RIDGLEY FORTUNATO OF: FORTUNATO & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12 SOUTHEAST 7th STREET SUITE 711 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301 mrf@mfortunatolaw.com Counsel for the PETITIONER CYNTHIA JILL DIENSTAG OF: CYNTHIA J. DIENSTAG, P.A. 150 WEST FLAGLER STREET SUITE 2710 MIAMI, FL 33130 cjdpa1@aol.com Counsel for the RESPONDENT ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE ALSO PRESENT: MICHELLE WATTS and MICHAEL GANTINE	1 PROCEEDINGS 2 ******* 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. So are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to have opening argument. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead with petitions. 8 NS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am. Before we get 9 started, I want to make sure that under 61.517, this 10 Court has contacted the Illinois Court. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I did. I spoke to the judge 12 yesterday. We tried to connect last week, Thursday, 13 Friday. We weren't able to because of our hearings, 14 but we finally spoke yesterday. 15 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Great. 16 THE COURT: So he's informed me well on the 17 status of matters over there. I understand that there 18 was going to be a hearing, that the mother had 19 withdrawn her motion. It was not heard. And I'm 20 supposed to call him back today or tomorrow after this 21 hearing to let him know what happened, the outcome of 22 MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 5		Page 7
1	THE CLERK: Excuse me, Judge. Did you call the	1	claiming that the child was born in Indiana with the
2	case number?	2	services of a midwife, although the child was actually
3	THE COURT: Oh, no. Let's do that.	3	born in West Palm Beach. After obtaining the birth
4	THE CLERK: Case No. 2017-13378-FC-04 and also	4	certificate, she enrolled the child under an alias in
5	2017-013616-FC-04.	5	the state of Washington. There is an ongoing forgery
6	THE COURT: Thank you. And if you can announce	6	investigation based on that birth certificate, case
7	for the record, because they'll be calling us to ask	7	No. 17-02552.
8	us to tell you to do that. So if you would do that,	8	On January 8th, 2016, Judge Else of Illinois
9	please.	9	issued a warrant for the arrest of the mother and held
10	MS. FORTUNATO: Melody Ridgley Fortunato on	10	her in civil contempt. The father requested for the
11	behalf of the mother, who's present.	11	Court to transfer custody to him and relocation of the
12	THE COURT: Thank you.	12	child, and the motions were granted in January of
13	MS. DIENSTAG: Cynthia Dienstag on behalf of the	13	2016. On February, again, of 2016, the motions were
14	respondent, Michael Gantine, who is present to my	14	granted. And on March 18th, 2016, the judge entered
15	left.	15	another order clarifying that all law enforcement
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	16	officers were ordered to locate the child and transfer
17	MS. DIENSTAG: The timeline of events relative to	17	possession to the father.
18	this case, April 20th, 2015, the mother requested a	18	On May 18th, 2016, the father filed a missing
19	permanent domestic violence injunction in DuPage	19	child report. As a result of that report and months
20	County, which is in Illinois. The mother was ordered	20	and months of joint work between the Seattle,
21	to it was denied. The mother was ordered to work	21	Washington, and Bellevue Police Department and the
22	with the father on a reunification plan.	22	Illinois DuPage County Sheriff's Office, they finally
23	On April 20th, 2015, a guardian ad litem, Thomas	23	found the child 18 months later.
24	Kenny, who is the subject of my motion to request that	24	On January 30th, 2017, the mother, having already
25	you listen to his testimony via telephone and he	25	been advised of the investigation and the court
	Page 6		Page 8
1	has I have supplemented it that he's Mr. Kenny,	1	orders, instead of going back to Illinois and doing
2	who is an attorney licensed to practice in Illinois,	2	whatever she was going to do to vacate those orders,
3	is in hearing. But he's available to speak to you,	3	she files another ex parte temporary order for
4	and he has been the guardian ad litem of the child	4	protection in the state of Washington.
5	since 2015.	5	THE COURT: And right before that, I know in
6	THE COURT: Well, I've read all the transcripts.	6	January I have a timeline as well that I've done.
7	I've reviewed the dockets. I've reviewed the orders.	7	January 27th, the child was actually picked up and
8	I know what his testimony was, so I know what his	8	returned to the father.
9	involvement has been. I know what his recommendations	9	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. The mother purportedly
10	were in the other hearing.	10	gave, again, the Court false information that she
11	MS. DIENSTAG: A therapist was appointed by the	11	under a temporary injunction. On February 6th after
12	Court, Mark Goldstein, which was assigned by the	12	obtaining the ex parte order from Washington, the
13	Illinois Court to assist in the reunification process.	13	mother came to Florida and obtained a pickup order
14	Although the mother attempted to hire a psychologist	14	from a Miami judge and took the child back to
15	privately to testify, that was not agreed to by the	15	Washington.
16	father. The Court didn't appoint that psychologist.	16	On February 13th after the judge heard arguments
17	I think she's going to try to do the same thing here.	17	in Washington, the judge removed the child from the
18	There was a court-appointed psychologist, Mark	18	mother on an order of protection and ordered the
19	Goldstein, who also testified consistent with the	19	mother to return the child back to the father. The
20	information that I'm providing the Court.	20	Court declined to exercise jurisdiction over the
21	Between August and December of 2015, the mother	21	child, because Illinois retained exclusive
	missed three different court hearings in the state of	22	jurisdiction.
22	Wheele And a method between A to UD to 1		
23	Illinois. And sometime between August and December of	23	On April 7th, a conference was held between the
	Illinois. And sometime between August and December of 2015, she obtained a new birth certificate through the child through the health department of Indiana,	23 24 25	Washington and Illinois Court after the mother requested the Washington Court exercise jurisdiction.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1 2	Page 9		Page 11
	We're seeing a pattern here of, again, what happened	1	says: "Since reuniting with the petitioner, he has
	in Miami. The Illinois Court expressly reserved and	2	disclosed" that's what the mother is saying that
3	retained its exclusive and continuing jurisdiction	3	the son said when she kidnapped him back. He had
4	over the parties, and Illinois stated its desire to	4	disclosed to his mother that he constantly prayed over
5	retain jurisdiction.	5	the three-month period in hopes that she or the police
6	On May 23rd and this is where we're getting	6	were coming for him.
7	close to why we're here in Miami the judge in	7	He also has displayed anxious behaviors, easily
8	Washington denied a request for the order for	8	scared by abrupt noises, and is extremely clingy to
9	protection from the mother; therefore, the order that	9	his mother. Based on the aforementioned incidents,
10	she had that caused the pickup order here had been	10	the petitioner/mother is seeking an injunction to
11	dismissed already by May 23rd. And you're going to	11	restrain the respondent from further contact. The
12	see the time in which she filed, which is so	12	petitioner/mother is also requesting custody.
13	important, which is why, clearly, there's fraud upon	13	Now, again, on the four corners of this document,
14	the court.	14	I hardly think those allegations would prevail on a
15	THE COURT: She was sanctioned also.	15	motion to dismiss based upon that it's not reasonable
16	MS. DIENSTAG: The judge also not only did she	16	fear, nor would it be the basis for granting exclusive
17	deny with very strong findings and sanctioning the	17	jurisdiction under an emergency basis.
18	mother, but the judge also entered sanctions finding	18	But we're still not past that. The mother also,
19	that the pleadings were meritless and, based on the	19	after May 30th what she did is that and I don't
20	testimony and the arguments of counsel, found that the	20	know if Your Honor has seen it, but it's very, very
21	mother was attempting to frustrate the enforcement of	21	important. I know that you talked to the judge. But
22	law enforcement officers and made specific findings	22	she files an emergency motion, because there was
23	about the allegations that the mother made about the	23	supposed to be a hearing in Illinois on June 19th in
24	father.	24	which she was seeking to now set aside everything.
25	On May 30th, 2017, the mother again committed	25	And she was going to then ask that you know, the
	Page 10		Page 12
1	fraud upon the Florida Court in seeking to exercise	1	move to strike and get her child back.
2	emergency jurisdiction. And why I said this last	2	
		-	She files an emergency motion to cancel that
3	time, if you look at the May 31st petition for	3	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so
4	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner	3 4	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her
4 5	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the	3 4 5	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive
4 5 6	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the	3 4 5 6	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing.
4 5 6 7	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for	3 4 5 6 7	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told
4 5 6 7 8	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is	3 4 5 6 7 8	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been
4 5 6 7 8 9	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her
4 5 7 8 9 10	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petiton, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first several paragraphs is reiterate what we already know	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois Court.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first several paragraphs is reiterate what we already know and was already argued in Illinois and already argued	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois Court. But if that's not even enough, so now
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first several paragraphs is reiterate what we already know and was already argued in Illinois and already argued in Washington. And what she's asking the Court to do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois Court. But if that's not even enough, so now everything and now she withdrew all her petitions
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first several paragraphs is reiterate what we already know and was already argued in Illinois and already argued in Washington. And what she's asking the Court to do and the whole basis for this emergency jurisdiction is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois Court. But if that's not even enough, so now everything and now she withdrew all her petitions to set everything aside. So now we truly have a writ
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	injunction, it says on the last line: "The petitioner states that" this is in the injunction filed by the mother in Florida. "The petitioner states that the respondent is vindictive and abusive. She fears for the safety and the welfare of their child. She is requesting an injunction to restrain the respondent from contact and custody of their child," and again files one of the five DCF investigations she has previously filed. But she was a little worried that she was on shaky ground. So if it wasn't enough fraud, what happened on June 2nd is she files another petition here so that the Florida Court could retain emergency jurisdiction, as she thought. And she filed another petition, but this time on behalf of the minor child. And we could what she does in the first several paragraphs is reiterate what we already know and was already argued in Illinois and already argued in Washington. And what she's asking the Court to do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hearing saying that you told her she had to be here so she couldn't be there and that Dade County granted her 100 percent custody and that we had an extensive hearing. So now when she has a chance to do what she told the Court that should have happened and that has been pending since the beginning of 2016, she withdraws her petition and files an emergency motion, also committing fraud saying that you you know, which is what she tried to do with you where she said you told her she has to stay here. And you specifically at the end of that hearing told the mother she doesn't have to stay here in Miami-Dade County. Only the child does. She then, walking out of the court, signs a verified motion saying that she has to be here to take care of her child, so she can't be there to go before the Illinois Court. But if that's not even enough, so now everything and now she withdrew all her petitions

1 2	Page 13		Page 15
	of exclusive jurisdiction that would differ from those	1	will take her in a different direction. But at this
_	court orders by the own hands of the mother.	2	point, I told her if you have no concerns for the
3	But if that wasn't enough, being a bad week, on	3	father, then I don't think you really need to go into
4	May 31st, 2017, in Washington, I guess, I can only	4	dependency. But that's your call, because DCF makes
5	infer that the mother's attorney, Monica Chin, files	5	those decisions. So I just want to inform you before
6	her notice of intent to withdraw.	6	we present any evidence on these two petitions.
7	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection, Your Honor. If she	7	Now, is it the petitioner's wish to continue?
8	can only infer	8	She wants to proceed with these two petitions?
9	THE COURT: I know the status of the case.	9	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am.
10	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. On May 31st, 2017, the	10	THE COURT: All right. So it's your burden. I'm
11	attorney representing the mother in Washington files	11	going to give you an opportunity to take more
12	her notice of intent to withdraw. So I have witnesses	12	testimony.
13	here. You know, I haven't seen anything from DCF that	13	MS. DIENSTAG: And, Your Honor, I just want to
14	would indicate that there are there's been nothing	14	state that Judge Whiteshawl (phonetic) of Washington
15	filed of abuse allegations.	15	is also available and would, I'm sure, reiterate what
16	THE COURT: I need to I'd like to now take	16	Judge Else had told the Court.
17	over. I gave you an opportunity to give me what you	17	THE COURT: I tried to speak to Commissioner
18	felt was your timeline. I've gone through all of	18	Hillman and Judge Thorp, I believe is her name.
19	these pleadings. I have my own timeline written out,	19	MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor.
20	which has in essence everything you stated. I did	20	THE COURT: And I was told that I had to wait for
21	speak, as I stated to mom's counsel, to Judge Else	21	the senior judge or administrative judge of the family
22	yesterday extensively.	22	division. I wasn't able to speak to him, but I've
23	I also had the opportunity I had been trying	23	seen the pleadings. I've seen the orders. I'm
24	to speak to the CPI since last week. She had to get	24	familiar with the order Judge Thorp entered, which is
25	an authorization from her supervisor to be able to	25	very detailed as to what has transpired for her to
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 14		Page 16
1	speak to me, because normally, they just send us the	1	reach her decision regarding jurisdiction.
2	report. But she has 60 days to write her report.	2	I also I'm familiar with Commissioner
3	It's only been a couple of weeks, so she doesn't have	3	Hillman's order of May 23rd where he delineates why he
4	it finished. But I was able to speak to her today.	4	felt also that there was no jurisdiction and that the
5	They gave her authorization to speak with me.		
		5	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her
6	So I spoke to her this morning, and she informed	5 6	
6 7	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open		mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she
7 8	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't	6 7 8	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and
7	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or	6 7 8 9	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed.
7 8 9 10	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by	6 7 8 9 10	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much.
7 8 9 10 11	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made	6 7 8 9 10 11	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to
7 8 9 10 11 12	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Fortunato.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice of well, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice of well, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child under those that action over there. And I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice ofwell, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got out of court. A subpoena for hearing, for trial,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child under those that action over there. And I explained to her that there is an ongoing case over	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice ofwell, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got out of court. A subpoena for hearing, for trial, Elizabeth B. Hooper, PsyD.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child under those that action over there. And I explained to her that there is an ongoing case over there and that the mother has filed pleadings and that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice ofwell, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got out of court. A subpoena for hearing, for trial, Elizabeth B. Hooper, PsyD. So I have no idea who that person was. So when I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child under those that action over there. And I explained to her that there is an ongoing case over there and that the mother has filed pleadings and that they're litigating still over the custody of his child	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice ofwell, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got out of court. A subpoena for hearing, for trial, Elizabeth B. Hooper, PsyD. So I have no idea who that person was. So when I got to the office about an hour ago, I started
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me that she has no concerns. It's still an open investigation, but she doesn't find she hasn't found any evidence whatsoever. No signs of neglect or child abuse. The child was examined on Thursday by CPT, and there were no recommendations made whatsoever. No findings made by the CPT investigator. So she intends to file her notice of closing out with no findings, but she is also now looking into the whole situation. So I don't know if there will be a dependency case or not at this time, because I did advise her about the status. I told her about the case that's now before me and that I had spoken to the judge in Illinois who has jurisdiction over the child under those that action over there. And I explained to her that there is an ongoing case over there and that the mother has filed pleadings and that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 mom had not met her obligations with regards to her claim for domestic violence against the father and dismissed her petition there and why he found that she should be sanctioned. So I'm well-informed and ready to proceed. MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. THE COURT: So I'm going to turn it over to MS. Fortunato. MS. FORTUNATO: My first witness is Dr. Hooper. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we object to this witness. Last night, opposing counsel, after the close of business, sent a subpoena on notice ofwell, sorry. I don't want to misstate. I have it here, because I printed it this morning after I got out of court. A subpoena for hearing, for trial, Elizabeth B. Hooper, PsyD. So I have no idea who that person was. So when I

	Page 17	Page 19
1	Ms. Hooper to find out if she was somehow associated.	1 MS. DIENSTAG: Right. But it was after the
2	Because the mother had indicated that there was going	2 filing of the petitions. And she is either she's
3	to be a psychologist from DCF, so I wanted to see the	 not a fact witness, and she's also not she can't
4	nature of Ms. Hooper and whether or not she was	4 testify as an expert, because she's never been
	related somehow to the investigation. Ms. Hooper did	
5		
6	not respond to me. She did not respond to my client.	6 client given her permission to see the child, treat
7	She has no authority by DCF, by my client, or me to	7 the child, or evaluate the child. And neither has the
8	see the child or to have anything to do with the	8 Court given permission for a psychologist to evaluate
9	child.	9 the child.
10	I now upon for the first time when opposing	10 MS. FORTUNATO: There is no trial order. This is
11	I met opposing counsel right before the hearing. She	11 not family court. This is domestic violence court,
12	indicates to me that she's a private psychologist.	12 and the rules are different. And if we want to bring
13	And so she's going to elicit testimony, and it would	13 an expert in to testify on domestic violence, it's
14	be fretful to think that opposing counsel would have	14 within our right to do so.
15	had anything to do with cooperating and having the	15 THE COURT: But you have to disclose it.
16	child seen without court order by a psychologist or	16 MS. FORTUNATO: No.
17	evaluated without the permission of the father or the	17 THE COURT: Yes.
18	Court. And so I would feel that there would be	18 MS. FORTUNATO: There's no trial order. Under
19	absolutely no basis for this person to testify, and I	19 what authority do we have to disclose it? There is no
20	would find it hard to believe opposing counsel would	20 trial order. I don't know their witnesses sitting out
21	even seek to introduce her as a witness.	21 there either. Neither one of us has disclosed any
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.	22 witnesses in this case. None at all.
23	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	And the rule your court doesn't have any rules
24	MS. FORTUNATO: Dr. Hooper is here to testify.	24 on disclosing any witnesses prior to domestic violence
25	She is a psychologist, a PsyD. She examined the	25 hearings. We don't have that. We haven't received
	Page 18	Page 20
1	child. She tested the child, and she also reported	1 any order that anything has to be disclosed such as a
1 2	child. She tested the child, and she also reported information to Department of Children and Family	 any order that anything has to be disclosed such as a trial order where you have to disclose your experts.
	· · · ·	
2	information to Department of Children and Family	2 trial order where you have to disclose your experts.
2 3	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things
2 3 4	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court.	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered,
2 3 4 5	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our
2 3 4 5 6	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this
2 3 4 5 6 7	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case.	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time.	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence, we're not here on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're here on the issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. MS. DIENSTAG: She couldn't have seen him before 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of the child. And if opposing counsel is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. MS. DIENSTAG: She couldn't have seen him before then. 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of the child. And if opposing counsel is going to have her testify on an evaluation that she undertook
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. MS. FORTUNATO: 6/7/2017. THE COURT: So that's, I think, before MS. DIENSTAG: After the filing of both petitions 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're not here on the issue of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of the child. And if opposing counsel is going to have her testify on an evaluation that she undertook of the child, then she's not acting as an expert witness. And you did not order this child be evaluated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. MS. FORTUNATO: 6/7/2017. THE COURT: So that's, I think, before MS. DIENSTAG: After the filing of both petitions for injunction. 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're not here on the issue of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of the child. And if opposing counsel is going to have her testify on an evaluation that she undertook of the child, then she's not acting as an expert witness. And you did not order this child be evaluated. MS. FORTUNATO: Under what rule and what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 information to Department of Children and Family Services, as she's required. This is not family court. This is domestic violence court. I also have the child available to testify if the Court should want the child to testify. Again, this is not family court, so we don't need the usual parameters of the family court rules. But he is also available if necessary, but she needs to testify as to her findings and what she did in this case. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I move to strike. But I would like counsel to clarify that the therapist has seen the child since the last hearing for the first time. THE COURT: Is that true? When did this therapist get involved? MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know. She saw him then. MS. FORTUNATO: 6/7/2017. THE COURT: So that's, I think, before MS. DIENSTAG: After the filing of both petitions 	 trial order where you have to disclose your experts. You have to disclose your trial exhibits and things like that. We don't have that. That wasn't ordered, so we're not in violation of any order. She's our expert, which we're entitled to bring forward to this Court under the domestic violence rules. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, first of all, with regards to Florida statutes, Florida statutes apply to domestic violence cases. And so if she's an expert witness, what is she an expert witness relating to? THE COURT: Civil rules of procedure. MS. DIENSTAG: Either she's a fact witness or an expert witness. The Court doesn't need to know about what domestic violence is. So her testifying as an expert of domestic violence. We're not here on the issue of domestic violence. We're here on the issue of the child. And if opposing counsel is going to have her testify on an evaluation that she undertook of the child, then she's not acting as an expert witness. And you did not order this child be evaluated.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Page 24

Page 21	Page 23
1 not have a trial order.	1 hearing. Not one.
2 THE COURT: But the rules of procedure apply to	2 MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, it would not first
3 domestic violence court as well.	³ of all, it's either a fact or it's an expert.
4 MS. FORTUNATO: What procedure? Have her give us	4 THE COURT: Well, she says that she's not a fact
5 the rule. There is no rule that we have to disclose	5 witness.
6 witnesses before any domestic violence hearing. None	6 MS. DIENSTAG: So I would like opposing counsel
7 whatsoever.	7 to indicate the nature of the expert testimony that
8 MS. DIENSTAG: The rules and the law say that	8 the witness will opine.
9 when a child is going to be evaluated	9 THE COURT: Okay. Yes. If you could proffer to
10 MS. FORTUNATO: What rule? What law?	10 the Court what this expert is going to do.
11 THE COURT: Don't speak over each other, so we	11 MS. FORTUNATO: She has evaluated the minor child
12 can have a clear record. You have a court reporter	12 with testing to determine trauma related to the child
13 here.	and child abuse. She's also investigated this through
14 MS. DIENSTAG: I had no notice of an intent to	14 the previous physicians or psychologists that have
15 have the child evaluated. Had I been notified that	15 treated the minor child in Illinois and Washington.
16 the child was going to be taken without the consent of	16 She's gone through a significant amount of lengthy
17 the father to be seen by a psychologist or without the	17 history of abuse and what's happened in this case.
18 consent of the Court, then I would have moved to	18 She, evaluating the child, found certain
19 strike. But I had been given no notification of an	19 conditions of the child; that there's certain
20 intent to call a psychologist who would be called as a	20 recommendations that she makes with regard to this
21 fact witness who has first seen the child after the	child and what he needs to do to reunify with this
22 petitions for domestic violence were filed.	22 child; that there's a significant history of domestic
23 So if she is a fact witness, she has nothing to	23 violence. There's a significant history of abuse to
say that would verify what the mother alleged in her	the child, and this child is experiencing the trauma
25 petitions that occurred by June 2nd. Everything	at this very moment. And there's certain

Page 22

1	within the petitions for domestic violence, she said	1	recommendations that she makes to make this transition
2	occurred prior to June 2nd. If this fact witness	2	better for this child and so that the Court can act in
3	never saw the child until June 7th, then she's not	3	the best interests of the child.
4	only she has no relevant testimony to the	4	MS. DIENSTAG: Again, Your Honor, anything that
5	allegations and the petitions before the Court. And	5	the
6	the Florida statutes do apply with regards to the	6	MS. FORTUNATO: If I could not be interrupted. I
7	rules of utilizing psychologists for purposes of	7	have a report that she has done. It's 12 pages long.
8	evaluation of minor children.	8	So it gives the overall of what she's done, if the
9	MS. FORTUNATO: Expert witness, she is. No one	9	Court would be inclined to look at that.
10	said she was a fact witness. She's an expert witness	10	MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, this would be based on
11	in this case for our side. There's no rules that we	11	hearsay. First of all, we're moving forward on a
12	have to disclose it on a domestic violence hearing in	12	petition for injunction that was filed on May 31st and
13	domestic violence court. There's no rule. There's no	13	June 2nd. The allegations as contained in the
14	procedural rule through the county. There's no	14	petition are very clear as to the allegations of the
15	statutory rule. And under the court rules, there is	15	mother as to what she is filing on behalf of herself
16	none.	16	and the child. None of those are included within
17	In fact, I can also bring the child in here.	17	the none of that would have been the basis of any
18	Because that's outside the family law rules to	18	investigation or report that somebody who never met
19	testify, because this is on behalf of the minor child.	19	the child or the mother before June 7th would be able
20	It does not prevent us from getting a psychological	20	to testify about that didn't include the majority of
21	evaluation of the child. It does not prevent us from	21	which would be hearsay.
22	bringing an expert witness in here to testify on this	22	And opposing counsel has already indicated that
23	child being evaluated. This is a whole different ball	23	she relied on hearsay in order to reach her
24	game. There's not one rule that says I have to	24	conclusions. Not the least of which, how can you do
25	disclose an expert witness prior to an injunction	25	an evaluation that makes determinations in the best
1			

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

	Page 25	Page 27
1	interests of the child if you've never even spoken to	1 certainly testify as to the tests that she made, the
2	the father or the guardian ad litem or the judges or	2 opinions she formed based on the evaluation that she
3	the lawyers or anyone else involved in this case?	3 has done in this case.
4	MS. FORTUNATO: The expert certainly has the	4 Otherwise, if the Court would go with that
5	ability under the rules and under the laws with regard	5 theory, no psychologist would be able to testify.
6	to an expert to speak to psychologists, look at	6 Because that's part of what psychology is or
7	evaluations that have previously been done, look at	7 psychiatry. They interview people. That's part of
8	the allegations of domestic violence or child abuse,	8 what they do. They test people, and all of this comes
9	and form an opinion of which they are permitted to	9 out. And because they're an expert, they're permitted
10	provide to this Court. And they can certainly rely on	10 to use this. She's permitted to talk to Dr. Rivas,
11	those types of statements.	11 who treated this child in another state.
12	MS. DIENSTAG: This is not an expert. This is a	12 THE COURT: It's hearsay. What the child is
13	fact witness.	13 saying yes. There are cases, that's true. But the
14	THE COURT: The problem I have with this person	14 Court appoints an expert, or the parents each want to
15	testifying, the basis of whatever her findings are	15 have an expert. The Court understands. And they have
16	going to be and whatever she wants to testify is based	16 either a court order that says that child hearsay will
17	on what the child has said. That's hearsay. You're	17 come in and that the statements made to the expert, a
18	saying the child can be brought in, but the child	18 psychologist or psychiatrist, are admissible. But we
19	cannot be brought in. It's very clear.	19 haven't had that here. There's been no motion filed.
20	And I'm looking at the injunction. It says on	20 This has not been addressed by the Court.
21	the very first page: "Children are not permitted to	21 MS. FORTUNATO: We're not required to do that,
22	testify unless a motion is filed pursuant to Florida	22 Your Honor. This is not family court. This is
23	law, Rules of Procedure 12.407, and an order allowing	23 domestic violence court.
24	the testimony is granted prior to the hearing." That	24 THE COURT: I know it is.
25	has not happened here, so the child is not going to be	25 MS. FORTUNATO: So we don't have the same trial
	Page 26	Page 28
1	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to	1 orders and things of that nature that we normally do.
2	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly
2 3	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,
2 3 4	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five
2 3 4 5	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can
2 3 4 5 6	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people,	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So the Florida statute applying to domestic violence, Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So the Florida statute applying to domestic violence, Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is a fact witness. It's not an expert.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So the Florida statute applying to domestic violence, Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is a fact witness. It's not an expert. THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period.	 orders and things of that nature that we normally do. This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly in domestic violence court. And we need an expert, obviously. And the expert if you give me five minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can have an expert testify to that. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to vacate, and we're here in unified family court. There's been two there's a pending THE COURT: There's three cases. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So the Florida statute applying to domestic violence, Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is a fact witness. It's not an expert. THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have another witness that you would call?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. DIENSTAG: First of all	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. DIENSTAG: First of all MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness.	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: The mother and the psychologist?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. DIENSTAG: First of all MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness. THE COURT: Ms. Dienstag, stop speaking over her,	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: The mother and the psychologist?20MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. DIENSTAG: First of all MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness. THE COURT: Ms. Dienstag, stop speaking over her, because we don't have a clear record. Whenever you do	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: The mother and the psychologist?20MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.21THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead and pull the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness. THE COURT: Ms. Dienstag, stop speaking over her, because we don't have a clear record. Whenever you do that, it's garbled. They cannot transcribe what 	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: The mother and the psychologist?20MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.21THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead and pull the22case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert in this case. This is a fact witness. MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. DIENSTAG: First of all MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness. THE COURT: Ms. Dienstag, stop speaking over her, because we don't have a clear record. Whenever you do that, it's garbled. They cannot transcribe what you're saying, so your objection is not even made. So	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead and pull the20MS. DIENSTAG: And I just have to make the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 brought in here and testify. And anything he said to her is hearsay, and it's not permissible. MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is. When you're dealing with an expert, that's how let's say you have an expert psychologist come in. They interview people. That's part of their evaluation. They test people, and they rely on statements. When you do, for example I'm trying to think of a test. The Rorschach test. You're relying on what this person says, so you're actually, the doctor is giving an opinion technically based on hearsay, but the expert is permitted to do so. The expert is permitted to talk to a client MS. FORTUNATO: This is an expert witness for the mother, period. MS. FORTUNATO: This is not a fact witness. THE COURT: Ms. Dienstag, stop speaking over her, because we don't have a clear record. Whenever you do that, it's garbled. They cannot transcribe what 	1orders and things of that nature that we normally do.2This is a 15-day-out hearing. Things go very quickly3in domestic violence court. And we need an expert,4obviously. And the expert if you give me five5minutes, I'll pull the case that tells you that we can6have an expert testify to that.7MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I want to make very8clear that this is a hearing too on my motion to9vacate, and we're here in unified family court.10There's been two there's a pending11THE COURT: There's three cases.12MS. DIENSTAG: Right. Three cases pending. So13the Florida statute applying to domestic violence,14Your Honor, is correct. It would be hearsay. This is15a fact witness. It's not an expert.16THE COURT: Let her pull her case. Do you have17another witness that you would call?18MS. FORTUNATO: The mother.19THE COURT: The mother and the psychologist?20MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.21THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead and pull the22case?

	Page 29	Pag	je 31
1	MS. DIENSTAG: While opposing counsel is	1 "If experts in a particular discipline	
2	researching, I just want to make it clear for the	2 customarily rely on hearsay to some extent in	
3	record that this psychologist was not present at the	³ formulating a professional opinion, then it would be	
4	prior hearing.	4 proper to allow an expert witness in that discipline	
5	THE COURT: You're looking for a case that says	5 to render an opinion that's based in part on hearsay."	
6	that expert witnesses can be used in domestic violence	6 MS. DIENSTAG: And that's the point. In what	
7	cases without notice?	7 discipline? If she's an expert, what is she an expert	
8	MS. FORTUNATO: No. On the hearsay issue.	8 in? We are not needing expert testimony. This is a	
9	THE COURT: Oh, the hearsay.	9 petition for domestic violence in which, based on the	
10	MS. FORTUNATO: Yeah. I'm just having a	10 facts, the mother says that the child said that he	
11	little I can't get logged on here, so I'm using my	11 prayed for the you know, once she picked up the	
12	phone to log in. It's a little slow here.	12 child in 2017 that he prayed that someone would show	
13	MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, I think for the many	13 up over the last three months.	
14	reasons that you and I have indicated, we both	14 This is not the document, the petition for	
15	announced that we're ready to proceed. And I believe	15 injunction is not, you know, the subject of expert	
16	that for all the reasons stated, opposing counsel	16 testimony. Because she has to prove that the child	
17	should proceed with her client. And especially since	17 feared for his safety on that day when she picked him	
18	we're moving forward on the petition for injunction.	18 up.	
19	And the witness, if necessary, was not here at	19 She also she is not alleging anything that is	
20	the last hearing on the petition for domestic violence	20 new. Based on the four corners of the document, it's	
21	injunction. And it does not require expert witness	21 not the subject of expert testimony. It would only be	
22	testimony based upon the allegations as included	hearsay. You know, can she say that the son said on	
23	within the petition and anything that could	that day that he prayed someone would come and pick	k me
24	possibly which is not relevant would be hearsay.	²⁴ up? We've already had DCF investigate that he's not	
25	And also, that it's not credible, nor is it expert in	skinny, so we can forget that one. What is she going	
	5	Dee	2.0
	Page 30	Pag	je 32
1	Page 30 that no one gave permission for this child to be	1 to testify to? This is not expert testimony.	fe 32
1 2	_		
	that no one gave permission for this child to be	1 to testify to? This is not expert testimony.	any
2	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had 	any
2 3	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation.	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. 	any nily
2 3 4	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and 	any nily
2 3 4 5	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. 	any nily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. They're not here to be cross-examined either. So 	any nily I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so.	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. They're not here to be cross-examined either. So not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point 	any nily I'm t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. They're not here to be cross-examined either. So not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted 	any nily I'm t
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. They're not here to be cross-examined either. So not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted 	any nily I'm t ed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus?	 to testify to? This is not expert testimony. MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had evidence from the Department of Children and Far Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They proffered what they thought they were going to do. Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and so we don't know and they're not here to testify. They're not here to be cross-examined either. So not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitt by an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has 	any nily I'm t ed n't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitt11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been course	any nily I'm t ed n't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974.	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitt11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert.14ordered as an expert.	any nily I'm t ed n't urt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case?	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been could14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation	l any nily l'm t ed n't urt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been council14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i	l any nily I'm t ed n't urt n f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert.14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc	any nily I'm t ed n't urt n f ept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitt11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert.14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's	l any nily l'm t ed n't urt f ept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert.14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert of	l any nily l'm t ed urt n f ept - n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions and explain them in the manner in which they would in 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been could14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have toi17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert of20this child. She can't expert testimony would say	l any nily l'm t ed urt n f ept - n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions and explain them in the manner in which they would in their own offices and laboratories. Section 904 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been courd14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert of20this child. She can't expert testimony would say21I'm a forensic accountant, and I testify about	l any nily l'm t ed n't urt on f ept - n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions and explain them in the manner in which they would in their own offices and laboratories. Section 904 enables a party to present an expert opinion that is 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been cou14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert or20this child. She can't expert testimony would say21I'm a forensic accountant, and I testify about22imputing income. That's just the basis, the subject	l any nily l'm t ed n't urt n f ept - n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions and explain them in the manner in which they would in their own offices and laboratories. Section 904 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the point10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert.14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to i17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert of20this child. She can't expert testimony would say21I'm a forensic accountant, and I testify about22imputing income. That's just the basis, the subject	l any nily l'm t ed n't urt f ept - n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that no one gave permission for this child to be evaluated, nor was the father did he have any part of this investigation or evaluation. And although the Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to sanction, it would be another reason that the family court should sanction the mother, because this is noticed and my motion to vacate in the family division that the mother, without leave of court or without permission of the father, did so. MS. FORTUNATO: "Linn v. Fossum, M.D." That is THE COURT: Linn versus? MS. FORTUNATO: Fossum, F-o-s-s-u-m. 894 So. 2d 974. THE COURT: Is that a Third DCA case? MS. FORTUNATO: It is First DCA. I can look and see what cases they have in here. But it says: "90.704, Florida Statutes. The purpose of this section is to enable experts to reach their opinions and explain them in the manner in which they would in their own offices and laboratories. Section 904 enables a party to present an expert opinion that is based in part on hearsay statements, even if the 	1to testify to? This is not expert testimony.2MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, we haven't had3evidence from the Department of Children and Far4Services yet. The Court spoke to them. They5proffered what they thought they were going to do.6Their investigation isn't complete at this time, and7so we don't know and they're not here to testify.8They're not here to be cross-examined either. So9not sure why we're off on that tangent, but the poin10is I gave you the case that says hearsay is permitted11by an expert.12MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. She has13been appointed as an expert. She hasn't been cound14ordered as an expert.15THE COURT: Well, they have to lay a foundation16to show that she's an expert, and then I have to in17they do that and they lay the foundation, I can acc18the person as an expert. That's normally how it's19MS. DIENSTAG: But she has to be an expert of20this child. She can't expert testimony would say21I'm a forensic accountant, and I testify about22imputing income. That's just the basis, the subject23of expert testimony. You can't have an expert with	l any nily l'm t ed urt n f ept - n ess ns

1	Page 33		Page 35
	that this witness could say could be anything other	1	A. 2009. Jacob was born in 2008. Visits started in
2	than fact testimony.	2	2009. The very first visit was in October of 2009. He
3	THE COURT: Let's proceed with your client's	3	was 16 months old. When I when he was returned to my
4	testimony as to her allegations in the petition.	4	care, my son came back with cuts and nicks on the back
5	Because frankly, I read these allegations, and I'm	5	of his head, with a bruise under his eye. He wasn't
6	going to give her an opportunity to testify as to both	6	sleeping well. He was skinny.
7	of her petitions and why she's personally in fear for	7	I took him immediately to a pediatrician. And
8	her safety and why she's in fear for the safety of her	8	since that time, for most of the visits, he has returned
9	child and is therefore asking for permanent	9	back to me with injuries. At that time, he was not able
10	injunctions for herself and for her child. I'll give	10	to tell me what was going on. But by the time he was
11	her an opportunity to testify, and then we can	11	two, he started to tell me that he was being hit and
12	readdress after she testifies the issue of the	12	struck in the head.
13	psychologist. So let's get her testimony first.	13	Q. And has the Court found that he's been a victim
14	If you could please take the witness stand.	14	of abuse in the past?
15	Because you need to be somewhere else, and we don't	15	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection, Judge, as to the form
16	have all day. And I have an emergency hearing also at	16	of the question.
17	3:00, so I may have to recess for a few minutes.	17	THE COURT: I'll sustain it.
18	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. Do you	18	You can rephrase the question.
19	swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give to	19	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
20	the court will be the truth?	20	Q. Have you reported abuse to anyone?
21	THE WITNESS: I do.	21	A. I haven't reported. Because there's constantly
22	MICHELLE WATTS,	22	been a fear that I've had. Any time I do anything like
23	having first been duly sworn, was examined and	23	now, I'm lied upon. Either I'm threatened to be sent to
24	testified as follows:	24	jail or threatened through the court system, through
25	THE CLERK: State your name.	25	Q. Who threatens you?
	Page 34		Page 36
1	THE WITNESS: Michelle Watts.	1	A. The father.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION	2	Q. And how does he threaten you?
3	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	3	A. He either sends police to my home, or he files
4	Q. Good afternoon. Your name is?	4	contempt actions, or he files pickup orders. It's been
5	A. Michelle Watts.	5	nonstop.
6	Q. And, Ms. Watts, did you file injunctions for	6	Q. Since 2009?
7	protection against domestic violence on behalf of		A. Since 2009.
8	yourself and your child?	8	Q. And in 2010, did you get an injunction in
9	A. I did.	9	Illinois?
10	Q. And why did you do that initially, and what date?	10	A. I did.
11	A. I did it on May 31st and as well as June 2nd.	11	Q. And what happened then?
	Q. And why did you do that?	12	A. The judge granted an emergency order of
		13	protection. And we had a hearing, and it was extended.
12	A For two reasons Because Jacob our son was	14	Q. And was that when Florida transferred
12 13	A. For two reasons. Because Jacob, our son, was taken from me. And when I nicked him up, he immediately	14	
12 13 14	taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately		iurisdiction to the state of Illinois for Durnoses of
12 13 14 15	taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him.		jurisdiction to the state of Illinois for purposes of the restraining order?
12 13 14 15 16	taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety?	16	the restraining order?
12 13 14 15 16 17	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. 	16 17	the restraining order? A. No.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? 	16 17 18	the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. 	16 17 18 19	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. Q. Can you tell us about that, please? 	16 17 18 19 20	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second order of protection.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. Q. Can you tell us about that, please? A. There's been 	16 17 18 19 20 21	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second order of protection. Q. And so what happened to the first order of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. Q. Can you tell us about that, please? A. There's been Q. Start from the beginning, and tell the judge what 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second order of protection. Q. And so what happened to the first order of protection in 2010?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. Q. Can you tell us about that, please? A. There's been Q. Start from the beginning, and tell the judge what has happened in this case. 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second order of protection. Q. And so what happened to the first order of protection in 2010? A. In 2010, the judge spoke to the judge in Florida.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 taken from me. And when I picked him up, he immediately told me the things that had happened to him. Q. And were you concerned for his safety? A. Absolutely. Q. Now, why were you concerned about his safety? A. It's been an ongoing thing since he was born. Q. Can you tell us about that, please? A. There's been Q. Start from the beginning, and tell the judge what 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the restraining order? A. No. Q. You got it before then? A. Florida transferred it in 2012 due to a second order of protection. Q. And so what happened to the first order of protection in 2010?

	Page 37		Page 39
1	came back to me with injuries. Due to that, I went back	1	MS. FORTUNATO: Just a second. I'm going to give
2	to my home state court. And there was an order of	2	you all of them.
3	protection entered where, at that time, Florida	3	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
4	transferred jurisdiction.	4	Q. Is that the back of the hair that you're talking
5	Q. Do you have photographs of how he was returned to	5	about?
6	you?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Ido.	7	MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter these into
8	Q. Where are those photographs?	8	evidence.
9	A. They're in that stack.	9	THE COURT: Any objection? She wants to enter
10	Q. Can you pull out the photos where the injuries	10	these photos into evidence.
11	from prior to this, the history	11	MS. DIENSTAG: The two photographs? You want
12	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Relevance. The only	12	these two?
13	photographs that would be relevant to this injunction	13	MS. FORTUNATO: Yeah.
14	would be as it relates to the allegations contained	14	MS. DIENSTAG: I have no objection. But, again,
15	within the petition. And so anything like when he was	15	under the relevance. It says 2009 at 10:56 p.m. So
16	two or one or three would be irrelevant.	16	to the extent that
17	THE COURT: Well, she does allege in this	17	THE COURT: I'll give some leeway.
18	petition on behalf of the child that she had a	18	MS. DIENSTAG: For what they're worth.
19	no-contact order from Illinois for about four years.	19	THE COURT: You're going to enter this as a
20	MS. DIENSTAG: No, she didn't.	20	composite, right?
21	THE COURT: That's what she's alleging. I'm just	21	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am.
22	saying that's what's here, so I'm going to allow this	22	THE COURT: They'll be Petitioner's 1.
23	line of testimony.	23	THE CLERK: Are we placing it under both case
24	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	24	numbers, Judge?
25	Q. How long did you have an injunction?	25	THE COURT: No. This is under the O/B/O, which
	2		
	Page 38		Page 40
1	A. For almost four years.	1	is 2017-13616.
2	Q. And which injunction was that?		
	-	2	THE CLERK: Okay. Marked as Exhibit 1.
3	A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it	3	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into
3 4	A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year	3 4	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.)
3 4 5	A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015.	3 4 5	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO:
3 4	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? 	3 4	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.)BY MS. FORTUNATO:Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit
3 4 5 6 7	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. 	3 4 5 6 7	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.)BY MS. FORTUNATO:Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father?
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. Q. And what injury does he have on him? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. Q. And what injury does he have on him? A. In this picture, this is where his head was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport. MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter this as the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. A. And what injury does he have on him? A. In this picture, this is where his head was shaved and there was a bruise under his eye. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport. MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter this as the next exhibit.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. Q. And what injury does he have on him? A. In this picture, this is where his head was shaved and there was a bruise under his eye. Q. And where is the bruise on that photograph? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport. MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter this as the next exhibit. THE COURT: Any objection?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. Q. And what injury does he have on him? A. In this picture, this is where his head was shaved and there was a bruise under his eye. Q. And where is the bruise on that photograph? A. Fight here. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport. MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter this as the next exhibit. THE COURT: Any objection? MS. DIENSTAG: The same, relevance. But no
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It was granted by Judge Thomas Dudgeon, and it was issued in October of 2011. And it was a two-year plenary order, and it expired on April 20th, 2015. Q. And did that ever get extended? A. Yes. It got extended after two years. Q. And how long did it get extended for? A. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. I'm going to show you is this the MS. DIENSTAG: May I see what counsel is showing the witness? THE COURT: Yes. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Is that your son? A. Yes. Q. And did you take that picture? A. Yes. Q. And what injury does he have on him? A. In this picture, this is where his head was shaved and there was a bruise under his eye. Q. And where is the bruise on that photograph? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you have photographs from the second visit with the father? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to form indicating second visit with the father. What do you mean? THE COURT: I'll sustain as to that. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. I put two pictures in front of you. Can you describe to the Court what they are? A. He was returned to me at this time with a huge bruise and injury on his nose. When I inquired about it, I was told he ran into a couch. Q. And when did this occur? A. This happened after the or during the second visit when I picked him up that day at the airport. MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to enter this as the next exhibit. THE COURT: Any objection?

	Page 41		Page 43
1	(Petitioner's Composite Exhibit 2 was	1	A. No.
2	admitted into evidence.)	2	Q. Has he ever undergone a psychological evaluation?
3	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	3	A. No.
4	Q. I'm going to show you another set of photographs.	4	Q. How many times has that
5	Can you identify those?	5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	A. Yes. This is after the third visit.	6	Q. How many times was that ordered in Illinois?
7	Q. And what injuries did he have on him then?	7	A. Twice.
8	A. He had an injury under his eye. And in the	8	Q. And had he ever gone?
9	middle of his forehead, there was a large bump.	9	A. Not to my knowledge.
10	Q. I'll show you another one. Was that a different	10	Q. Now, in 2014, you also had a family court order?
11	time? Same time?	11	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the
12	A. About a day or two later.	12	question as to what order.
13	MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to offer this as our	13	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
14	next exhibit.	14	Q. Do you have a family court order in Illinois with
15	THE COURT: Any objection?	15	regard to your minor child and yourself?
16	MS. DIENSTAG: Same. Relevance. 2010. But no	16	A. April 20th, 2015.
17	objection.	17	Q. And what happened then?
18	(Petitioner's Exhibit 3 was admitted into	18	A. There was a reunification order.
19	evidence.)	19	Q. And what happened with that order?
20	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	20	A. With that order, we were both to obtain a
21	Q. Now, during this visitation, there's obviously	21	psychologist in each state. And I was living in
22	findings by the Court that there's been a history of	22	Illinois, so I was to obtain one in Illinois. And he
23	abuse to Jacob in Illinois and that the Illinois Court	23	was to obtain one in Florida.
24	sought to did the Illinois Court protect him from	24	Q. Now, how many times, to your knowledge, has
25	that?	25	Mr. Gantine gone into court and obtained a pickup order
	Page 42		
	1490 12		Page 44
1	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.	1	Page 44 or custody order and that – or how many times has he
1 2		1	
	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.	1	or custody order and that or how many times has he
2	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.	2	or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that.
2 3	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO:	2 3	or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry.
2 3 4	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob	2 3 4	or custody order and that or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida?
2 3 4 5	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse?	2 3 4 5	or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the	2 3 4 5 6	or custody order and that or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. And what type of parameters did the Court use to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing. Q. Did you ever get served with any petitions for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Q. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. And what type of parameters did the Court use to prevent any further harm to the child? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing. Q. Did you ever get served with any petitions for modification?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. And what type of parameters did the Court use to prevent any further harm to the child? A. It was no contact. Then they gave the option for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing. Q. Did you ever get served with any petitions for modification? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. And what type of parameters did the Court use to prevent any further harm to the child? A. It was no contact. Then they gave the option for the father to get a psychological evaluation if he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 or custody order and that – or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing. Q. Did you ever get served with any petitions for modification? A. No. Q. Did you get any notice from the Illinois court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the Illinois Court do to protect Jacob from further child abuse? MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. The best evidence is the court orders. I have no objection to any of these court orders being introduced into evidence. THE COURT: I'll overrule it. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Go ahead and answer. A. They issued a no-contact order. Did they put any safety measures in place? A. Yes. Q. When did they issue that no-contact order? A. In October of 2011. Q. And how long was that in place? A. For almost four years. Until April 20th of 2015. Q. And what type of parameters did the Court use to prevent any further harm to the child? A. It was no contact. Then they gave the option for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 or custody order and that - or how many times has he gone and done that? We'll start with that. A. Twice. Three times. I'm sorry. Q. How many pickup orders did he get in Florida? A. Two. Q. And what happened with those pickup orders? A. They were both vacated. Q. And they were vacated why? Do you remember? A. Based on lies to the Court. Q. So after they heard the evidence, they vacated and returned the child to you? A. Yes, correct. Q. Now, let's go up to when the child was taken from Illinois. What happened with that? A. When he came and picked him up in Washington? Q. Yes. A. I was at home one day. I heard a knock on the door. There was no forewarning or anything. I didn't know nothing. Q. Did you ever get served with any petitions for modification? A. No.

1 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 2 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, 4 A. No. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, 4 A. No. 4 what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to 5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 5 that? 6 Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 6 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court 8 file and the orders, and I have no objection to the 9 9 A. I tdidn't know where he was. I called all over in 10 MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 Support the didn't know exactly 14 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got 15 O. So what happened? 14 guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly		Page 45		Page 47
3 Q. Did you ever receive anything with regard to custody 3 A. No. 4 custody? A. No. C. And when was the last time you were residing there, when did you last reside there? 6 Q. When was the first time you learned that 6 G. And when was the last time you were residing there, when did you last reside there? 7 Mr. Gentine had obtained custody of the child in lilinois? 9 A. And after that time, did Mr. Gantine know you were no longer in the state of lilinois? 9 A. January 27th of this year. 9 Were no longer in the state of lilinois? 11 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the start is a vortice of the did or not. 0. And where did you go after that? 12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from school the start is a too other on the state of lilinois? 0. And where did you go after that? 13 A. They presented me with an order. They also school the the stary to them, they said that - could 1 10 0. And where did you go after that? 14 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 11 12 14 14 16 15 De to due that bascidy that the father had been hooking 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 <t< th=""><th>1</th><th>Q. Did they notify your lawyers, that you know of?</th><th>1</th><th>Q. And there were no contacts with Illinois</th></t<>	1	Q. Did they notify your lawyers, that you know of?	1	Q. And there were no contacts with Illinois
4 custody? A. No. Q. More was the first time you learned that Mr. Cantine had obtained custody of the child in Illinois? A. Inspired that the first time you learned that Mr. Cantine had obtained custody of the child in Illinois? A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the d. And when was the last time you were residing there, when did you a. And what happened on that day? A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the d. And where diay ougo after that? A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the d. And where diay ougo after that? A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the a. And is there any reason you move to Washington? A. A do where diay ougo after that? A. The police knocked or ordence, and where diay ougo after that? A. The yoresented me with an order. They also showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said - when showed me a staically that the father had been looking tok meta tasically that the father had been looking tok meta tasically that the filed a missing person report, had he been in contact with you? A. No. A. No. Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No. Page 46 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No. Page 46 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No.	2	A. No.	2	whatsoever between you, the child, and Mr. Gantine?
5 A. No. 5 O lilinds? When you were residing there, when did you 6 Q. When was the first time you learned that 6 iast reside there? 7 Mr. Gantine had obtained custody of the child in lilinols? A. January 27th of this year. 6 A. Movember of 2015. 10 Q. And what happened on that day? A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the 1 A. Im not sure if he did or not. 13 aschool. A. They presented me with an order. They also A. A double reasons. There was a job opportunity 14 Q. Did they tell you why? 14 A. A cough reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said - wohen 16 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 17 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 17 for me. And through that faits a lot of evidence. And so they 10 10 took off, and I didn't no. 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 17 information. Idd'not. 2 0. And why did you leave? 19 tord me that basically that the fafter at basically that the fafter at basicaly that an other word to washing thy im.	3	Q. Did you ever receive anything with regard to	3	A. No.
6 Q. When was the first time you learned that 6 last reside there? 7 M. Cantine had obtained custody of the child in fillinois? 9 A. January 27th of this year. 9 10 Q. And what happened on that day? 10 11 G. And whet happened on that day? 11 Q. And where did you go after that? 12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from 12 A. Imoved to Washington. 12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from 13 Q. And where did you go after that? 14 Q. Did they tell you why? 14 A. Imoved to Washington? 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 15 be entrough any third metal.asci had 16 stoewer are a warrant and contempt, and they said - when 16 be been through any third metal.asci had 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that could I 17 therap that bascially that the fisher had been loking 16 the though any third go the analyset. 16 tod me that bascially that the fisher had been loking 16 therap that asci had 16 21 A. The pole chance weether and myset. 17 Proge 46 Quetter that	4	custody?	4	Q. And when was the last time you were in the state
7 Mr. Gantine had obtained custody of the child in illinois? 7 A. November of 2015. 8 Millinois? 0. And what happened on that day? 10 0. And what happened on my door. I answered the door, and they toil me that my son was taken from 13 0. And what end or out. 10 A. Im not sure if the did or not. 13 aschool. 10 0. And what happened on that day? 10 A. Im out sure if the did or not. 14 Q. Did they tell you why? 14 A. They presented me with an order. They also 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said – when 14 10 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 beson through and hin healing form that, I didn't want 16 there, but file honesit. Everyfiling that Jacob had 16 person report, had he been in contact with you? 10 A. A dwy did you leave? 11 21 A. No. 20 A. Muk did you leave? 21 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 12 A. No. 23 A Why? 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 23 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 32 24 A. No. 30 <th>5</th> <th>A. No.</th> <th>5</th> <th>of Illinois? When you were residing there, when did you</th>	5	A. No.	5	of Illinois? When you were residing there, when did you
8 Illinois? A. And after that time, did M. canthe know you 9 A. January 27th this year. ware no longer in the state of Illinois? 10 A. And what happened on that day? In A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the 11 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the In A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the 12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from In A. A couple reasons. Three was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also Is there any reason you moved to Washington? 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said - when Is there any reason you moved to Washington? 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that - could I Is there any movide any forwarding 19 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. Is there any movide any forwarding 10 told me that basically that the father had been locking In And through that the failed a missing 21 for me. And through that the failed a missing Immaton. Immaton. 23 Q. Noh. Q. Way? A. No. Immaton. 24 A. No. A. No. A. No. A. They four dneglete. end	6	Q. When was the first time you learned that	6	last reside there?
9 A. January 27th of this year. 9 were no longer in the state of Illinois? 10 Q. And what happened on that day? A. Im not sure if he did or not. 11 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the 10 A. Im our sure if he did or not. 11 G. Did they toll we that my son was taken from 11 Q. And what happened on that doy? 12 A. Did they toll you why? A. Cacupt ereasors. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 15 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said - when 15 17 Ibegined the story to them, they said that - could I 18 18 get to court on Wonday? Because they came on Friday. 19 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 10 10 In the abasically that the father had been looking 0. And why did you leave? 11 R. No. 20 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 12 A. No. 23 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 13 G. And what happened next? A. Mo 24 14 O. Was there a restraining order in place? A. Mold the Court find	7	Mr. Gantine had obtained custody of the child in	7	A. November of 2015.
10 Q. And what happened on that day? 10 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the door and they told me that my son was taken from school. 10 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the door and they told me that my son was taken from school. 10 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the door and they told me that my son was taken from school. 10 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the door not. 11 A. They presented me with an order. They also showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said when 1 10 A. And use that the alcoob had the been in contert. They also that the son we can on Friday. 11 Party School and get Jacob. 10 A. To protect hird my dowarding information. I did not. 12 A. No. Q. May did you leave? 20 A. And through that missing person report, he was a ble to go ahead and get Jacob. 13 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 15 A. No. Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 2 A. No. Q. Was there and they tok acob? Q. When the was in broward County doing visitation, what did the court in the had done wrong with regard to that? 4 A. No. G. And what happened next? A. They found negled, notangement. G. When we no b	8	Illinois?	8	Q. And after that time, did Mr. Gantine know you
11 A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the 11 Q. And where did you go after that? 12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from 11 Q. And where any reason you moved to Washington? 14 Q. Did they tell you why? 13 Q. And is there any reason you moved to Washington? 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 16 becen through and thim healing from that. I didn't wart 11 I and is there any reason you frowed to Washington? 17 I explained the story to them, they said that - could I 12 him to go through anything take again. So I did. I 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Fridzy. 19 information. I did not. 20 tod me that basically that the father had been looking 20 Q. And why did you leave? 21 for me. And through that missing person report, he was 21 A. To protect him and myeelit. But primarily him. 22 A. No. 23 Q. Way, during the time that he filed a missing 23 Q. Why? 24 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 25 3 Q. Mad whathappeend next? A. No.	9	A. January 27th of this year.	9	were no longer in the state of Illinois?
12 door, and they told me that my son was taken from 12 A. Inoved to Washington. 13 school. 0. Did they tell you why? 13 A. A cay be reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 14 A. A cay be reasons. There was a job opportunity 16 between e a warrant and contempt, and they saidwhen 15 there, but fil be honest. Everything that. Jacob had 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that could in thits to story to hard, hat's a lot of evidence. And so they 16 been through anything else again. So I did. I 18 totid me that basically that the failer had been looking 16 been through anything else again. So I did. I 19 totid me that basically that the failer had been looking 16 been through anything else again. So I did. I 20 Now, during the time that he filed a missing 27 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 21 A. No. 28 A. Mad the been in contact with two? 24 23 A. No. 29 4 Bedeen abused as well? 2 24 A. No. 29 A. They found neglect endangement. 30. When due been in contact with the child? 30. Hot been in contact with th	10	Q. And what happened on that day?	10	A. I'm not sure if he did or not.
13 school. 13 0. And is there any reason you moved to Washington? 14 0. Did they tell you why? 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't want 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't want 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that - could 1 17 there, but I'l bo honest. Everything geles again. So I did. 1 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that - could 1 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding information. I did not. 10 19 the that basically that the father had been looking 20 A. No protect him and myself. But primarily him. 23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 Q. Mul did the Court in Broward County Ging Visitation, 24 A. No. Page 46 1 had been abused as well? 2 25 A. No. 2 A. Mod the boetn in bi	11	A. The police knocked on my door. I answered the	11	Q. And where did you go after that?
13 school. 13 0. And is there any reason you moved to Washington? 14 0. Did they tell you why? 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't want 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't want 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that - could 1 17 there, but I'l bo honest. Everything geles again. So I did. 1 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 17 lexplained the story to them, they said that - could 1 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding information. I did not. 10 19 the that basically that the father had been looking 20 A. No protect him and myself. But primarily him. 23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 Q. Mul did the Court in Broward County Ging Visitation, 24 A. No. Page 46 1 had been abused as well? 2 25 A. No. 2 A. Mod the boetn in bi	12	door, and they told me that my son was taken from	12	A. I moved to Washington.
14 Q. Did they tell you why? 14 A. A couple reasons. There was a job opportunity 15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 15 there, but fil be honest. Everything that Jacob had 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said - when 15 there, but fil be honest. Everything that Jacob had 17 I explained the story to them, they said that could I 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't wart 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding information. I did not. 16 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 19 information. I did not. 20 Now, during the time that he filed a missing 20 A . A box 23 A . Mok did the Court in Broward County find that he 21 A . No. 23 A . Mod the been in contact with you? 24 A . Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 23 A . No. 25 A . No. 26 D and the court in Broward County find that he 3 Q . How that heypened next? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q . When he was	13		13	Q. And is there any reason you moved to Washington?
15 A. They presented me with an order. They also 15 there, but II be honest. Everything that Jacob had 16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they saidwhol 16 been through and thin beaing from that, I didn't wart 17 I explained the story to them, they said that could I into go through anything else again. So I did. I 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. into go through and thin beaing from that, I didn't wart 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they iok off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they iok off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 20 Now, during the time that be filed a missing 20 A. And why did you leave? 21 A. No. 23 A. No. 24 25 A. No. 24 A Been abused as well? 2 2 A. No. 25 A. No. 26 Page 46 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 4 had been abused as well? 2 4 A. No. 3 O. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regart to that? 6 6 A. After t	14	Q. Did they tell you why?	14	
16 showed me a warrant and contempt, and they said when 16 been through and him healing from that, I didn't want 17 I explained the story to them, they said that - could I 17 him to go through anything else again. So I did. I 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 20 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding information. I did not. 20 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding information. I did not. 21 for me. And through that missing person report, he was alse to go ahead and get Jacob. 23 A. No, C. Mow, during the time that he filed a missing 20 24 person report, had he been in contact with you? A. Because of the abused the was that he's been subjected to. 2 A. No. C. Was there a restraining order in place? A. And been in contact with the child? 4 A. No. C. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No. 5 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. No. C. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what id the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 6 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously G. Mich he wasin Broward County court fil	15		15	there, but I'll be honest. Everything that Jacob had
17 I explained the story to them, they said that could I 17 him to go through anything else again. So I did. I 18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 19 information. I did not. 21 for me. And through that the father had been looking 0. And why did you leave? 21 A. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 2 4. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 22 A. No. 2 He's my main concern. 2 25 A. No. 2 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 2 25 A. No. 2 A. Because of the abused as well? 4. A condid the Court in Broward County find that he 26 Why? 2 A. Been abused as well? 2. And what happened next? 3. O. Why? 3 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 3. They found neglect, endangement. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. No. 4. Mot ony knowledge. 1 6 Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 6 MS. DEINSTAG: Object	16		16	
18 get to court on Monday? Because they came on Friday. 18 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 19 information. I did not. 20 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 21 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 22 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 23 took off, and I didn't provide any forwarding 19 24 And through that the faiter had been looking 0. And why did you leave? 24 A. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 0. Why? 25 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 26 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 27 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 28 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 29 A. No. 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 30 Had he been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 31 Q. Had he been hex? A. Mo	17		17	
19 They said, oh, that's a lot of evidence. And so they 10 20 told me that basically that the father had been looking 20 A. Ad why did you leave? 21 for me. And through that missing person report, he was 20 A. Ad why did you leave? 23 D. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 21 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 24 A. No. 25 A. No. 26 D. Why? 24 A. No. 26 D. And did the Court in Broward County find that he 25 A. No. 26 Page 46 Page 4 1 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. No. A. They fourd neglect, endangerment. O. Why? 2 A. No. D. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously O. What did the Court in Broward County doing visitation, 6 O. And what happened next? M. S. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the 7 A. Not to my knowledge. M. S. FORTUNATO: Ibelieve she already has asked 11 D. Idon't know where he was. I called all over in III 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got IIII so try to find an attorney that weekend in 	18		18	
20 told me that basically that the father had been looking 20 Q. And why did you leave? 21 for me. And through that missing person report, he was 21 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 22 able to go ahead and get Jacob. 21 A. To protect him and myself. But primarily him. 22 able to go ahead and get Jacob. 23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing person report, had he been in contact with you? 23 A. No. 25 A. No. 26 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 25 A. No. 25 A. Mod did the Court in Broward County find that he 26 Page 46 Page 41 7 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 4 A. No. G. When was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court file head done wrong with regard to that? 6 D. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 6 file and the orders, and have no objection to the 7 A. After they took Jacob?	19		19	
22 able to go ahead and get Jacob. 22 23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 24 D. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 25 A. No. 23 26 Page 46 Page 46 27 A. No. 25 28 A. No. 25 3 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 4 A. No. 26 5 A. No. 27 6 D. Had been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 6 D. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously ordered, by that time? 6 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 8 Q. And what happened next? 8 9 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 11 you before to take judicial notice - 12 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the guardian ad litern, to find him. He didn't know exactly where if they were in Miam	20		20	Q. And why did you leave?
22 able to go ahead and get Jacob. 22 He's my main concern. 23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing person report, had he been in contact with you? 23 Q. Why? 24 A. No. 25 A. No. 26 Q. Why? 25 A. No. 25 Q. And did the Court in Broward County find that he Page 46 26 Page 46 Page 46 Page 46 27 A. No. 26 Q. Mend heglect, endangerment. Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 3 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously ordered, by that time? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 3 Q. And what happened next? A. No to my knowledge. 7 MS. DEINSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court file and the orders, and I have no objection to the 9 A. Iter they took Jacob? 9 entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 10 MS. DEINSTAG: Objection as to the form of the questicial notice 11 11 Ididn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 12 THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole <	21		21	
23 Q. Now, during the time that he filed a missing 23 Q. Why? 24 person report, had he been in contact with you? 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 25 A. No. 25 Q. And did the Court in Broward County find that he 24 A. No. 25 Q. And did the Court in Broward County find that he 24 A. No. 26 Page 46 25 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 4 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 5 that? 6 MS. DEINSTAG: Objection as to the form of the 9 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court 8 G. And what happened next? 9 entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 9 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 10 MS. FORTUNATO: I beliewe she already has asked	22		22	
24 person report, had he been in contact with you? 24 A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to. 25 A. No. 25 Q. And did the Court in Broward County find that he 1 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 2 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 4 A. No. 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 6 Ordered, by that time? 6 MS. DIEINSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court 8 file and the orders, and I have no objection to the entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 10 M. Yes. 1 11 Secourt file count file coming into evidence. 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 you before to take judicial notice 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got 12	23		23	-
25 A. No. 25 Q. And did the Court in Broward County find that he Page 46 Page 46 Page 4 1 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 2 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? A. They found neglect, endangerment. 4 A. No. 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to that? 5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously ordered, by that time? 6 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court file and the orders, and I have no objection to the 7 A. After they took Jacob? 9 entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 10 Q. Yes. 10 MS. FORTUNATO: Ibelieve she already has asked 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 you before to take judicial notice of the whole 13 an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the 13 file 12 THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole 13 13 A. No. 16 A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in </th <th>24</th> <th></th> <th>24</th> <th>A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to.</th>	24		24	A. Because of the abuse that he's been subjected to.
1 Q. Was there a restraining order in place? 1 had been abused as well? 2 A. No. 2 A. They found neglect, endangerment. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, 4 A. No. 3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, 4 A. No. 4 what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to 5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 6 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the 7 A. Not omy knowledge. 7 question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court 8 Q. And what happened next? 8 file and the orders, and I have no objection to the 9 A. After they took Jacob? 9 entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 10 Q. Yes. 10 MS. FORTUNATO: Ibelieve she already has asked 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 you before to take judicial notice 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got 16 A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in 14 guardian ad lite	25		25	
2A. No.2A. They found neglect, endangerment.3Q. Had he been in contact with the child?J. When he was in Broward County doing visitation,4A. No.When he was in Broward County doing visitation,5Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previouslyordered, by that time?6Ordered, by that time?M. S. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the7A. Not to my knowledge.78Q. And what happened next?89A. After they took Jacob?910Q. Yes.1011A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in1112Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got1214guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly1415where if they were in Miami, where they were.1616Q. When did he get that order?1620A. In January or in February of 2016.2021Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?2022A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:		Page 46		Page 48
3 Q. Had he been in contact with the child? 3 Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation, 4 A. No. 4 A. No. 4 5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 5 5 6 ordered, by that time? 6 5 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 7 9 8 Q. And what happened next? 8 6 9 A. After they took Jacob? 9 9 10 Q. Yes. 10 MS. FORTUNATO: Ibelieve she already has asked 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 9 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got 12 THE COURT: Ihave judicial notice 12 guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly 14 BY MS. FORTUNATO: 15 Where if they were in Miami, where they were. 16 A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in 17 Illinois at that time? 16 A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in 18 A. No. 18 O. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? </th <th>1</th> <th>Q. Was there a restraining order in place?</th> <th>1</th> <th>had been abused as well?</th>	1	Q. Was there a restraining order in place?	1	had been abused as well?
4A. No.4what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to5Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously5that?6ordered, by that time?6MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the7A. Not to my knowledge.7question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court8Q. And what happened next?8file and the orders, and I have no objection to the9A. After they took Jacob?9entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.10Q. Yes.10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BYMS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15Q. So what happened?16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22<	2	A. No.	2	A. They found neglect, endangerment.
5 Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously 5that?6ordered, by that time?6MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the7A. Not to my knowledge.7question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court8 Q. And what happened next? 8file and the orders, and I have no objection to the9A. After they took Jacob?9entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.10 Q. Yes. 10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BYMS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21 Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time? 21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	3	Q. Had he been in contact with the child?	3	Q. When he was in Broward County doing visitation,
6ordered, by that time?6MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the7A. Not to my knowledge.7question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court8 Q. And what happened next? 8file and the orders, and I have no objection to the9A. After they took Jacob?9entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.10 Q. Yes. 10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	4	A. No.	4	what did the Court find he had done wrong with regard to
7A. Not to my knowledge.7question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court8Q. And what happened next?8file and the orders, and I have no objection to the9A. After they took Jacob?9entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.10Q. Yes.10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15Q. So what happened?16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	5	Q. Did he obtain his psych eval, as previously	5	that?
8 Q. And what happened next? 8 file and the orders, and I have no objection to the 9 A. After they took Jacob? 9 entire Broward County court file coming into evidence. 10 Q. Yes. 10 MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked 11 A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in 11 you before to take judicial notice 12 Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got 11 THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole 13 an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the 13 file. 14 guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly 14 BYMS. FORTUNATO: 15 where if they were in Miami, where they were. 15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 17 a car and a home and lied to the police about it. 18 A. No. 18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 20 MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading. 21 Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time? 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 A. No. 22 BY MS. FORTUNATO: <th>6</th> <th>ordered, by that time?</th> <th>6</th> <th>MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the</th>	6	ordered, by that time?	6	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the
9A. After they took Jacob?9entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.10 Q. Yes. 10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got11you before to take judicial notice13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BYMS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21 THE COURT: Sustained.21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BYMS. FORTUNATO:	7	A. Not to my knowledge.	7	question. Hearsay. The best evidence is the court
10 Q. Yes. 10MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 19 visitation? 20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21 Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time? 21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	8	Q. And what happened next?	8	file and the orders, and I have no objection to the
11A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in11you before to take judicial notice12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21 Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time? 21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	9	A. After they took Jacob?	9	entire Broward County court file coming into evidence.
12Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got12THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15Q. So what happened?16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	10	Q. Yes.	10	MS. FORTUNATO: I believe she already has asked
13an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the13file.14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15Q. So what happened?16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	11	A. I didn't know where he was. I called all over in	11	you before to take judicial notice
14guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly14BY MS. FORTUNATO:15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15Q. So what happened?16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	12	Illinois to try to find an attorney that weekend. I got	12	THE COURT: I have judicial notice of the whole
15where if they were in Miami, where they were.15 Q. So what happened? 16 Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in 16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in18A. No.18 Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised 19 Q. When did he get that order? 19 visitation? 20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21 Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time? 21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	13	an attorney. We both called everywhere, even the	13	file.
16Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in16A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in17Illinois at that time?17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	14	guardian ad litem, to find him. He didn't know exactly	14	BYMS. FORTUNATO:
17Illinois at that time?17a car and a home and lied to the police about it.18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	15	where if they were in Miami, where they were.	15	Q. So what happened?
18A. No.18Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	16	Q. Now, did you or Mr. Gantine continue to reside in	16	A. He left him at the age of two unattended alone in
19Q. When did he get that order?19visitation?20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	17	Illinois at that time?	17	a car and a home and lied to the police about it.
20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	18	A. No.	18	Q. Then the judge required him to have supervised
20A. In January or in February of 2016.20MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	19	Q. When did he get that order?	19	visitation?
21Q. Now, did you reside in Illinois at that time?21THE COURT: Sustained.22A. No.22BY MS. FORTUNATO:	20	-	20	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Leading.
22A. No.22BYMS. FORTUNATO:			21	
23 Q. Did Mr. Gantine reside in Illinois at that time, 23 Q. What did the judge require after the judge heard		Q. Now, did you reside in lilinois at that time?		
	21	-		BY MS. FORTUNATO:
24 to your knowledge? 24 that evidence?	21 22	-	22	BYMS. FORTUNATO: Q. What did the judge require after the judge heard
25 A. No. 25 A. An adult to always be present at supervised	21 22 23	A. No. Q. Did Mr. Gantine reside in Illinois at that time ,	22 23	Q. What did the judge require after the judge heard

	Page 49	Page 5
1	visitation.	1 MS. DIENSTAG: Goldstein.
2	Q. Now, when jurisdiction was changed to Illinois,	2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
3	was there a time that he exercised time-sharing in the	3 BY MS. FORTUNATO:
4	state of Illinois?	4 Q. Is that for something different?
5	A. Yes.	5 A. No. It was for the reunification. I met with
6	Q. When was that?	6 him three times, and Jacob met with him twice.
7	A. August of 2011. I'm sorry. 2010 was the first	7 Q. And did the father meet with him?
8	Illinois visit.	8 A. He was to obtain a psychologist in Florida, and
9	Q. And when he came back from visits, did he have	9 he never did that.
10	any injuries on him?	10 Q. And what about Dr. Rivas? What was her role?
11	A. Yes.	11 A. She basically had many sessions with Jacob from
12	Q. Describe the injuries that he had on him.	12 the time he was 16 months up until I'm sorry
13	MS. DIENSTAG: What year?	13 18 months up until about five years old.
14	THE COURT: August 2010.	Q. Now, prior to this happening – this happened in
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know. It was some kind of,	15 January 2017 when he was taken from school?
16	like, burn marks behind his ear. I couldn't tell	16 A. Yes.
17	exactly what they were, but there were abrasions along	17 Q. Since that time, to your knowledge, did the
18	the back of his ear.	18 father bring him to the state of Florida?
19	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	19 A. Yes.
20	Q. Did he see a therapist in Illinois?	20 Q. Now, had you requested on several occasions to
21	A. Yes.	21 find the location of the minor child?
22	Q. Who was the therapist?	22 A. Yes.
23	A. Dr. Nancy Rivas.	23 Q. How long was it before you figured out where the
24	Q. Do you remember what her recommendation was?	24 child was?
25	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.	25 A. This last stint, that was three months.
	Page 50	
1	MS. FORTUNATO: It's in the Illinois court file.	1 Q. When we were here in January, we were before the
2	MS. DIENSTAG: No, it isn't.	2 Court in Miami, right?
3	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, it is.	3 A. Correct.
4	MS. DIENSTAG: No, it isn't. Then, bring it. I	4 Q. And the father provided an address, right?
5	have no objection to the entire Illinois court file,	5 A. Correct.
6	but her testifying on what a report says would be	6 Q. And he advised the Court that that was where he
7	hearsay.	7 resided?
8	THE COURT: The report is part of the file in	8 A. Correct.
9	Illinois?	9 Q. And that same address was provided to the
10	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.	10 Illinois Court, right?
11	THE COURT: Do you have the report?	11 A. I'm not sure.
12	MS. FORTUNATO: I have the transcripts.	12 Q. When you looked for the child, did the father
13	THE COURT: I don't have the transcripts from	13 live there at the Miami address he provided to the Miami
14	Illinois. Or not the transcripts. The docket.	14 judge?
15	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. I have them.	15 A. No. The address in the Illinois docket was
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I do have the docket from	different than what the PI found to be the address here.
17	Illinois. I take that back.	17 Q. How did you go about finding where he actually
18	MS. DIENSTAG: It was Mark Goldstein.	18 lived in the state of Florida?
19	THE COURT: When was this done?	19 A. I had to get a private investigator.
20	MS. DIENSTAG: Thomas Kenny and Mark Goldstein	20 Q. And how long did it take to find the child?
21	were the assigned Illinois court Mark Goldstein was	A. This last time, it took three months.
22	the therapist assigned by the Illinois Court to assist	Q. And did they find him at the residence that he
23	in the reunification process.	23 had provided to the Court, the residential address that
24	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	24 he provided to the Court?
25	Q. Did you ever see this what's that last name?	25 A. No.

	Page 53		Page 55
1	Q. It was a different address?	1	report that anything happened as far as physical. That
2	A. Yes.	2	wasn't until this time.
3	Q. And had you requested to know the whereabouts of	3	Q. So that was the first time in February of 2017?
4	the minor child?	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. Can you explain what happened in Illinois and
6	Q. And was that ever provided to you?	6	Washington to have the child returned?
7	A. No.	7	A. Yes. It's been a nightmare. In Washington, the
8	Q. Now, did this cause you any concern?	8	GAL testified by phone that I didn't get permission from
9	A. Yes.	9	the Court to leave; therefore, the Court in Washington
10	Q. Why?	10	couldn't take jurisdiction. So he was able to get a
11	A. I've raised Jacob since he was born by myself,	11	writ and bring him back to Florida. Again, the same
12	and there was no reason for me to keep him away from	12	process repeated. He wasn't at the address he was at
13	this child. But when this child is returned to me,	13	back in January. I didn't know where he was, and this
14	he's for six out of eight visits, he's returned with	14	went on for three months.
15	injuries. And this is crazy. It's insane. There is no	15	Q. And had you provided a telephone so that you
16	motive for me to do what I'm doing other than his	16	could speak to your child?
17	safety, and so that's why.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. When you found Jacob, you obtained an injunction	18	Q. And what happened with that telephone?
19	for protection against domestic violence?	19	A. Discarded immediately when he took him.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. And he didn't tell you where he was taking the
21	Q. Did you also obtain a pickup order?	21	child?
22	A. Yes. Upon the advice of my attorney in Illinois.	22	A. No.
23	Q. When you were reunited with Jacob, did you notice	23	Q. And when you picked him up, what else did you
24	anything different about him?	24	observe about your son?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. This last time or the first?
	Page 54		Page 56

Page 54

Page 56

1	Q. What did you notice different?	1	Q. When did you pick him up the last time? Let's go
2	A. Kind of a repeat of the same in the sense of with	2	back to that.
3	the sleeping. Very angry with me in the sense of	3	A. I picked him up on February the 9th.
4	asking, what happened? Who was this person? He hadn't	4	Q. Now, you picked him up February 9th. You went to
5	seen him in six years. He had told me that his father	5	Washington. They reversed that?
6	said a lot of things.	6	A. Correct.
7	Q. When you said that he hadn't seen him in six	7	Q. When was the next time?
8	years, he hadn't seen his father in six years?	8	A. He left on February 17th, and then I picked him
9	A. He hadn't seen him in six years. He didn't know	9	up again on May 30th of this year.
10	he was his dad. He didn't know who he was. And so he	10	Q. And for that time period, you had no idea where
11	was how could you leave me, Mommy? And I didn't want	11	your child was?
12	to explain everything.	12	A. No.
13	Q. And what's the reason he didn't see him for six	13	Q. And when you picked him up that second time, did
14	years?	14	you notice a difference in your son?
15	A. Part of it was the no-contact order, and part of	15	A. Oh, yeah.
16	it was he just didn't visit.	16	Q. What did you observe?
17	Q. After the order expired, he didn't do anything	17	A. Crazy. He's lost tons of weight.
18	to do visitation?	18	Q. How much weight did he lose?
19	A. Prior to the order and during the order, he	19	A. I can't I'm not a doctor, but I would guess
20	didn't get the psych or the he didn't pursue the	20	like 20 pounds. I mean, you can see his ribs.
21	supervised visits. And then after it	21	Q. And what did he look like before that?
22	Q. And when you picked him up, describe his physical	22	A. Totally different.
23	condition.	23	Q. And did you notice any bruises on him?
24	A. He was underweight at that time too. This was in	24	A. Yes.
25	February of 2017. But no injuries, thank God. Not a	25	Q. Where did you see bruises?

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

	Page 57		Page 59
1	A. On his right arm, upper right arm.	1	this day.
2	Q. Is this your son?	2	Q. And can you tell us the circumstances of what he
3	A. Yes.	3	told you?
4	Q. Are these the photos you took of him?	4	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.
5	MS. DIENSTAG: Can I see the pictures?	5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. I'm bringing them now.	6	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
7	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	7	Q. How did he come to tell you that? Immediately
8	Q. Can you describe those photos, please? Go ahead.	8	when he told you that, what was going on?
9	A. Yes. He's underweight	9	A. Right when the police brought him to me, I was
10	MS. DIENSTAG: May I please have a date on the	10	sitting in my car or sitting in the car. He got in the
11	photo?	11	back seat. First, we hugged each other. He got in the
12	THE WITNESS: It's on my phone.	12	back seat, and he said, Mommy
13	MS. DIENSTAG: Excuse me?	13	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.
14	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	14	THE COURT: You can't testify as to what the
15	Q. What date did you take the photos?	15	child said, because that's hearsay. He's not here. I
16	A. May 31st.	16	can't examine his demeanor. Okay?
17	MS. DIENSTAG: Of what year?	17	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
18	THE WITNESS: Of this year.	18	Q. Was he excited at the time?
19	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And what's different about his physical	20	Q. And did he make any statements in his excitement?
21	appearance than it was three months prior to that?	21	A. Not in that regard, no.
22	A. Skinny all in his face, his back. You can feel	22	Q. In his excitement, did he make any statements
23	his bones. The front of him, you can see his ribs. He	23	about abuse?
24	said that he was starving all the time, and he didn't	24	A. Not at that time.
25	eat much.	25	Q. Was there another time he was excited and talking
	Page 58		Page 60
1	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.	1	to you?
2	THE COURT: Sustained. I'll strike that.	2	A. He wasn't excited. He was in the back seat of
3	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	3	the car the day of pickup, and he made a statement
4	Q. Did he have any marks on his body?	4	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.
5	A. Yes.	5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	Q. What did he have on his body?	6	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
7	A. He had a bruise on his upper right arm.	7	Q. What was the circumstance of him in the car?
8	Q. Is that reflected in the photograph?	8	A. I had just picked him up.
9	A. No. Or yes, it is.	9	Q. And was he excited to see you?
10	MS. DIENSTAG: I have no objection to these being	10	A. Yes.
11	entered into evidence. I've only had two. What's the	11	Q. And what did he say?
12	third? Oh, this is the third?	12	A. I can say what he said?
13	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.	13	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.
14	MS. DIENSTAG: No objection.	14	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection.
14		15	You asked her previously if he was excited. She said
14	THE COURT: Okay.		
	THE COURT: Okay. (Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into	16	he was.
15	-	16 17	he was. MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car.
15 16	(Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into		
15 16 17	(Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.)	17	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car.
15 16 17 18	(Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO:	17 18	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right.
15 16 17 18 19	(Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.)BY MS. FORTUNATO:Q. Did you see any other marks on him?	17 18 19	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right. BY MS. FORTUNATO:
15 16 17 18 19 20	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you see any other marks on him? A. No. Q. Did you have concerns about your son being abused? 	17 18 19 20	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Was there another time he was did he make any
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you see any other marks on him? A. No. Q. Did you have concerns about your son being abused? A. Yes. 	17 18 19 20 21	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Was there another time he was did he make any other statements as to being abused?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you see any other marks on him? A. No. Q. Did you have concerns about your son being abused? A. Yes. Q. Why? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Was there another time he was did he make any other statements as to being abused? A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.) BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Did you see any other marks on him? A. No. Q. Did you have concerns about your son being abused? A. Yes. 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. FORTUNATO: When he got in the car. THE COURT: Right. BY MS. FORTUNATO: Q. Was there another time he was did he make any other statements as to being abused? A. Yes. Q. When was that, and what were the circumstances?

			10 (14900 01 00 01)
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. The circumstances were we were back at the hotel.	1	for me to leave the room to even go to the bathroom.
2	And he had stated to me that	2	Whenever there was a noise outside of the door, he would
3	Q. What was happening at the hotel at the time?	3	say well, I can't say what he said.
4	A. We were in the bathroom, and we were brushing his	4	Q. Were you concerned about his mental health?
5	teeth and just talking about what had been going on the	5	A. Yes.
6	last couple	6	Q. And did you meet with a psychologist to review
7	Q. And was he talking about his feelings?	7	his mental health?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And what did he say?	9	Q. Now, and after you met with the psychologist, did
10	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Hearsay.	10	she meet with your son as well?
11	MS. FORTUNATO: State of mind.	11	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Which psychologist and
12	MS. DIENSTAG: No. State of mind is not	12	when? When are we talking about?
13	relevant, and it's not an exception.	13	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
14	THE COURT: No, it is.	14	Q. Go ahead. Her name is what?
15	MS. FORTUNATO: It's the state of mind of the	15	A. Dr. Hooper.
16	child.	16	Q. And that's
17	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.	17	MS. DIENSTAG: We're talking about the one that's
18	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	18	just excluded that met after June 7?
19	Q. Did he have any concerns for safety?	19	THE COURT: Right. She's eliciting testimony
20	A. Yes.	20	from her with regards to this expert that they want to
21	Q. Now, back when you guys were doing supervised	21	bring forward.
22	time-sharing, were you also stalked by the father?	22	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. And did she examine him?
24	Q. Did you find things in his backpack?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. And after the examination, do you know any
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. What did you find?	1	reports to Department of Children and Family Services?
2	A. Tracking devices.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And were those reported to the police?	3	Q. And she also wrote a report and examined your son
4	A. Yes.	4	in this case?
5	Q. And you have photographs of them here?	5	A. Yes.
6	A. (Nodding head.)	6	Q. And did she make any findings that you know of?
7	Q. Did you find those items in his backpack?	7	A. Idon't know.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. And have you talked to DCF, Department of
9	MS. DIENSTAG: Do you have the time frame? When	9	Children and Family Services?
10	was this?	10	A. Yes.
11	BYMS. FORTUNATO:	11	Q. When did you last speak to them?
12	Q. When was it?	12	A. Yesterday.
13	A. Visit five and visit seven. Visit five was	13	Q. Now, can you still explain to the Court why you
14	August of 2010, and visit seven was December of 2010.	14	have a fear that your son is at risk with Mr. Gantine at
15	MS. DIENSTAG: This is for 2010? Is that what	15	the present time?
16	she just said?	16	A. Because for most of the visits, he returns with
17	THE COURT: Yes. Fifth visit and seventh visit	17	injuries. I'm never informed of what happens. Once my
18	with the father. Fifth visit, August 2010. Seventh	18	son began talking, he told me he was struck at the age
19	visit, December 2010.	19	of two. Now that he's nine, he's telling me all kinds
	BYMS. FORTUNATO:	20	of outrageous things in regards to that. The fact that
20	• Now what also did you absore shout the shild	0.1	
20 21	Q. Now, what else did you observe about the child	21	there's no remorse. There's never, you know, I'm sorry
20 21 22	when you picked him up?	22	or I'm going to get help or make a change.
20 21 22 23	when you picked him up? A. At these visits?	22 23	or I'm going to get help or make a change. Instead, I'm lied upon. I'm looked upon as this
20 21 22	when you picked him up?	22	or I'm going to get help or make a change.

	Page 65		Page 6
1	character. I'm just trying to fight for my child, and	1	Q. What type of physical abuse?
2	this just hasn't ended. This has been going on since he	2	A. Mostly confinement, grabbing, those types of
3	was born.	3	things.
4	And it's insanity, because it's he keeps	4	Q. And after that, you separated, right?
5	getting returned with injuries, but yet there's no	5	A. Mm-hmm.
6	repercussions. There's no change. And at this age, the	6	Q. And what type of abuse has been occurring since
7	fact that I didn't expect for him to return to me like	7	then?
8	this and tell me all the things that he has.	8	A. After we separated, he continually threatened
9	And it just seems like I don't know. Like,	9	suicide if I wouldn't return.
10	I'm not a psychologist. I don't know why this keeps	10	Q. Did he make threats about your son?
11	happening, and there's no remorse. There's no you	11	A. Yes. Constantly to this day.
12	know, this is his child, that he doesn't care. This is	12	Q. What type of threats did he make?
13	his own flesh and blood. You would think he would care.	13	A. I'm going to take him from you. This is probably
14	Q. To your knowledge, has Mr. Gantine ever attempted	14	the 50th time.
15	suicide?	15	Q. And he was able to be successful by not giving
16	A. Yes.	16	you notice of the last action in court, right?
17	Q. How many times?	17	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection.
18	A. Multiple.	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q. And was he ever Baker Acted, to your knowledge?	19	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. And do you still have a fear
21	Q. And after that happened, did he get any	21	A. Yes.
22	psychological treatment?	22	Q of Mr. Gantine? Why?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. Because he has not changed. There's no remorse.
24	Q. And do you know his status as of today?	24	There's no care. Instead, I'm being lied upon.
25	A. I don't.	25	Q. Has he continued the same behavior that he has in
	Page 66		Page 68
1	Q. And are you concerned that when you guys were	1	the past?
2	together, did he inflict any abuse upon you?	2	A. Yes.
3	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Relevance, and we	3	Q. With regard to the threats to take the child?
4	haven't established the time frame. When was the last	4	A. Yes.
5	time they were together?	5	Q. And did he conceal the child?
6	THE COURT: She has filed a petition for	6	A. Yes. I wasn't even allowed to know what school
7	injunction too, so it is relevant. So overruled. But	7	he was at, and I've raised him since birth.
8	the time frame, if you can establish a time frame.	8	MS. FORTUNATO: No further questions, Your Honor.
		1	

THE WITNESS: The last time that we were together was briefly -- or during the pregnancy, and I left at

seven months. BY MS. FORTUNAT

9

10

BY MS. FORTUNATO:
Q. What kind of things did he do to you?
MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Relevance, and what
time frame? What year are we talking about?
THE COURT: Any acts of domestic violence between
them is relevant. I have to consider them by statute.
MS. DIENSTAG: If we can have a time frame.
BY MS. FORTUNATO:

20 **Q. What's the time frame?**

21	A. So that was in 2007. So from I lived with him
22	from November of 2007 until March of 2008.
23	Q. And during the time you lived together, was there
24	any abuse?
25	A. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Any cross? CROSS-EXAMINATION

BYMS. DIENSTAG:

Q. Ms. Watts, talking about excitement, are you

familiar with the Bellevue Police Department report that

- was done on January 27th, 2017, when they finally found
- your son in Washington?
- A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

23

24

25

- Q. And did you read in that report that: "When I
- 18 told Jacob's father" --19 MS. FORTUNATO
 - MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Hearsay.
 - THE COURT: One moment.
- 21 MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Hearsay statement
- 22 from these officers.
 - THE COURT: Is this the statement that the police
 - officer --MS. DIENSTAG: I asked her if she's familiar with

	Page 69		Page 71
1	the statement that	1	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am.
2	THE COURT: I think it's part of the order.	2	THE COURT: So you're not going to be your
3	MS. DIENSTAG: "He lit up and started"	3	attorney is instructing you that since you are under
4	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection, Your Honor. It's not	4	investigation for fraud in connection with this birth
5	part of that's a police report she's reading from.	5	certificate, she's instructing you not to respond to
6	THE COURT: What I'm saying is that this is part	6	that question. Okay?
7	of what I've already taken judicial notice of. I	7	THE WITNESS: (Nodding head.)
8	believe this is part of the transcripts.	8	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
9	MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor.	9	Q. Have you ever called your son Jacob Tyler Ryan?
10	MS. FORTUNATO: No. This is a police report	10	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Same objection.
11	she's reading from. She can read it from the	11	Fifth Amendment privilege.
12	transcript if she has it.	12	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
13	THE COURT: Oh, okay.	13	Q. Did you ever enroll your child in a Washington
14	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	14	school based upon a birth certificate where your child
15	Q. So you said that you're familiar with the police	15	was named Jacob Tyler Ryan?
16	report. In the police report, did it indicate that your	16	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Fifth Amendment
17	son was excited?	17	privilege.
18	A. Yes.	18	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
19	Q. And was he so excited that he lit up and he	19	Q. Did you indicate that your son, Jacob Tyler Ryan,
20	started clapping his hands when he was able to see his	20	was born someplace other than West Palm Beach?
21	father?	21	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Fifth Amendment
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Hearsay. She would	22	privilege.
23	have no knowledge of that. That's based on police	23	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
24	reports. Hearsay statement.	24	Q. Where was your son born?
25	MS. DIENSTAG: She was standing there.	25	A. West Palm Beach.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	THE WITNESS: I was not standing there.	1	Q. Ma'am, all the orders that you've indicated,
2	MS. FORTUNATO: No, she wasn't there.	2	please provide me a copy of any order that restricts
3	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain. I'm going to	3	Mr. Gantine, other than in Miami, from you or your
4	strike that. But, again, this is part of the factual	4	child.
5	findings that were entered by Commissioner Hillman on	5	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection.
6	May 23rd. I have it.	6	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
7	THE WITNESS: He was clapping because he thought	7	Q. Do you have with you in this very important
8	it was his stepfather. That's the only father he's	8	hearing any of the orders that you testified that said
9	ever known.	9	that he was not allowed to be near you for four years?
10	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	10	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection.
11	Q. And maybe because he was a little confused that	11	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
12	he thought his name was Ryan because is this the	12	Q. Do you have a copy of that order?
13	birth certificate that you had done in	13	THE COURT: Let me hear the objection.
14	MS. FORTUNATO: I need to see that, please.	14	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Your Honor, you said
15	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	15	you have all the court files. You've taken judicial
16	Q Indiana indicating that your son's name was	16	notice. Clearly, my client doesn't have all the
17	Ryan?	17	orders on the stand.
18	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. I'm going to Fifth	18	MS. DIENSTAG: I'm asking her. She gets to
19	Amendment, because Mr. Gantine has contacted the	19	answer. Does she have a copy of that order? Because
20	police department. She got a call the other day.	20	I'm not aware of any such order. Does she have a copy
21	She's now under investigation for a birth certificate	21	of that order?
22	fraud, because he just called it in. So she can't	22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
23	testify to it.	23	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
24	THE COURT: All right. So she's pleading the	24 25	Q. Do you have it here? A. Yes.
25	Fifth? Are you pleading the Fifth?		

Page 76

	Page 73		Page 75
1	Q. Can you please ask your	1	harassment and abuse, have you provided that information
2	MS. FORTUNATO: Withdrawn.	2	and told this information to the DCF investigators?
3	THE COURT: Okay. You may go to the table and	3	A. No.
4	get your copy. First, hand it to your counsel. You	4	Q. And why not?
5	may go to the table. Do you have the order? Oh,	5	A. I just focused on Jacob. I didn't talk about
6	she's still looking.	6	myself.
7	While she's looking, Counsel, these photos were	7	Q. And have you provided DCF with all of the
8	not admitted into evidence. Are these also	8	evidence and allegations with regards to Jacob to DCF?
9	MS. FORTUNATO: We would ask to admit them. Yes,	9	A. Not everything, no.
10	please.	10	Q. Well, what else is there?
11	THE COURT: Any objection to these photos of	11	A. There's a lot. I haven't gone over each visit
12	items that she testified to that she found in the	12	from visits one through eight. I just focused on the
13	child's backpack? Ms. Dienstag, any objection to	13	last two. And I told them that for the visits one
14	these?	14	through eight that for six of them, he returned with
15	MS. DIENSTAG: No.	15	injuries. But I didn't specifically go over everything
16	THE CLERK: Petitioner's 5.	16	with them.
17	(Petitioner's Exhibit 5 was admitted into	17	Q. And why not?
18	evidence.)	18	A. The question they didn't ask that question.
19	BYMS. DIENSTAG:	19	Q. And so all these pictures of Jacob, you
20	Q. You're handing me the Illinois file, right?	20	introduced those into evidence in Illinois too, correct?
21	A. Yeah.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. But there's no other file other than the one that	22	Q. And so the judge in Illinois saw all those
23	you just withdrew your petition on, 09F that same	23	pictures?
24	case? All these orders are in the same Illinois file?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Correct.	25	Q. And these pictures, is this what your son looks
		1	

Page 74

1	Q. There's no other Illinois file other than that	1	like today?
2	one?		A. Some of them, yes.
3	A. No.	3	Q. And do you think in any of them, he looks
-			
4	Q. So we would agree that the court file that Judge	4	severely underweight?
5	Caballero has taken judicial notice of is the only	5	A. In some of them, yes.
6	Illinois court file?	6	Q. And is this all pictures of your son?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you have no other domestic violence	8	MS. DIENSTAG: We would like to introduce these
9	injunctions pending, or were any others entered?	9	pictures.
10	A. No.	10	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Self-serving, and you
11	Q. And you said that you are in fear of your safety.	11	have to introduce them with your witness.
12	Do you have any emails, tapes, letters, or evidence of	12	MS. DIENSTAG: Well, it goes to the issue of that
13	abuse between you and Mr. Gantine from 2008 to 2017?	13	she feels that the child
14	A. Yes.	14	THE COURT: Don't publish them to me. She's
15	Q. And what do you have with you?	15	objecting. Is your client going to testify?
16	A. With me, I have emails and I have to think for	16	MS. DIENSTAG: Yeah. It can go in through him.
17	a minute.	17	THE COURT: You can question him about it.
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was the last	18	BY MS. DIENSTAG:
19	THE WITNESS: Emails.	19	Q. You not only were represented by counsel in
20	THE COURT: That's it?	20	Illinois, but you were represented by counsel in
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	Washington as well?
22	THE COURT: Oh, I thought you had said something	22	A. Not the entire duration, no.
23	else. Okay.	23	Q. But were you recently represented in Washington
24	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	24	by counsel?
25	Q. And with regards to your allegations of	25	A. Yes.

	Page 77		Page 79
1	Q. And so you litigated in Washington represented by	1	A. Yes.
2	counsel, correct?	2	Q. And have you spoken to him recently?
3	A. At what time period?	3	A. No.
4	Q. Recently.	4	Q. And why not?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. He has not returned my calls.
6	Q. You litigated in Illinois represented by counsel	6	Q. And have you asked for his assistance with
7	recently; is that correct?	7	regards to any of the allegations that you're making
8	A. Yes.	8	with regards to your son and Mr. Gantine?
9	Q. And you litigated in Broward County represented	9	A. Recently?
10	by counsel recently; is that correct?	10	Q. Yes.
11	A. Yes.	11	A. No.
12	Q. And is this a copy of your counsel's notice of	12	Q. In the past, have you elicited his assistance
13	intent to withdraw in the Washington case that was filed	13	with regards to representing your son?
14	on May 31st, 2017?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. I haven't seen it. But she and I have a very	15	Q. And how has that worked?
16	good relationship.	16	A. It has not worked.
17	Q. You don't have a good relationship with her?	17	Q. And with regards to injuries, other than what you
18	A. No, I do.	18	describe as injuries, which is depicted in the pictures
19	Q. Oh, you do. Well, you don't know that she has	19	of your son, do you have any other evidence of injuries
20	withdrawn?	20	to your son?
21	A. Oh, yes. She's told me.	21	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Relevance.	22	Q. Injuries. You said that your son was injured.
23	THE COURT: I'll sustain it.	23	Do you have any other evidence of injuries that occurred
24	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	24	to your son when with his father?
24	Q. Oh, she told you she's withdrawn?	24	-
23		20	A. His testimony, the medical reports from the
	Page 78		Page 80
1	THE COURT: I've sustained the objection as to	1	doctors.
2	relevance.	2	Q. Do you have those with you?
3	THE WITNESS: There was no need	3	A. Yes.
4	THE COURT: There's no question pending.	4	Q. And were they introduced into evidence in
5	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	5	Illinois?
6	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	6	A. No, I don't believe so.
7	Q. Is that her notice of intent to withdraw?	7	Q. And why not?
8	MS. FORTUNATO: Objection. Again, she's it	8	A. I don't recall.
9	was sustained. Objection. Relevance.	9	Q. And with regards to the pictures of your son in
10	THE COURT: I mean, as to the notice? You're	10	2010, he was approximately two years old, correct?
11	showing her a notice. I think you asked her if she	11	A. Correct.
12	had seen it, and she said no.	12	Q. And so when your son was with you when he was two
13	MS. DIENSTAG: She said no.	13	years old, did he ever get, by your words, injured?
14	BY MS. DIENSTAG:	14	A. Yes. From falling.
15	Q. But you're aware that she's seeking to withdraw,	15	Q. And have accidents?
16	yes?	16	A. Nothing, like, abnormal or anything like that.
17	A. Yes. We talked about it.	17	Nothing, like, on the face like this or repeat. Nothing
18	Q. And does your son have a guardian ad litem?	18	like that.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. And so when your son was two years old, what kind
20	Q. And was he appointed in April of 2015?	20	of accidents did he have with you?
21	A. No.	21	A. I can't even remember. Just he never had
22	Q. When was he appointed?	22	injuries like this. Not that was
		23	Q. Did he ever have any?
23	A. December of 2014.		-
23 24 25	 A. December of 2014. Q. And since December of 2014, have you spoken to Thomas Kenny? 	24 25	 A not normal. Q. Did he ever have any accidents when he was two

Page 81	Page 83
1 years old living with you?	1 Q. So one is enough?
A. Yeah. I mean, nothing that I can even remember.	2 A. Yes.
3 Q. Would you describe your son as an active	3 THE COURT: That will be Respondent's A.
4 two-year-old boy?	4 THE CLERK: Yes.
5 A. Sure, normal.	5 (Respondent's Exhibit A was admitted into
6 Q. And did DCF interview your son since the filing	6 evidence.)
7 of the two domestic violence injunctions?	7 BYMS. DIENSTAG:
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. Other than in court, when was the last time you
9 Q. And they spoke to him?	9 were physically present anywhere with Mr. Gantine? What
10 A. Yes.	10 year?
11 Q. And they actually, there was a physical you	11 A. 2008.
12 know, they saw any physical appearances or anything that	12 Q. And other than if there was any communication in
13 you would be concerned about that was on his physical	13 court, when was the last time there was any
14 body?	14 communication between you and Mr. Gantine? In what
15 A. Yes.	15 year?
16 Q. And they also, I assume, saw the weight?	16 A. Communication over the phone?
A. Well, they didn't see when he was picked up on	17 Q. Right.
18 the 31st. When he met with the investigator, I believe	18A. This year.
19 it was the 31st or the 1st. I don't know if she saw his	19 Q. And when was that?
20 chest or anything like that. But I know that he came	A. That was in February of this year.
²¹ back again, and there were pictures taken at that point.	Q. And was that with regards to the pickup of your
And that was maybe a couple of days later.	22 son?
Q. So do you have any pictures of your son 20 pounds	23 A. Mm-hmm.
24 heavier the month before he was with you?	2.4 THE COURT: You have to answer yes or no.
25 A. Yes.	25 THE WITNESS: Yes.
Page 82	Page 84
1 Q. And where are those pictures where he was	1 THE COURT: Thank you.
2 20 pounds heavier the month before?	2 BYMS. DIENSTAG:
3 A. Also, I have a picture of him ten days after this	3 Q. Other than in February of 2017 with regards to
4 visit as well with his shirt off. This is before. This	4 the pickup of your son, when was the last time other
5 is before, and this is before.	5 than in court that you had any verbal communication with
6 Q. So when is this picture of your son?	6 Mr. Gantine?
7 A. That was in January of this year.	7 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
8 Q. January of this year?	8 Q. Other than in February of 2017 with regards to
9 A. Mm-hmm.	9 the pickup of your son, when was the last time you had

A. Mm-hmm.

10 Q. And according to you, he lost 20 pounds from this 11 day until when you picked him up? 12 A. I stated I don't know exactly. I'm approximating 13 20 pounds. It's drastic weight loss. 14 Q. What he looked like then until what he looked 15 like at pickup is what caused you concern to cause the 16 emergency jurisdiction of this Court?

- 17 A. No. It was also his testimony, what he told me.
- 18 Q. And in part, this is what he looked like in
- 19 January, and you feel that there's a real concern? 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 MS. DIENSTAG: Any objection? 22 MS. FORTUNATO: No.
- 23 BY MS. DIENSTAG: 24

25

Q. Is this all the same picture? A. Yes.

20 A. Yes. Q. And how do you have evidence of those harassing 21

any verbal communication with Mr. Gantine other than in

A. I would have to say before I left Illinois, so in

Q. And how many times in 2015 did you talk to

A. I can't say exactly. They were harassing calls,

Q. And do you have any evidence of those harassing

22 calls? 23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

court?

2015.

calls?

Mr. Gantine?

- A. Well, I had the phone, but I don't have the phone
- 24 with me here in court. It's an old cell phone.

so I can't -- I don't know exactly.

25 Q. And so other than the harassing calls that you

	Page 85		Page 87
1	don't have the evidence of, do you have any other	1	Q. And during the four years that you were from
2	evidence with you here today of harassing calls between	2	2012 to, let's say, 2015, was Mr. Gantine aware of your
3	you and Mr. Gantine?	3	address?
4	A. I have spoof calls on my phone today, but I can't	4	A. I don't know.
5	prove it's him. But I'm getting spoof calls on my	5	MS. DIENSTAG: That's all I have, Your Honor.
6	phone.	6	THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect?
7	Q. But you don't know if it's him?	7	MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor.
8	A. I can't prove it, but I do believe it's him.	8	THE COURT: Okay. So you can go back to the
9	Q. Other than the ones that you can't prove it's him	9	table with your attorney.
10	or the ones that you don't have on the phone, do you	10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	have any evidence of a harassing call?	11	(The witness stepped down.)
12	A. No.	12	MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, at this time, I move
13	Q. And other than Mr. Gantine or his counsel or the	13	to dismiss the domestic violence injunctions, case
14	guardian or the police advising you of your son's pickup	14	No. 2017-013378 and 2017-013616.
15	or arrest, do you have any other emails of a harassing	15	MS. FORTUNATO: I still have a witness, Your
16	nature since 2015 between you and Mr. Gantine?	16	Honor, that needs to testify.
17	A. No. I changed my email.	17	THE COURT: So the witness that you're proposing,
18	Q. Your counsel had asked you a question of whether	18	the expert, I just don't see the relevance. You have
19	or not Mr. Gantine participated in reunification	19	to of course, you have the right to put on your
20	therapy. You would agree the order occurred in about	20	case. But how this witness, as far as the mother's
21	April of 2015, correct?	21	petition, let's address that. She's not going to
22	A. Correct.	22	testify. She hasn't been seeing the mother, has she?
23	Q. And when did you move to Washington?	23	MS. FORTUNATO: Correct.
24	A. November of 2015.	24	THE COURT: So it's just as to the child?
25	Q. And the period of reunification was supposed to	25	MS. FORTUNATO: Correct.
			Docco 99
1	Page 86 last a year, correct?	1	Page 88 THE COURT: So was there any other witness that
2	A. Correct.	2	you were going to call in connection with her petition
3	Q. And that was court ordered?	3	for injunction?
4	A. Yes.	4	MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor.
5	Q. So within the time of the court-ordered	5	THE COURT: Well, she's moved for a directed
6	mediation, you moved to Washington?	6	verdict. Do you have anything that you'd like to say
7	A. He did not do his part. He did not get a	7	in response?
8	psychologist. I was meeting with a psychologist, and he	8	MS. FORTUNATO: Only, Your Honor, that she has
9	did not have anyone here in Florida.	9	had she testified to a significant history of
10	Q. Ma'am, I asked you: Within a few months of being	10	domestic violence. You have taken judicial notice of
11	ordered to participate in reunification therapy, did you	11	the court file and transcripts from Illinois. The
		1	• • • •
12	leave for Washington?	12	Court there in the transcript dated 9/24/2012 has gone
12 13	leave for Washington? A. Idid.	12 13	Court there in the transcript dated 9/24/2012 has gone through a significant amount of violence with the
	-		
13	A. I did.	13	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other
13 14	A. I did.Q. And when you say that you didn't know the	13 14	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's
13 14 15	A. I did. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine	13 14 15	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other
13 14 15 16	 A. Idid. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? 	13 14 15 16	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and
13 14 15 16 17	 A. I did. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. Q. And you hired Dr. Rivas, did you not? 	13 14 15 16 17	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and obviously the Court in Illinois did find there was a
13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Idid. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I did. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. Q. And you hired Dr. Rivas, did you not? A. The child pediatrician had recommended after the second visit 	13 14 15 16 17 18	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and obviously the Court in Illinois did find there was a need for protection back in 2012 no. It was in 2010. And it was modified and extended. And it
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Idid. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. Q. And you hired Dr. Rivas, did you not? A. The child pediatrician had recommended after the second visit Q. No. I'm asking you if she was a private doctor. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and obviously the Court in Illinois did find there was a need for protection back in 2012 no. It was in 2010. And it was modified and extended. And it expired, I believe her testimony was, sometime in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I did. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. Q. And you hired Dr. Rivas, did you not? A. The child pediatrician had recommended after the second visit 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and obviously the Court in Illinois did find there was a need for protection back in 2012 no. It was in 2010. And it was modified and extended. And it expired, I believe her testimony was, sometime in 2015. And I know at that time, the Court denied her
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Idid. Q. And when you say that you didn't know the whereabouts of Mr. Gantine, did you tell Mr. Gantine that you had moved to Washington with your son? A. No. Q. And you hired Dr. Rivas, did you not? A. The child pediatrician had recommended after the second visit Q. No. I'm asking you if she was a private doctor. She wasn't a court-ordered doctor. She was a doctor you 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	through a significant amount of violence with the spoof calls, with the harassment of the mother. Other than that, Your Honor, there is nothing else. There's been nothing in Florida at the present time. THE COURT: So while there may have been and obviously the Court in Illinois did find there was a need for protection back in 2012 no. It was in 2010. And it was modified and extended. And it expired, I believe her testimony was, sometime in

	Page 89		Page 91
1	imminent danger to her. I find that she's failed to	1	listening to the allegations of the mother. But let's
2	meet her burden as to her petition for domestic	2	remember this is the fifth DCF investigation.
3	violence injunction, and I'll grant the motion to	3	MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know what tests they did.
4	dismiss.	4	MS. DIENSTAG: And so there can't be an expert
5	MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you.	5	witness on can't be tendered as an expert based
6	THE COURT: Now, as to the child, you know,	6	upon the petition for injunction, because the subject
7	hearsay, there's no question that the child and the	7	matter of the petition is what the son said.
8	mother had proffered earlier that the child was here	8	THE COURT: Right.
9	to testify. The child the petition clearly says	9	MS. DIENSTAG: And since the expert has not
10	that a motion has to be filed. That didn't happen.	10	spoken to any of the judges or the guardian or the
11	So the issue is, then, she's relying on the statements	11	father and has not conducted a true evaluation as an
12	from this child where no motion has been filed or	12	expert appointed by the Court, she is not an expert.
13	presented for the child's testimony to be heard by the	13	She was hired by the mother, like Dr. Rivas was, on
14	Court. It's hearsay, so it would have to come under	14	the same allegations that has been heard in at least
15	an exception.	15	two other jurisdictions, and she is not an expert.
16	MS. FORTUNATO: I gave you the case law on that,	16	And, you know, under the Florida statutes, under
17	Your Honor.	17	the rules, under the evidence code, there would be no
18	THE COURT: And you did give a case. I haven't	18	basis for her to testify, nor should she be allowed
19	read that case. I haven't been able to see it. I'm	19	to. And any of her testimony would be based on
20	relying on you as an officer of the court that that's	20	hearsay, which would be what the mother or child told
21	what it stands for.	21	her.
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes, ma'am.	22	MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, I gave you the case
23	THE COURT: And we do receive reports from	23	that allows an expert to use hearsay.
24	experts in psychological evaluations as well as	24	MS. DIENSTAG: There's no case here. There's
25	psychiatric evaluations that contain statements of the	25	been no case presented.
	Page 90		Page 92
			200002
1	person who the doctor has evaluated on a regular as	1	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do.
1 2	a regular course of business. That's what they do.	1 2	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case?
			MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert.
2	a regular course of business. That's what they do.	2	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again.
2 3	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications,	2 3	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel
2 3 4	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying.	2 3 4	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it.
2 3 4 5	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the	2 3 4 5	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of
2 3 4 5 6 7	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no reason for evaluations or medical treatment and has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the expert's own assessment of the medical condition in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no reason for evaluations or medical treatment and has THE COURT: Well, no. They did evaluate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the expert's own assessment of the medical condition in question, and they found that it was properly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no reason for evaluations or medical treatment and has THE COURT: Well, no. They did evaluate the child.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the expert's own assessment of the medical condition in question, and they found that it was properly admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no reason for evaluations or medical treatment and has THE COURT: Well, no. They did evaluate the child. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. I'm saying they didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the expert's own assessment of the medical condition in question, and they found that it was properly admitted. MS. DIENSTAG: Right. And that's what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a regular course of business. That's what they do. So that would be the exception. It would be that it's a business record. She would have to be tendered as a witness. So you would have to lay the qualifications, because they're objecting to her testifying. Would you like an opportunity to speak to the witness before? MS. DIENSTAG: No. I mean, because she's a fact witness. She's not an expert witness, and so she can't be called to testify. And also, she's irrelevant. Anything she would have is hearsay, and the Florida statutes still apply. As much as the child can't testify, the mother should have never taken she told the Court that DCF was doing the investigation. She led us to believe that any evaluations were being conducted by DCF, and the Court extended this so that DCF would have the time. You did speak to DCF. DCF had absolutely no reason for evaluations or medical treatment and has THE COURT: Well, no. They did evaluate the child.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FORTUNATO: That's what experts do. THE COURT: Do you have the case? MS. DIENSTAG: She's not an expert. MS. FORTUNATO: I'll give it to you again. THE COURT: I'll pull up the case so both counsel and I can see it. MS. DIENSTAG: What is she an expert in? Opposing counsel hasn't even indicated. Her area of expertise is what? THE COURT: I already said she would have to render she would have to lay the foundation for her being admitted as an expert. MS. DIENSTAG: This witness has not been found to be an expert, nor could she be in this case. THE COURT: So it's a medical malpractice case. It's not a DV case. So in this case, a doctor uses relies upon hearsay statements of other doctors in his report and in his opinion testimony. And at issue was whether his own it was based in part on the expert's own assessment of the medical condition in question, and they found that it was properly admitted.

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

	Page 93		Page 95
1	statements that he said and that, based upon those	1	was continued.
2	statements, would that be abuse?	2	MS. FORTUNATO: Well, let me put it to you this
3	And so the mother has put on allegations of	3	way. How is it any different than anything DCF is
4	years you know, of prior allegations of things that	4	doing?
5	occurred in 2010. Again, that would be nothing that	5	MS. DIENSTAG: There's nothing that this witness
6	the doctor could an expert could testify about,	6	could say. It's not relevant, and it's not
7	whether or not that would happen. That would be the	7	MS. FORTUNATO: It's absolutely relevant. She
8	truth of the matter. That's for the Court to	8	evaluated the child. She has spoken to the child.
9	determine.	9	That's what psychologists do.
10	There's nothing innate subject to expert	10	MS. DIENSTAG: She shouldn't have, Judge.
11	testimony based upon the petition that has been filed	11	MS. FORTUNATO: And it's about child abuse, abuse
12	by the mother. There's nothing she could be an expert	12	to the child. And this is the psychologist. This is
13	in the subject of in this case. They're just trying	13	what they do.
14	to label her an expert in order to get hearsay	14	MS. DIENSTAG: She has no
15	statements in.	15	THE COURT: I think, as I stated earlier, we
16	But she is a doctor that was hired a few days ago	16	customarily do get reports and opinions from
17	to that took hearsay information from the child	17	psychologists and psychiatrists who rely on the
18	that has no probative value whatsoever; has talked to	18	hearsay. Because actually, they rely on the
19	nobody else, including the father; and is going to	19	statements made by this reporter, whoever the patient
20	make recommendations that are not in an expert nature.	20	is, when they formulate their opinions as to diagnosis
21	Nor is the subject of the testimony of the petition,	21	or make any recommendations. It is customary.
22	which makes specific allegations about what the son	22	So even before reading this, that's what I was
23	said when he was picked up, whether he ate, whether he	22	saying. It's very common in this profession that
24	slept, whether he clung to his mother, and whether or	24	that's what they do. So I just I don't know what
24	not, you know, he missed his mother.	24	information she's been given. You'll have an
2.5		25	
	Page 94		Page 96
1	MS. FORTUNATO: Counsel has no idea	1	opportunity to explore that with her testimony. Have
2	MS. DIENSTAG: That is not the subject of expert	2	you contacted Judge Trawick?
3	testimony. That would be hearsay.	3	MS. DIENSTAG: No. And I'm probably in deep
4	MS. FORTUNATO: Counsel doesn't know what she	4	trouble. It started 15 minutes ago.
5	did.	5	THE COURT: It's your case? Why don't you call.
6	MS. DIENSTAG: But that's what	6	Let's recess. I'm going to bring them in. It's going
7	THE COURT: One at a time, please.	7	to be a quick emergency motion, and you can make your
8	MS. DIENSTAG: That's what the petition says.	8	call outside. We'll recess for a few minutes.
9	MS. FORTUNATO: She evaluated the child. She's	9	(A recess was taken.)
10	spoken to the child. And, yes, she's relied on some	10	THE COURT: So we were addressing
11	hearsay. She's spoken to the previous therapist, but	11	MS. DIENSTAG: My motion to dismiss related to
12	she will be the one to tell you what she's done for	12	the other domestic violence petition.
13	her evaluation.	13	THE COURT: Right. So I'm going to deny it at
14	MS. DIENSTAG: We're missing it. This is a	14	this time. I'll allow you to call your witness, and
15	petition for domestic violence. This is not a medical	15	we'll see.
16	malpractice case	16	MS. DIENSTAG: You're going to allow her to call
17	MS. FORTUNATO: Well, of course it's not.	17	the psychologist?
18	MS. DIENSTAG: in which the issue is whether	18	THE COURT: Yeah. We went over all of that
19	or not there has been medical malpractice. The	19	before when I started addressing the motion to
20	subject matter of a petition for domestic violence	20	dismiss.
21	when the allegations are like they are is not the	21	MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I voir dire the
22	subject of expert testimony per the proffering of	22	witness before she begins?
23	opposing counsel on the witness that just met the	23	THE COURT: Yes, of course. Absolutely. Let's
24	child on June 7th, after the last hearing in which she	24	call her.
25	had was supposed to bring all her witnesses and it	25	
~		1 ·	

1 2	Page 97		Page 99
	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	1	the issues before the Court have nothing to do with
	BYMS. DIENSTAG:	2	risk assessment. And what was the other thing?
3	Q. Ms. Hooper?	3	THE WITNESS: Competency.
4	A. Dr. Hooper, yes.	4	THE COURT: Yeah. Competency to proceed to
5	Q. And have you been established as an expert	5	trial.
6	witness in any court in Miami-Dade County?	6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	A. Yes.	7	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. And so this witness can't
8	Q. In what area is your expertise?	8	be tendered as an expert witness if she
9	A. Psychology, clinical psychology.	9	THE COURT: Well, I'll give her an opportunity to
10	Q. And clinical expertise is in what area of	10	question her further to see if she can be, but I'm
11	clinical psychology?	11	going to have her sworn in first.
12	A. I've been qualified as a forensic expert.	12	If you raise your right hand, we'll have you
13	Q. As a forensic expert?	13	sworn in.
14	A. Yes.	14	THE CLERK: Do you swear or affirm the testimony
15	Q. In what area?	15	you're about to give to the court will be the truth?
16	A. In competency and risk assessment.	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	Q. Competency and risk assessment?	17	DR. ELIZABETH HOOPER,
18	A. Yes.	18	having first been duly sworn, was examined and
19	Q. And so you have been qualified as an expert	19	testified as follows:
20	witness in competency and risk assessment with regards	20	THE CLERK: State your name.
21	to what area?	21	THE WITNESS: Elizabeth Hooper.
22	A. Criminal.	22	MS. DIENSTAG: And this is on the issue of her
23	Q. In the criminal court?	23	competency of being an expert witness?
24	A. Yes.	24	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
25	Q. Have you ever been accepted as an expert witness	25	MS. DIENSTAG: Opposing counsel is trying to
	Page 98		Page 100
1	in unified family court?	1	establish her as an expert witness?
2	A. I have not.	2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	Q. Have you ever been accepted as an expert witness	3	MS. DIENSTAG: Okay.
4	in Miami-Dade County and family court?	4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
5	A. No.	5	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
6	THE CLERK: Excuse me. Can the psychologist	6	Q. Please state your name.
7	repeat her name, please?	7	A. Elizabeth Hooper.
	THE WITNESS: Elizabeth Hooper.	8	Q. And, Dr. Hooper, what is your occupation?
8	THE COURT: And she hasn't been sworn in, so I'm		
8 9		9	A. I'm a psychologist.
	going to have her sworn in.	9 10	Q. And where are you employed?
9	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike		Q. And where are you employed?A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business
9 10	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the	10	Q. And where are you employed?A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida.
9 10 11	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike	10 11 12 13	Q. And where are you employed?A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida.Q. What is your present title?
9 10 11 12	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in	10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her	10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court. She's never been accepted as an expert witness in	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do? A. Yes, certainly. I conduct therapy with families,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court. She's never been accepted as an expert witness in unified family court; that she's only been in criminal	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do? A. Yes, certainly. I conduct therapy with families, couples, individuals. I also supervise interns,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court. She's never been accepted as an expert witness in unified family court; that she's only been in criminal court on risk assessment.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do? A. Yes, certainly. I conduct therapy with families, couples, individuals. I also supervise interns, psychology interns, and postdoctoral psychology
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court. She's never been accepted as an expert witness in unified family court; that she's only been in criminal court on risk assessment. THE COURT: Right.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do? A. Yes, certainly. I conduct therapy with families, couples, individuals. I also supervise interns, psychology interns, and postdoctoral psychology residents. I oversee cases in the dependency system for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to have her sworn in. MS. DIENSTAG: Well, Your Honor, I move to strike the witness in that she's being brought before the Court as an expert witness. She has no expertise in THE COURT: Well, I'll allow her to voir dire her or question her further to establish if she's qualified or not. Do you have any questions? MS. DIENSTAG: She just said that she's never been accepted as an expert witness in family court. She's never been accepted as an expert witness in unified family court; that she's only been in criminal court on risk assessment.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And where are you employed? A. I'm employed with Ann Monis, P.A., doing business as Mental Health Center of Florida. Q. What is your present title? A. I am the director of training and a senior staff psychologist. Q. And what position do you currently hold? A. I am a psychologist. Q. And could you describe briefly the subject matter of what you do? A. Yes, certainly. I conduct therapy with families, couples, individuals. I also supervise interns, psychology interns, and postdoctoral psychology

	Page 101		Page 103
1	evaluations, psychological evaluations, substance use	1	A. Have I taught?
2	evaluations.	2	Q. Yes.
3	Q. And what academic degrees are held by you?	3	A. I have not held any university posts.
4	A. I have a doctorate in psychology from Nova	4	Q. Have you taught anybody else? Any lectures or
5	Southeastern University. I have a master's in	5	anything like that in your field of psychology?
6	psychology from Nova Southeastern University. I have a	6	A. Yes, I have. And I currently am the training
7	master's in counseling from Chatham University, and I	7	director overseeing the predoctoral psychology interns
8	have a postdoctoral master's in psychopharmacology from	8	as well as the postdoctoral residents.
9	Nova Southeastern University.	9	Q. And where and when do you lecture?
10	Q. And are you licensed in the state of Florida?	10	A. It's at our didactic series through the practice.
11	A. I am.	11	Q. And have you published any publications in
12	Q. What license do you hold?	12	psychology?
13	A. Psychology.	13	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to relevance as to
14	Q. And how long have you been licensed?	14	the specifics of the expertise. Not if she's
15	A. I have been licensed since 2009.	15	published in psychology generally is it relevant to
16	Q. And what's your length of time practicing in the	16	the expertise that she's being offered for.
17	field?	17	MS. FORTUNATO: She's being offered as a
18	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the	18	psychologist.
19	question. As to what?	19	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that one.
20	THE COURT: As to what?	20	You can answer.
21	MS. DIENSTAG: What field? She said how long	21	THE WITNESS: I have not published anything since
22	she's been licensed in the field.	22	becoming licensed.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain it.	23	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
24	BY MS. FORTUNATO:	24	Q. Do you have a membership in any professional
25	Q. How long have you been licensed as a	25	societies?
	Page 102		Page 104
1	psychologist?	1	A. I do not.
2	A. Since 2009.	2	Q. And do you have any honors, acknowledgments, or
3	Q. And what positions have you held since you	3	awards received by you in your field?
4	completed your formal education?	4	A. No.
5	A. Since completing my formal education, I was a	5	Q. And how long have you been doing this?
6	postdoctoral resident conducting neuropsychological	6	A. How long have I been a licensed psychologist?
7	assessments for workers' comp and other issues in a	7	Q. Yes.
8	private practice. I worked at the Miami-Dade Public	8	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered.
9	Defender's Office in capital litigation as a mitigation	9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	specialist. I worked at Treasure Coast Forensic	10	BYMS. FORTUNATO:
11	Treatment Center. That's where I did the competency and	11	Q. And how many times have you testified in court as
12	risk assessment evaluations, and then I've worked at	12	an expert witness?
13	Mental Health Center of Florida.	13	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection as to the form of the
14	Q. And how long have you been in your position where	14	question relative to the expertise that's before this
15 16	you're employed now?	15	Court that she is being offered for. And she's
16 17	 A. Fourteen months. Q. And what are your specific duties with the 	16 17	already asked and answered that. She's never been an
17	company?	18	expert witness in family or in MS. FORTUNATO: I asked her how many times she's
± 0		1 10	-
		19	testitied as an expert witness
19	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered.	19 20	testified as an expert witness. THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to
19 20	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered. She already answered.	20	THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to
19	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered. She already answered. MS. FORTUNATO: Oh, I think I did.		THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to respond.
19 20 21	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered. She already answered.	20 21	THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to
19 20 21 22	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered. She already answered. MS. FORTUNATO: Oh, I think I did. THE COURT: Sustained.	20 21 22	THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to respond. THE WITNESS: I have testified over 20 times.
19 20 21 22 23	MS. DIENSTAG: Objection. Asked and answered. She already answered. MS. FORTUNATO: Oh, I think I did. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. FORTUNATO:	20 21 22 23	THE COURT: I'll overrule. I'll allow her to respond. THE WITNESS: I have testified over 20 times. BY MS. FORTUNATO:

	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. It is.	1	that I can qualify her as an expert.
2	MS. FORTUNATO: I would like to admit this as an	2	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
3	exhibit, Your Honor.	3	Q. Have you evaluated children?
4	THE COURT: Any objection?	4	A. Yes.
5	MS. DIENSTAG: No. I'd like the Court to take	5	Q. How many times?
6	judicial notice of the areas in which Ms. Hooper	6	A. Over 20.
	has	7	THE COURT: Over how many?
8	THE COURT: Any objection to this being	8	THE WITNESS: Twenty.
9	introduced?	9	BY MS. FORTUNATO:
10	MS. DIENSTAG: No.	10	Q. And what was the purpose of these evaluations?
11	THE COURT: That will be introduced.	11	A. Sometimes they're biopsychosocial evaluations.
12	MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, pursuant to the rules	12	Sometimes they were neuropsychological evaluations.
13	of expert witness, I am tendering her as a qualified	13	Sometimes they were general psychological evaluations.
	expert witness in the field of psychology.	14	Q. And can you explain to the Court what you did for
15	THE CLERK: Petitioner's Exhibit 6.	15	ChildNet?
16	THE COURT: Her curriculum vitae will be	16	A. Certainly. ChildNet refers to our office a
17	Petitioner's Exhibit No. 6.	17	number of cases where parents or families are court
18	(Petitioner's Exhibit 6 was admitted into	18	ordered to receive services in the areas of parenting
19	evidence.)	19	education, training, individual therapy, substance use
20	THE COURT: And you're tendering her as an expert	20	treatment, anger management, domestic violence for
21	in just psychology in general?	21	victims treatment. They also refer a number of their
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. It doesn't have to be a	22	evaluations to us: substance use evaluations,
23	specific field. It's a psychologist in testing. She	23	psychological evaluations, ability to parent
24	testified she did testing for ChildNet. She did	24	evaluations.
25	dependency cases and things of that nature.	25	Q. So that's what you do for ChildNet. What about
	Page 106		Page 108
1	MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the testimony	1	dependency? What do you do for them?
2	reveals.	2	A. ChildNet is part of the foster care and
3	THE COURT: No. She did testify that she does	3	dependency system. That's what I was alluding to.
4	evaluations for ChildNet.	4	Q. So those are both the same thing?
5	MS. DIENSTAG: Right.	5	A. Yes.
6	THE COURT: And that she's worked in cases,	6	Q. And have you prepared psychological evaluations
	dependency cases. But I don't know what that means.	7	for ChildNet in dependency court as well?
8	So any further voir dire?	8	A. Yes.
9	MS. DIENSTAG: I would just like the Court to	9	Q. And do you perform those yourself?
	take judicial notice that of all the information	10	A. Yes.
	indicated on the résumé, not one of the things have	11	Q. Do you administer tests?
	anything to do with domestic violence abuse. They	12	A. Yes.
	have to do with a correctional setting; talk about	13	Q. And you provided the results?
	sex, long-term care; identifying, assessing, and	14	A. To the court, yes.
	litigating mental retardation; fixed test batteries THE COURT: Please don't read her whole	15 16	MS. FORTUNATO: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: So I will accept the witness as an
16 17	curriculum vitae. It's quite extensive.	17	expert in psychology. I just wanted to ask her one
18	MS. FORTUNATO: Your Honor, I'll be happy to go	18	additional question.
	into what she's done for dependency court and	19	You said the cases that are referred, some of
	ChildNet.	20	them include DV for victims. Have you handled any
21	THE COURT: Sure. I'd like a little bit more	21	cases for domestic violence victims?
	information. I'm sure she's an expert in her area of	22	THE WITNESS: I have supervised them. I'm also a
	psychology, especially if she's been recognized as one	23	supervisor for the unlicensed practitioners, and so I
	previously. I just want to make sure that with what I	24	oversee all of their clinical work as well as their
	have to decide today and what you're tendering her for	25	treatment summary updates for the court and things

	Page 109		Page 111
1	like that.	1	the second case, 2017-013616.
2	THE COURT: And how many cases have you	2	THE COURT: Well, there's allegations that the
3	supervised for domestic violence?	3	child was abruptly taken into custody, and it's true
4	THE WITNESS: For domestic violence, probably 15.	4	that that in fact did happen.
5	THE COURT: And any of these psychological	5	MS. FORTUNATO: Do I get to make an oral argument
6	evaluations that you've done for ChildNet, have they	6	first?
7	involved children cases involving allegations of	7	THE COURT: Of course, you may. Go right ahead.
8	abuse?	8	MS. FORTUNATO: All right. I'm going to do the
9	THE WITNESS: The ChildNet cases that I have done	9	jurisdiction. But I do want to bring the Court's
10	have been adult cases.	10	attention to 39.504, which provides: "At any time
11	THE COURT: Have you done any child abuse cases	11	after a protective investigation has been initiated
12	where you've evaluated the child?	12	pursuant to part 3 of this chapter, the Court upon the
13	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.	13	request of the department, law enforcement officer,
14	THE COURT: Well, the issue here is really	14	the state attorney, or other responsible person or
15	whether you know, I have to make a determination	15	upon its own motion may, if there's reasonable cause,
16	whether there's going to be a permanent injunction	16	issue an injunction to prevent any act of child abuse.
17	between the father and the child based on allegations	17	"Reasonable cause for the issuance of an
18	of abuse. So if she's never evaluated a child for	18	injunction exists if there's evidence of child abuse
19	child abuse allegations, I can't accept her	19	or if there is a reasonable likelihood of such abuse
20	MS. FORTUNATO: It's the same thing as domestic	20	occurring based upon a recent overt act or failure to
21	violence, she just testified to.	21	act."
22	THE COURT: Have you ever evaluated a child with	22	Right now, I know the Court has made some
23	regards to domestic violence allegations?	23	statements about Department of Children and Family
24	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.	24	Services and their potential findings, but the case is
25	THE COURT: While her credentials are very	25	not closed yet. So I'm asking that the Court maintain
	Page 110		Page 112
1			
±	impressive, I cannot accept her as an expert in this	1	this injunction until the findings pursuant to 39.504
2	impressive, I cannot accept her as an expert in this area.	1 2	this injunction until the findings pursuant to 39.504 and maintain the injunction for protection.
2	area.	2	and maintain the injunction for protection.
2 3	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with	2 3	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different
2 3 4	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed	2 3 4	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But
2 3 4 5	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her	2 3 4 5	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of
2 3 4 5 6	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness.	2 3 4 5 6	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative
2 3 4 5 6 7	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to	2 3 4 5 6 7	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here. (The witness was excused.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history since 2009 of abuse of this child.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here. (The witness was excused.) THE COURT: All right. So you were renewing your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history since 2009 of abuse of this child.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here. (The witness was excused.) THE COURT: All right. So you were renewing your motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history since 2009 of abuse of this child. We're talking about three months where he was ripped out of the mother's care. She comes back. She
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here. (The witness was excused.) THE COURT: All right. So you were renewing your motion? MS. DIENSTAG: Oh, yes. I'm renewing my motion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history since 2009 of abuse of this child. We're talking about three months where he was ripped out of the mother's care. She comes back. She finds him with a loss of weight and injuries to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	area. MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, may I proceed with either the granting of my motion to dismiss or proceed with my case? THE COURT: Well, wait. Let's address this. MS. DIENSTAG: And I commend the witness for her forthrightness. MS. FORTUNATO: I don't know that she has to evaluate the child to be an expert. She oversees them. THE COURT: But she's never done it. I asked. That's why I asked. So I can't accept the witness at this time as an expert in this case for matters pertaining to child abuse. So is the witness excused? MS. FORTUNATO: If that's your ruling, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's my ruling. Thank you for being here. (The witness was excused.) THE COURT: All right. So you were renewing your motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and maintain the injunction for protection. As you see, it's a little bit of a different standard than a domestic violence injunction. But nonetheless, it is an injunction for the protection of the child. And as we know, DCF has been wrong before. And unfortunately, it has resulted in the lives of children. So before this Court takes the initiative and possibly dismisses this injunction, we ask that we wait until we have a full investigation complete so we have the opportunity to interview DCF and find out what tests they did. They may not have done the psychological. And a lot of this information, they may or may not have, as brought out in testimony. And a thorough investigation has to be done. It's the child's life. There's a history that she testified to about this child, a history of abuse. You have taken judicial notice of the pleadings. There's a consistent history since 2009 of abuse of this child. We're talking about three months where he was ripped out of the mother's care. She comes back. She

1 2	Page 113		Page 115
	child of something that happens in the future with	1 :	separate action. That's not separate.
~	regard to that, and I'm going to ask the Court to	2	MS. DIENSTAG: But we're not proceeding in that
3	maintain the injunction until at least DCF has		court.
4	finished their investigation and concluded it pursuant	4	THE COURT: The petition has to be filed under
5	to 39.504.		Chapter 39.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Did you want to respond?	6	MS. FORTUNATO: No. I have a case for that.
7	MS. DIENSTAG: Yes. Your Honor, the evidence	5 7	THE COURT: Okay. She can file a petition under
8	before the Court pursuant to the law in Florida		741?
9	statutes is that there is no abuse. There's been no	9	MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. I can pull it for you. I
10	evidence of any type of abuse. The pictures presented		have it right here.
11	by the mother do not fall under any realm of the	11	MS. DIENSTAG: But there's been no petition, nor
12	possibility of abuse.		was there evidence
13	The mother testified that DCF verbally witnessed	13	THE COURT: No. There's a petition under a
14	the child, evaluated the child, and made no findings		different statute, which is the domestic violence
15	that there was any reason for causes or concern. The		statute that she filed a petition for injunction
16	mother also testified that all of the allegations that		under, 741.30.
17	she made before this Court were previously made before	17	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. And we're here on a
18	at least one court, and there were findings made by	18	noticed hearing on a petition for injunction
19	those courts and sanctions entered against the mother.		protection for domestic violence. But also, there's
20	There is absolutely no evidence of reasonable		been no evidence of any reasonable cause for the
21	fear for her safety or the safety of the child, as the	21	issuance of an injunction, nor has there been any
22	evidence presented. There's no evidence of domestic	22	evidence of child abuse or has there been any evidence
23	violence, and there is absolutely no cause for any	23	of the reasonable likelihood of such abuse or has
24	concern, as indicated by the evidence that was	24	there been any evidence of an overt act or failure to
25	presented and the discussions you had with both the	25	act. And there's no pleading before the Court to ask
	Page 114		Page 116
1	judge in Illinois and also DCF investigators.	1 1	the Court to take jurisdiction under any other
2	There is not any evidence before the Court that	2 :	statute.
3	there is any reasonable fear of the safety or the	3	
			THE COURT: Well, she says there's a case. Let's
4	concerns, especially in light of the fact that this	4	THE COURT: Well, she says there's a case. Let's look at the case.
4 5	concerns, especially in light of the fact that this case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in	4 5	-
		5	look at the case.
5	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in	5 6 1	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that
5	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive	5 6 1 7 1	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But
5 6 7	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency	5 6 1 7 1	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as
5 6 7 8	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no	5 6 1 7 1	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right.
5 6 7 8 9	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child.	5 6 1 7 1 8 9 10 11	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you
5 6 7 8 9 10	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and	5 6 1 7 1 8 9 10 11	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and require that the mother return the child to the father.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother return the child to the father.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the boyfriend.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother return the child to the father. THE COURT: So let me first address the Chapter 39. So Chapter 39 injunctions, that is a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the boyfriend. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. This was not under no.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother return the child to the father. THE COURT: So let me first address the Chapter 39. So Chapter 39 injunctions, that is a separate course of action that we do have under the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the boyfriend. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. This was not under no. That's not under 39. They filed it in the regular
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother return the child to the father. THE COURT: So let me first address the Chapter 39. So Chapter 39 injunctions, that is a separate course of action that we do have under the dependency statute.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the boyfriend. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. This was not under no. That's not under 39. They filed it in the regular court. The judge thought it was under 39.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case has been tried at least twice and adjudicated in favor of the father. There is currently the exclusive jurisdiction of Illinois. There is no emergency before this Court or evidence of an emergency and no evidence that's been presented today that would render this Court allowing to retain jurisdiction of this child. I respectfully request, based on the evidence and the testimony before you and the information of the history of the fraud that this mother has committed in at least three states, that you dismiss the injunction as having no evidence and no cause of concern by anybody or anything and respectfully request that you enter a pickup order and require that the mother return the child to the father. THE COURT: So let me first address the Chapter 39. So Chapter 39 injunctions, that is a separate course of action that we do have under the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look at the case. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the same argument that this judge had made and dismissed the injunction. But the Court found it was under domestic violence as well, not Chapter 39. MS. DIENSTAG: This is DCF filing a petition. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: DCF has already indicated to you that they have no cause for concern after THE COURT: Let me read the case that she's provided. A petition was filed by DCF, which happens all the time in our cases, for an injunction to be entered under Chapter 39. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. THE COURT: And the Court said that they didn't have jurisdiction to enter an injunction against the boyfriend. MS. FORTUNATO: Right. This was not under no. That's not under 39. They filed it in the regular

1 2	Page 117		Page 119
2	MS. FORTUNATO: In this case, they filed the	1	that's not correct, so that's why they were reversed.
	trial court said they lacked jurisdiction to entertain	2	So at this time, there's no Chapter 39 petition
3	a petition for injunction to prevent the mother's	3	pending before this Court. What I have is a petition
4	paramour from having any contact with the	4	for injunction for protection against domestic
5	seven-year-old child.	5	violence under Section 741.30, wherein mom has alleged
6	THE COURT: Look at footnote No. 2.	6	that the child was I'm summarizing, because it's
7	MS. DIENSTAG: But we're not here under	7	like a page long. She's filing it because the
8	Chapter 39. We're here on a	8	petitioner has always lived with her, and dad took the
9	MS. FORTUNATO: No. Under 39, you can file a	9	child abruptly on two occasions this year.
10	petition for an injunction.	10	Those takings, the Court here finds that they
11	THE COURT: Yes, you can. You can file a	11	were under order. They were under an order issued by
12	petition.	12	the Illinois Court. The father did not go to
13	MS. FORTUNATO: The mother, the	13	Washington and remove the child from the mother
14	THE COURT: Anybody can file a petition for	14	without any order. He did so pursuant to an order.
15	injunction under Chapter 39. It says it right here.	15	And it's true that Department of Children and
16	I mean, a petition "any time after a protective	16	Families was contacted on May 31st and that there's an
17	investigation has been initiated pursuant to part 3 of	17	investigation pending. It's true. But as I stated, I
18	Chapter 39, the Court, upon the request of the	18	spoke to the investigator this morning and she assured
19	department, DCF, a law enforcement officer, the state	19	me that the child had been evaluated by CPT. So the
20	attorney, or other responsible person or upon its own	20	Child Protective Team evaluated this child.
21	motion may, if there is reasonable cause, issue an	21	And she couldn't tell me whether it was
22	injunction to prevent any act of child abuse.	22	Dr. Langberg (phonetic). She didn't tell me which of
23	"Reasonable cause for the issuance of an	23	the two doctors evaluated. But she said that the
24	injunction exists if there is evidence of child abuse	24	child had been evaluated, that there were no concerns,
25	or if there's a reasonable likelihood of such abuse	25	CPT was not making any recommendations, and that there
	Page 118		Page 120
1	occurring based upon a recent overt act or failure to	1	were no findings of abuse.
2	act.	2	They still have a few weeks to render the report.
3	"The petitioner" this is the second part	3	And, you know, she said they have 60 days in total,
4	"seeking the injunction shall file a verified petition	4	which is true under statute. They have 60 days. So
5	or a petition along with an affidavit setting forth	5	they haven't closed out the case. I don't know if
6	the specific actions by the alleged offender from	6	they're going to file a dependency case based on their
	which the child must be protected and all remedies	7	
7			investigation. They may. But I don't think it's
7 8	sought. Upon filing the petition, the Court shall set	8	investigation. They may. But I don't think it's going to be against the father, based on what they
	sought. Upon filing the petition, the Court shall set a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time."	8 9	going to be against the father, based on what they told me.
8	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions		going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know,
8 9	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what	9	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was
8 9 10	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they	9 10	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses
8 9 10 11	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the	9 10 11	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders
8 9 10 11 12	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have	9 10 11 12	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the
8 9 10 11 12 13	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be	9 10 11 12 13	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to enter a permanent injunction.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do to protect the child, and that she did not notify the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to enter a permanent injunction. This is not the case, where DCF had filed or	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do to protect the child, and that she did not notify the father. She did not notify the Court. She did not
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to enter a permanent injunction. This is not the case, where DCF had filed or moved for an injunction under Chapter 741 and then the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do to protect the child, and that she did not notify the father. She did not notify the Court. She did not leave a different address. So they notified based on
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to enter a permanent injunction. This is not the case, where DCF had filed or moved for an injunction under Chapter 741 and then the Court denied the Chapter 39. They filed under	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do to protect the child, and that she did not notify the father. She did not notify the Court. She did not leave a different address. So they notified based on the information that they had, which was a PO box, I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a hearing to be held at the earliest possible time." And we also enter temporary ex parte injunctions under Chapter 39. We do that all the time. And what happened in this case is the Court said that they lacked jurisdiction over the paramour, and that's the mistake. We can grant injunctions. It doesn't have to be a parent. It could be a neighbor. It could be anyone. We can enter an injunction under Chapter 39 temporarily and then have a final hearing, just like we did today. We can have a final hearing under Chapter 39 and determine whether it's necessary to enter a permanent injunction. This is not the case, where DCF had filed or moved for an injunction under Chapter 741 and then the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to be against the father, based on what they told me. Now, the next allegations, again, you know, they're based on the order of protection that was entered by the Washington state. And she addresses the default judgment that was entered or these orders that were entered in Illinois giving the father the right to take the child and says that she had no knowledge. But by her own testimony here today, she testified that she did abscond with the child, she left because she felt that that's what she had to do to protect the child, and that she did not notify the father. She did not notify the Court. She did not leave a different address. So they notified based on

Page 121	Page 123
1 photo I've seen that was introduced into evidence	1 for sanctions, attorney's fees, and costs. But right
2 recently was the child posing for photos, which was	2 now, I'm only pursuing that the amended pickup order
3 Petitioner's Composite Exhibit 4. And he's smiling.	3 be vacated.
4 And, yeah, he looks thin, but I don't know what he	4 MS. FORTUNATO: That's not noticed for today,
5 looked like before. He doesn't look like he's	5 Your Honor.
6 starving, or there's no indication that he's been	6 MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, it is, Your Honor. And also,
7 abused in that photo.	7 it was noticed for last time as well. And, Your
8 Then she goes back to the issue of the protection	8 Honor, it's within the jurisdiction, because that's
9 order from Washington. But when she filed this	9 what was referred to Your Honor.
10 petition, that protection order had already been	10 THE COURT: The motion to vacate so we were
dismissed by the Court in Washington. And she had	11 here the first day was June 9th. The respondent's
been sanctioned by the Court for the filing of the	12 motion to vacate amended order to pick up minor child,
13 petition. So I don't think she was being truthful	13 dissolve temporary injunction for protection. Yeah.
14 when she filed this petition, when she did that.	14 It was noticed for hearing on the 9th.
15 Then she goes into the allegations of the	15 MS. FORTUNATO: We had that hearing.
16 respondent arriving at the school and taking him,	16 MS. DIENSTAG: But everything was continued for
17 taking the child. I've already addressed that. He	17 today.
18 had an order to take the child from the Illinois	18 MS. FORTUNATO: No, that wasn't.
19 Court.	19 THE COURT: We had a special set. Let me look at
20 Then there's no evidence as to the petitioner	20 my information sheet. There's no separate notice for
21 being isolated and kept inside his residence without	21 this motion set for today?
22 enrolling him in school. None of that was introduced.	22 MS. FORTUNATO: It was not set for today.
There was no evidence regarding him being kept locked	23 MS. DIENSTAG: Your Honor, we noticed the special
24 up in a house or any psychological abuse by the	24 set on June 9th for the amended motion
25 petitioner about his maternal family, his dog, his	25 THE COURT: Right. That's what I just said.
Page 122	Page 124
1 friends. There was no evidence. Not even her	1 MS. DIENSTAG: to vacate. And also, by the
2 testimony.	2 continuance, I believe this was set as well. But when
3 And then she has allegations about the no-contact	3 Your Honor had entered an order continuing the
4 order from Illinois, but that was four years ago or	4 hearing, it was the hearing that you continued.
5 more. And she states that he was given the	5 And as a result of the the only reason the
6 opportunity to undergo a psychological evaluation and	6 pickup order was entered, it was exercising emergency
7 then petition the court, but obviously, the Illinois	7 jurisdiction based upon the emergency verified motion
8 Court entertained the circumstances that were	8 for child pickup order and also based upon the
9 presented to the Court before they entered the order	9 domestic violence injunction. You entered an order
10 for the father to take the child. I think the Court	10 last hearing that neither party should take the child
11 was concerned about being gradual, because they	11 out of the jurisdiction of this court, and opposing
12 ordered reunification therapy over a year. But she	12 counsel did not object to that order being entered at
absconded with the child. She left, so that never	13 the last hearing.
14 took place.	14 THE COURT: Well, that motion was set for hearing
15 So, you know, I don't find that she's met her	15 that day. And on that day, I entered an order
burden to establish that the child is in any imminent	16 extending both injunctions so I could contact the
17 danger of abuse by this father, and I'm going to deny	17 judges, I could review all of this material that
18 her petition on behalf of the child as well.	18 everybody had given to me, and also, so I could
19 Now, the family case, has there been any petition	19 contact DCF with regards to their investigation.
20 filed, or it's just the emergency motion?	20 And I entered an order in the family case that
21 MS. DIENSTAG: I have the motion to vacate the	21 said the minor child shall not be removed from
22 pickup order based upon the domestic violence	22 Miami-Dade Broward County until further order of
23 injunction. Motion to vacate amended order to pick up	23 the Court. The Court will contact DCF to determine
24 minor child; dissolve temporary injunction for	24 the appropriate contact and access to the father and
25 protection against domestic violence with children;	child prior to next hearing, and the Court reserves

Г

	Page 125		Page 127
1 juri	sdiction to enter further orders in the best	1	Commissioner Hillman, Judge Thorp from Washington, the
2 inte	erests of the child. So obviously, I didn't rule	2	review of his own docket, that she was a significant
3 on	that motion, although it was set for hearing that	3	flight risk and he was concerned.
4 da y	y, because I had to get this information.	4	MS. FORTUNATO: But he never had testimony from
5	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. And you reserved	5	her. So he talked to everybody else and made a
6 juri	sdiction to enter further orders with regards to	6	decision based on her
7 CO	ntact and access with the child on that day.	7	THE COURT: But he didn't have testimony from her
8 .	THE COURT: Right. Well, I must say, one of the	8	because she fled the state. She didn't comply with
9 co r	ncerns that was expressed to me by the judge in	9	MS. DIENSTAG: And she was represented by
10 Illir	nois is that if I did not finish with the case or	10	counsel. And she heard from the guardian ad litem,
11 if l	ruled adversely to the mother that he was greatly	11	who's been in this case since 2014. And she has
12 CO	ncerned that the mother would just flee with the	12	committed fraud in three jurisdictions. And she
	ld again.	13	MS. FORTUNATO: The problem is they violated the
	MS. FORTUNATO: She's never been before that	14	UCCJEA
15 jud	ge, so I don't even know how he can say that.	15	THE COURT: One at a time.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's what he said.	16	MS. FORTUNATO: They violated the UCCJEA. They
	MS. FORTUNATO: She's never been before him.	17	did this without notice. Had they heard the evidence
18 Th i	s is all based on the father and getting this order	18	instead of issuing an order that is absolutely
	hout notice.	19	ridiculous, that has no best interests of the child,
20	MS. DIENSTAG: That's not true.	20	nothing to go in and act under the UCCJEA in that
21	MS. FORTUNATO: And the mother has been here.	21	matter I know this Court has nothing to do with it,
22 Th e	e mother did not leave.	22	but that's obviously something that has to be dealt
23	MS. DIENSTAG: He's represented by counsel. She	23	with.
	hdrew her emergency motion to set aside everything.	24	MS. DIENSTAG: And it's res judicata.
	MS. FORTUNATO: She's never been there.	25	MS. FORTUNATO: No, it's not.
	Page 126		Page 128
1.	THE COURT: That's what he told me. He was	1	MS. DIENSTAG: It is. Because it's
2 co r	ncerned because she had withdrawn the motions.	2	THE COURT: Don't speak
	MS. FORTUNATO: She didn't. The lawyers withdrew	3	MS. FORTUNATO: When you don't follow the UCCJEA,
	e motion.	4	it's not.
5.	THE COURT: For her.	5	MS. DIENSTAG: It's exclusive jurisdiction, and
6	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. But what I'm saying is	6	there is no appeal. It is res judicata, and the
7 sh e	e's never been before that judge, so I don't know	7	mother through counsel withdrew her petition. And
	w he can make this ruling when the guy didn't even	8	since 2015, that has been pending. And that order
9 foll	ow the UCCJEA. But that's not for this Court. I	9	that is the only order that is a valid order of any
10 me	an, this is a subject of another matter, obviously.	10	court after full trial has been in existence since
11 An	d we'll take that up with the family court judges.	11	2016 in
	THE COURT: But the fact that there was no	12	MS. FORTUNATO: There was no trial. It was a
13 ad	ditional notice of hearing for a motion that was set	13	default.
14 to k	be heard that day and an order was entered	14	THE COURT: Ladies.
15 say	ying you can't take the child. Let me hear from	15	MS. DIENSTAG: On February 29th, 2016, the father
-	F, and I will enter any further orders once I've	16	got custody of that child.
	ntacted everybody. That assumes that I'm going to	17	MS. FORTUNATO: It was a default.
	dress this motion today.	18	MS. DIENSTAG: That order is res judicata on all
	I'm not going to leave this in the air so that if	19	jurisdictions of the United States under UCCJEA.
	e feels that, again, this is her only avenue for	20	MS. FORTUNATO: No, it is not.
	e's convinced that this is against the child's best	21	THE COURT: One second, please. I just need to
21 she		22	
	erests and that she needs to flee with this child	22	see the docket to see if there was any petition. No,
22 inte	erests and that she needs to flee with this child ain you know, so that his words were that this	23	there's no petition filed. So all we have is the
22 inte 23 aga			

	Page 129		Page 131
1	an emergency motion for child pickup order again in	1	in the related cases, she doesn't put the Illinois
2	May. Then after that, there's the emergency motion to	2	case. She says that the Court that entered a final
3	break order and all the orders that were entered. And	3	judgment granting her custody was in Washington.
4	then you filed a motion to vacate order on behalf of	4	MS. FORTUNATO: You forgot that she has done the
5	your client.	5	addendum. I brought that to the Court's attention.
6	MS. DIENSTAG: And required petitioner to return	6	All the orders are attached, and it's a typewritten
7	the minor child.	7	it's about this thick, and it's in the court file.
8	THE COURT: And then you filed an amended motion	8	It's called an addendum. It's all there.
9	to vacate amended order.	9	THE COURT: But that notice of related case was
10	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. After she filed her second	10	filed May 25th.
11	domestic violence injunction. And so I'm asking the	11	MS. DIENSTAG: And her UCCJEA
12	Court to vacate the pickup order, dissolve the	12	MS. FORTUNATO: I didn't say notice of related
13	injunctions, which you've already done, and an order	13	case.
14	requiring petitioner to return the minor child to	14	THE COURT: One at a time. I cannot hear. Let
15	respondent in that you, one, have in your last order	15	me hear her argument.
16	on June 9th reserved jurisdiction to do so.	16	MS. FORTUNATO: I didn't say notice of related
17	And, two, the wife has availed herself of the	17	case. What I said was the addendum to her petition
18	jurisdiction of this Court, and we are asking the	18	should be in the court file. And I think it's under
19	Court to enforce the orders of Illinois on the writ of	19	the first case, the it might be under the mother's
20	habeas corpus and to allow the father to return the	20	case number.
21	child to the father.	21	THE COURT: Oh, you mean the petition for
22	And also, under the regular jurisdiction of the	22	injunction?
23	family court, to order based upon your June 9th order,	23	MS. FORTUNATO: Right.
24	which has also not been there's no rehearing or	24	THE COURT: No. I'm talking about the family
25	reconsideration. Opposing counsel has accepted that	25	case now, because those two cases are dismissed.
	Page 130		
	Tage 150		Page 132
1	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders	1	Page 132 MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition.
1 2	-	1 2	
	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders		MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition.
2	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with	2	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there
2 3	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents.	2 3	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in
2 3 4	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order	2 3 4	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the
2 3 4 5	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction	2 3 4 5	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that
2 3 4 5 6	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the	2 3 4 5 6	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in
2 3 4 5 6 7	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The basis for the emergency motions, which I've read now, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat violence, or stalking injunction in the superior court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The basis for the emergency motions, which I've read now, are the injunctions that were already dismissed when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat violence, or stalking injunction in the superior court of Washington. That's the first one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The basis for the emergency motions, which I've read now, are the injunctions that were already dismissed when she filed this motion. So she had no basis for this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat violence, or stalking injunction in the superior court of Washington. That's the first one. MS. FORTUNATO: What date was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The basis for the emergency motions, which I've read now, are the injunctions that were already dismissed when she filed this motion. So she had no basis for this motion. The judge that got this case in family didn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat violence, or stalking injunction in the superior court of Washington. That's the first one. MS. FORTUNATO: What date was that? THE COURT: When she filed this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you have jurisdiction to enter such further orders with regards to contact and access of the child with his parents. So at a minimum, based on your June 9th order and you've indicated that you are taking jurisdiction to enter further orders of contact and access with the child and the parents. MS. FORTUNATO: You can't enforce orders until there's a domestication. And there's the opportunity to object to these orders, because they could be orders that have problems, like in our case. MS. FORTUNATO: The UCCJEA THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I've heard both MS. FORTUNATO: Listen, do you want the rule? You obviously don't know it. THE COURT: Look, folks. The issue is this: I find that this motion to vacate needs to be granted, because she had no right to obtain this order. The basis for the emergency motions, which I've read now, are the injunctions that were already dismissed when she filed this motion. So she had no basis for this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. FORTUNATO: Right. There's no petition. THE COURT: No, there's no petition. All there is I'm saying that when she filed her motion in family court for this pickup order that gave her the child, she said in this case, in the family case, that the custody of the child had been awarded to her in Washington. That's what she says, and I can read it to you. MS. FORTUNATO: That's the do you have the addendum? MS. DIENSTAG: No, Your Honor. And she also filed the UCCJEA saying the same thing in her own handwriting on May 25th. THE COURT: It says that also. MS. FORTUNATO: Please read it. I can't hear over counsel. THE COURT: I'll read it. She talks about the domestic violence. She checks off that there's a related case, one, domestic, sexual, dating, repeat violence, or stalking injunction in the superior court of Washington. That's the first one. MS. FORTUNATO: What date was that?

	Page 133		Page 135
1	MS. FORTUNATO: Okay. So that was the first one,	1	determination from the Court now here is the motions
2	though.	2	to the amended motion to vacate the amended order
3	THE COURT: Well, I know this is how she got the	3	to pick up minor child. That's it.
4	child back, with this petition, this filing, this	4	MS. DIENSTAG: And requiring the petitioner to
5	motion. And then	5	return the minor child to respondent, because she
6	MS. DIENSTAG: On May 25th, she filed a UCCJEA	6	wrongfully got possession of the child as a result of
7	referring to her related cases.	7	the pickup order. And your June 9th order reserves
8	THE COURT: She filed one before that. She filed	8	jurisdiction to
9	one in February. The UCCJEA is filed in February as	9	THE COURT: I understand. I already said that.
10	well. This is a Washington case. Any other	10	MS. FORTUNATO: This Court can't enforce under
11	litigation or custody proceeding in this or another	11	61.528 until there is a domestication, period. They
12	state concerning custody of a child subject to this	12	can't enforce any order from another state until
13	proceeding. Name of child, Jacob Gantine. Type of	13	there's a domestication. 61.528
14	proceeding, family.	14	MS. DIENSTAG: You're saying that the Court,
15	And she does refer to the Broward case. I think	15	under the emergency jurisdiction, gave under the
16	it's Broward yes. Broward County case. Date of	16	domestic violence injunction in the family division.
17	court order and judgment, November 4th, 2010. And	17	And also, you received a pickup order in the family
18	then Washington case No. 17-21981, and she says in	18	division, and so that pickup order ordered that the
19	here that that's how she got custody of the child. So	19	child be given to the mother. And so we're asking
20	I know she filed another one later in May, and I'm	20	THE COURT: All I can do is I can vacate the
21	going to look at that and see if there's anything	21	order, because that order was entered by this Court
22	different there.	22	based on her representations to the Court. I find
23	MS. FORTUNATO: Yeah. That was the pickup order	23	that those representations were incorrect at best and
24	for this time.	24	misled the prior judge, and she entered the orders.
25	THE COURT: Right. Broward County again. And	25	MS. DIENSTAG: Right. But also, on June 9th, you
	Page 134		Page 136
1	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She	1	entered an order that says that the contact and access
2	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the	2	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't
2 3	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from	2 3	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction.
2 3 4	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to	2 3 4	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right.
2 3 4 5	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue.	2 3 4 5	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter
2 3 4	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure	2 3 4	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or
2 3 4 5 6 7	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled	2 3 4 5 6 7	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. THE COURT: I'm saying here, in this case, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to immediately take into custody the minor child. So you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. THE COURT: I'm saying here, in this case, there's no petition. All I have is her motions to a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to immediately take into custody the minor child. So you could enter a pickup order requiring that the child be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. THE COURT: I'm saying here, in this case, there's no petition. All I have is her motions to a pickup order, which they were granted by the previous 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to immediately take into custody the minor child. So you could enter a pickup order requiring that the child be picked up and returned to the father under this same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition. The only thing that's been filed there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. THE COURT: I'm saying here, in this case, there's no petition. All I have is her motions to a pickup order, which they were granted by the previous judge. Obviously, both of them. Both of her motions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to immediately take into custody the minor child. So you could enter a pickup order requiring that the child be picked up and returned to the father under this same basis as you ordered that pickup order, that the child
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 she does put Illinois, but she says family case. She says that she has the right to the custody of the child, as was adjudicated in case 09-2504191 from Broward County November 4, 2010. Case transferred to Illinois due to Illinois's domestic violence issue. And it's cut off. It looks like 2012. I'm not sure what it says, but judgment was never enrolled MS. FORTUNATO: Right. It was never domesticated. THE COURT: in Illinois. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we call it here. So when we get into the authority of the UCCJEA, that will all come to light. But that's not for Your Honor today. THE COURT: No, that's not for well, there's no petition filed by either side. There's only the motions. MS. FORTUNATO: Dad filed in Broward. THE COURT: I'm saying here, in this case, there's no petition. All I have is her motions to a pickup order, which they were granted by the previous 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entered an order that says that the contact and access of the child and you said that the child can't leave this jurisdiction. THE COURT: Right. MS. DIENSTAG: And so and that you would enter such further orders, and that hasn't been appealed or a rehearing or reconsidered. And so based upon the evidence before the Court and what you heard from the judge and what you would hear from the judge THE COURT: I can't enforce. MS. DIENSTAG: in Washington as well is that we were asking for the Court to amend its pickup order that the child be returned, not you can amend the pickup order that the child be returned to the father. Because it says that the Court has jurisdiction of the child under the and that's what the mother is saying, that the Court has jurisdiction in order to make determinations with regards to where the child be and that this Court orders and directs all sheriffs to immediately take into custody the minor child. So you could enter a pickup order requiring that the child be picked up and returned to the father under this same

	Page 137		Page 139
1	THE COURT: There was a motion that the mother	1	28 U.S.C. 1738A, that the Court has jurisdiction to
2	filed with allegations sworn to, verified, as to her	2	enforce a decree under the UCCJEA.
3	rights to have the child. And the Court relied on her	3	And specifically, under Section 61.501 and
4	allegations in her motion, in her emergency motion,	4	61.542, Florida Statutes, and by operation of Florida
5	not once but twice. So the problem I understand	5	law in the best interests of the minor child, taking
6	the issue is the concern now that mom is going to	6	into consideration all of the evidence and information
7	abscond with the child.	7	that you received, and that another Court with
8	MS. DIENSTAG: She's going to flee.	8	exclusive jurisdiction has advised you that this
9	MS. FORTUNATO: The mom is not going anywhere.	9	mother will flee with the child, that you enter a
10	She's been here. Where is she going? She's been	10	pickup order under those premises.
11	MS. DIENSTAG: She's done it three times.	11	And also, that the mother has already asserted
12	MS. FORTUNATO: She has not. Mr. Gantine has	12	that this Court has jurisdiction in the family matter
13	done this behind the Court's back. We'll get to that	13	to do so and has committed fraud in its original entry
14	later, but this is fraud on the court by your client.	14	of the order. And also, based upon your ruling of
15	MS. DIENSTAG: No.	15	June 9th, which has not been reheard or reconsidered.
16	THE COURT: I can't modify a pickup order that's	16	MS. FORTUNATO: They have to follow 61.528, and
17	already been executed and given the child has been	17	they have to register the custody decree
18	placed in her custody.	18	MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what it says.
19	MS. DIENSTAG: But it was amended. You can amend	19	MS. FORTUNATO: to do what they're doing.
20	the pickup order. You can amend the order	20	61.542 is a transitional provision. There's
21	THE COURT: She already has the pickup order	21	nothing it's at the time the motion or request
22	was based on her request. How can I amend and change	22	they haven't done a motion or request.
23	who the child is to be on what grounds?	23	MS. DIENSTAG: I don't have to. All I have to do
24	MS. FORTUNATO: And since you've dismissed the	24	under this form pickup order is that a certified
25	injunction, you've just lost jurisdiction.	25	custody decree has been presented to the Court with
	Page 138		D 1 / O
	5		Page 140
1	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a	1	Page 140 requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has
1 2	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case.	1 2	-
	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a		requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has
2	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the	2	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to
2 3	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are	2 3	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried
2 3 4	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's	2 3 4	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has	2 3 4 5 6 7	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that THE COURT: I think what I'm hearing I just want so the record is clear, because they have the right to appeal, are you asking for an ore tenus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and DCF. You have evidence both from Washington and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that THE COURT: I think what I'm hearing I just want so the record is clear, because they have the right to appeal, are you asking for an ore tenus motion based on my findings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and DCF. You have evidence both from Washington and Illinois that Illinois has exclusive jurisdiction and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that THE COURT: I think what I'm hearing I just want so the record is clear, because they have the right to appeal, are you asking for an ore tenus motion based on my findings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and DCF. You have evidence both from Washington and Illinois that Illinois has exclusive jurisdiction and has entered a writ of habeas corpus that the child be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that THE COURT: I think what I'm hearing I just want so the record is clear, because they have the right to appeal, are you asking for an ore tenus motion based on my findings? MS. DIENSTAG: Yes, Your Honor. I'm asking you based upon that you have a custody decree, and I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and DCF. You have evidence both from Washington and Illinois that Illinois has exclusive jurisdiction and has entered a writ of habeas corpus that the child be in possession of the father. And you have no other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DIENSTAG: No. Because it's entered in a family case. THE COURT: The Court hasn't lost jurisdiction, because she filed the Court has jurisdiction in the family case. The petitions for injunction are dismissed, so there's no jurisdiction there. It's dismissed. But she filed this case. So the Court has jurisdiction, because she gave us jurisdiction by filing this motion. So I have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And under the pickup order, under B, a certified out-of-state custody decree has been presented to this Court with a request for full faith and credit recognition enforcement under the Parental Kidnapping Act. Because she did kidnap the child. So you can enter an order to pick up the minor child under B in that you have evidence of an existing custody decree and that THE COURT: I think what I'm hearing I just want so the record is clear, because they have the right to appeal, are you asking for an ore tenus motion based on my findings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requests for full faith and credit. Your Honor has validated and has heard that there is such and also has evidence that the mother has absconded and kidnapped the child before and taken him to Washington. And you have evidence that she tried that she did change the child's name in order to do so. MS. FORTUNATO: No, Your Honor. There's no evidence when you talk to a judge. A judge shouldn't be giving evidence. That's not the kind of evidence that you use, and that's not the purpose of the UCCJEA, to get another judge's opinion and put it on this Court. This Court has the ability to make its own decisions. MS. DIENSTAG: It's already the Court has taken judicial notice of the Washington decree, which sanctioned the mother for doing the same thing that the Illinois judge and opposing counsel gave you permission to speak to the judges, which you did, and DCF. You have evidence both from Washington and Illinois that Illinois has exclusive jurisdiction and has entered a writ of habeas corpus that the child be

	Page 1/1	Bago	1/3
	Page 141	Page	143
1	Washington has done.	1 no question that I have some limited jurisdiction,	
2	MS. FORTUNATO: You do have evidence. You have	2 because mom has filed here in this case. So she	
3	evidence that the mother's testimony and the	3 brought the Court the jurisdiction over this matter.	
4	evidence from last week that this court order was not	4 The issue is whether a pickup order can be issued ju	st
5	obtained under the UCCJEA formalities that it's	5 based on an ore tenus motion, which is what you're	
6	supposed to have. And the case law says when it's not	6 making today. I know you asked me, but I'm looking	
7	done correctly, the Court does not have to take	7 let me look at your motion to vacate and see	
8	jurisdiction of that. That is why we domesticate	8 MS. DIENSTAG: I moved to vacate, but also, an	
9	under the UCCJEA, and you give the other side an	9 order requiring the petitioner return the minor child.	
10	opportunity to object to it and say why it's not. And	10 As part of my motion to vacate	
11	there's a hearing on it within 20 days. That's how	11 THE COURT: Let me read it.	
12	domestication works, and that's how	12 MS. DIENSTAG: I asked that an order requiring	g
13	MS. DIENSTAG: She's already accepted the	13 petitioner to return the minor child and to enforce	
14	jurisdiction of the Court. She's the one who came	14 the order of the Court that's in my motion.	
15	here.	15 "Respectfully respect that this Court vacate, dismiss	
16	THE COURT: Don't speak over her.	16 any orders which grants relief sought by mother and	to
17	MS. FORTUNATO: Can I speak, please? When you	any and all orders requiring the mother to immediately	у
18	want to enforce a court order from another state, you	18 return the child to the father and provide custody of	
19	don't just come in and say here's my court order.	19 the minor child to the father and enter such further	
20	Please enforce, it, Miami. It's from Washington or	20 orders that prohibit the mother from seeking relief in	
21	whatever. You come in and you enroll it. You	21 this jurisdiction."	
22	domesticate it. When you domesticate it, then you	And that's my motion to vacate. There has been	
23	give the other party the opportunity notice,	in the same in the same case that the mother is	
24	opportunity, due process to object to it and say why	asserting her pickup order.	
25	this order is valid or invalid. Then this Court makes	25 THE COURT: Right. So I don't believe that	
	$D_{2} = 1.12$	Daga	1 / /
	Page 142	Page	
1	that decision, whether	1 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is	
2	that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did.	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was 	s
2 3	that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying.	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to 	s
2 3 4	that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here.	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. 	s
2 3 4 5	that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she 	s
2 3 4	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert he same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the lllinois Court. 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she clidn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she came with that domestic violence injunction, which was 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a whether they're going to vacate that order. I don't 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she came with that domestic violence injunction, which was a false order, and she got a pickup order. And she is 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a whether they're going to vacate that order. I don't 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she came with that domestic violence injunction, which was a false order, and she got a pickup order. And she is the one that asserted that this Court has jurisdiction 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a whether they're going to vacate that order. I don't know. They have jurisdiction. 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she came with that domestic violence injunction, which was a false order, and she got a pickup order. And she is the one that asserted that this Court has jurisdiction to enter pickup orders based on foreign court orders. 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a whether they're going to vacate that order. I don't know. They have jurisdiction. MS. DIENSTAG: And she withdrew her motion to vacate. 	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that decision, whether MS. DIENSTAG: That's not what the mother did. MS. FORTUNATO: That's what we're saying. There's nothing filed here. MS. DIENSTAG: She came with a false domestic violence injunction and got a pickup order. She didn't domesticate it. She didn't do anything. And she asked this Court to take jurisdiction, and now it has. Be careful what you ask for. The mother filed a UCCJEA and says you have jurisdiction. You then this Court entered orders in favor of the mother picking up. Under the same jurisdiction that the mother is asserting, I'm asking you to assert the same jurisdiction and enter in the same case that she has already agreed you have jurisdiction on to enter such orders and to enforce a decree of the Illinois Court. And it says right here in this same pickup order that she received not domesticating anything, she came with that domestic violence injunction, which was a false order, and she got a pickup order. And she is the one that asserted that this Court has jurisdiction 	 based on the circumstances, I know your argument is that there wasn't due process when that order was obtained by the father. That's what you're arguing to this Court, but I've already addressed that. And by her own testimony, she admitted that she absconded. She left with the child without obtaining an order for the Court to reserve jurisdiction. She didn't provide another address for them. She didn't notify the Court that she was leaving. She didn't notify the father, by her own testimony. So the Court although they had entered an order previously that they had agreed with her that it should be a gradual transition, they ordered reunification, and they ordered the parties to participate, she didn't give that an opportunity. She left. She made her own decision to leave the state and not comply with the Court's orders. So I don't think that's up to the Illinois Court to find whether there was due process or not a whether they're going to vacate that order. I don't know. They have jurisdiction. 	s

	Page 145		Page 147
1	motion was withdrawn.	1	him. Yes, of course. I don't want to deny mom you
2	MS. FORTUNATO: They're re-filing it, Your Honor.	2	know, I understand she's distraught right now by my
3	THE COURT: But right now, it's withdrawn.	3	ruling. I understand that, but I just don't want any
4	MS. FORTUNATO: Because of the new never mind.	4	harm to this child.
5	You don't need to know that.	5	MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy will be there.
6	THE COURT: So at this time, based on the	6	MS. WATTS: He's already traumatized, Your Honor.
7	circumstances that exist today, based on the pleadings	7	Already, he's
8	that have been filed under this case, based on the	8	MS. DIENSTAG: It's important what she says to
9	mother's actions, the findings of this Court, I have	9	the child. That she encourages
10	to grant this motion in part. I'm going to grant the	10	MS. FORTUNATO: Of course, she knows what to say.
11	request to vacate the amended order to pick up, which	11	She's his mother.
12	was entered by this Court by the previous judge on	12	MS. WATTS: His life is ruined. He's been
13	May 30th, 2017, placing the child in the custody of	13	through a lot.
14	the mother.	14	THE COURT: I can't hear you. I'm sorry.
15	Furthermore, the order granting the motion to	15	MS. WATTS: His life is ruined.
16	vacate that order will also require the child to be	16	THE COURT: Well, you know, I really don't want
17	immediately returned to the father so that he be	17	to say more. I think I've already expressed I know
18	placed in the custody of his father immediately	18	you did take self-help and did what you thought was
19	concluding this hearing.	19	the best thing.
20	I am going to deny the part where you're	20	You know, you have very good counsel here. I
21	requesting that the mother be prohibited from seeking	21	hope you listen to your counsels. Listen to them.
22	any further relief in this jurisdiction. I'm not	22	They know the law. They're here to advise you on how
23	going to grant that at this time. She has the right	23	to do things right so that you don't find yourself in
24	to seek relief if she wants to. And I'm going to	24	these situations and so the child is not put in these
25	reserve on your request for award of attorney's fees	25	situations. Because unfortunately, he's a victim of
	Page 146		Page 148
1	and costs and other sanctions.	1	this situation. He was the one that was whatever

1	and costs and other sanctions.	1	this situation. He was the one that was whatever
2	MS. DIENSTAG: Thank you.	2	happened, I can't readdress whatever the courts have
3	THE COURT: And that concludes this matter. If		already determined. They've already made their
4	you can write up the order. I have blank orders here.	4	determinations regarding this child, The Court that
5	If you need more, I have additional orders here. I'm	5	had jurisdiction.
6	going to instruct my bailiff to walk across the street	6	But then your actions have also caused this child
7	with the father so that he can take custody of the	7	to be harmed, because you've taken him away rather
8	child so that there's no problems and the child is not	8	than staying there and working through the
9	exposed to any drama or any harm.	9	reunification process, which would have been
10	MR. GANTINE: Thank you.	10	difficult, of course, if any of your testimony is true
11	THE BAILIFF: He can go now, Judge?	11	about your past.
12	THE COURT: If he wants to go now, yes. He can	12	But it's what was ordered, and it would have been
13	go now. Well, I don't know if they're going to	13	in the child's best interest for you to follow the
14	request the order. They may require the order,	14	Court's orders rather than take him to another state
15	because the mother is the one that took the child.	15	and deny the father access to the child and not tell
16	MS. FORTUNATO: Can the deputy take her down	16	him where you were going. Eventually, they were going
17	there to say goodbye to him before he goes down there	17	to find you, and they did.
18	so he's not traumatized any further, Your Honor,	18	So I know it's a very unfortunate situation that
19	please? The deputy can take her.	19	you find yourself in and that this child is in. He's
20	THE COURT: I just don't want any harm to this	20	innocent to all this. So I don't want to deny you
21	child. I know she's emotional.	21	time with your child. I mean, I'm sure there's going
22	MS. FORTUNATO: Exactly. That's why she wants to	22	to be motions for you to have access to your child.
23	tell him.	23	And I will hear them, of course. In fact, I think
24	THE COURT: And I don't know how she's going to	24	there should be. I think it would be harmful for him
25	behave, and I don't know what she's going to say to	25	not to see his mother. So I would entertain any

	Page 149	Page 151
1	even an ore tenus motion as to what contact she is to	1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	have. I can order supervised visitations for now so	
3	she can at least see the child. I can do that through	3 STATE OF FLORIDA
	the family case.	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE
4	MS. FORTUNATO: That's fine, Your Honor.	4
5		5 I, Patricia J. Feucht, Registered Professional
6	THE COURT: I'll enter that order right now.	6 Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Florida at
7	MS. FORTUNATO: In the interim, can the deputy	7 Large, certify that I was authorized to and did
8	take her there to say goodbye?	8 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings; that
9	THE COURT: Any objection?	9 the foregoing transcript, pages 4 through 150, is a true
10	MS. FORTUNATO: If there's any problem, you can	10 and complete record of the proceedings.
11	obviously revoke supervised.	
12	MS. WATTS: We could bring him here in front of	12 I further certify that I am not a relative,
13	the Court just to because he thought he was going	 employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
14	to be with me. He was praying. You know, we could	 14 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 15 attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
15	bring him here in front of you.	16 financially interested in the action.
16	THE COURT: I don't think he's kids get kind	17
17	of scared when they see the judge.	18 Dated this 20th day of June, 2017.
18	MS. WATTS: Yeah, I know.	19
19	THE COURT: Especially if they're not used to	20
20	being in court and being brought to these things. I	21
21	just don't want anything to be said to the child to	22
22	cause him any problems.	23 Patricia of Funcht
23	MS. FORTUNATO: My client won't do that.	
24	THE COURT: I'm entering the visitation order	Patricia J. Feucht, RPR
25	right now. Here's the order, so dad can go. And mom	25 Stenograph Shorthand Reporter
	Page 150	
1	is going to go see the child first, then?	
2		
-		
	MS. FORTUNATO: She's going to go with the deputy.	
3	deputy.	
3 4	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the	
3 4 5	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy.	
3 4	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her?	
3 4 5 6 7	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes.	
3 4 5 6 7 8	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILLIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILLIFF: I'll come back, yeah.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deputy. THE COURT: Okay. With my bailiff or with the MS. FORTUNATO: The deputy. THE COURT: Oh, he's going to take her? MS. FORTUNATO: Yes. MS. DIENSTAG: And then how is the father he knows where to go too? THE COURT: It's going to be the family court. THE BAILIFF: I'll escort them, Judge. THE COURT: You'll escort him? Okay. So perhaps when the officer advises you that the visit is concluded, then you can come back with the father. Okay? THE BAILIFF: I'll come back, yeah. THE COURT: Thank you, folks. And good luck to all of you.	

A	118:1,2 127:20	age 48:16 64:18 65:6	answered 45:11	143:24
ability 25:5 100:25	138:14,25	ago 16:23 93:16 96:4	102:19,20 104:8,16	assessing 106:14
107:23 140:13	Acted 65:19	122:4	anxious 11:7	assessment 92:20
able 4:13 13:25 14:4	acting 20:20	agree 74:4 85:20	anybody 103:4	97:16,17,20 98:22
	action 14:20 67:16	agreed 6:15 142:15	114:17 117:14	99:2 102:12
15:22 24:19 27:5	114:22 115:1	144:12	appeal 128:6 138:20	assessments 102:7
35:9 45:22 55:10	151:15,16	ahead 4:7 28:21	appealed 136:6	assigned 6:12 50:21
67:15 69:20 89:19 abnormal 80:16	actions 36:4 118:6	42:12 45:22 57:8	appearance 57:21	50:22 144:25
	145:9 148:6	63:14 111:7	appearances 81:12	assist 6:13 50:22
abrasions 49:17	active 81:3	air 126:19	apply 20:9 21:2 22:6	assistance 79:6,12
abrupt 11:8	acts 66:16	airport 40:19	90:13	associated 17:1
abruptly 111:3 119:9	ad 5:23 6:4 25:2	alias 7:4	applying 28:13	ASSOCIATES 2:3
abscond 120:18 137:7	46:14 78:18 127:10	allegations 9:23	appoint 6:16	assume 81:16
absconded 122:13	addendum 131:5,8	11:14 13:15 22:5	appointed 6:11 32:13	assumes 126:17
	131:17 132:10	24:13,14 25:8	78:20,22 91:12	assured 119:18
140:3 144:6	additional 108:18	29:22 32:24 33:4,5	appoints 27:14	ate 93:23
absolutely 17:19 34:17 82:20 90:19	126:13 146:5	37:14 74:25 75:8	appropriate 124:24	attached 131:6
	address 52:4,9,13,15	79:7 91:1,14 93:3,4	approximately 80:10	attempted 6:14 65:14
95:7 96:23 113:20	52:16,23 53:1	93:22 94:21 109:7	approximating 82:12	attempting 9:21
113:23 127:18 abuse 13:15 14:10	55:12 87:3,21	109:17,19,23 111:2	April 5:18,23 8:23	attention 111:10
	110:6 114:20	113:16 120:10	38:5,9 42:19 43:16	131:5
23:13,17,23 25:8	120:22 126:18	121:15 122:3 137:2	78:20 85:21	attorney 6:2 13:5,11
35:14,20 41:23	144:8	137:4	area 92:8,24 97:8,10	46:12,13 53:22
42:5 47:24 59:23	addressed 27:20	allege 37:17	97:15,21 106:22	71:3 87:9 111:14
66:2,24 67:1,6 74:12 75:1 02:2	121:17 144:4	alleged 21:24 118:6	110:2	117:20 151:13
74:13 75:1 93:2	addresses 120:12	119:5	areas 105:6 107:18	attorneys 151:15
95:11,11 106:12	addressing 96:10,19	alleging 31:19 37:21	argued 10:21,21	attorney's 123:1
109:8,11,18,19	adjudicated 114:5	allow 31:4 37:22	arguing 144:3	145:25
110:15 111:16,18	134:3	96:14,16 98:15	argument 4:6 111:5	August 6:21,23 49:7
111:19 112:18,20	administer 108:11	104:20 129:20	116:5 131:15 144:1	49:14 62:14,18
113:9,10,12 115:22	administrative 15:21	allowed 68:6 72:9	arguments 8:16 9:20	authority 17:7 19:19
115:23 117:22,24 117:25 120:1	admissible 27:18	91:18	arm 57:1,1 58:7	20:24 134:12
	30:24	allowing 25:23	arrest 7:9 85:15	authorization 13:25
121:24 122:17	admit 73:9 105:2	114:10	arriving 121:16	14:5
abused 48:1 58:22	admitted 40:3 41:2	allows 91:23	aside 11:24 12:23	authorized 151:7
60:21 121:7 abusive 10:7	41:18 58:16 73:8	alluding 108:3	125:24	available 6:3 15:15
	73:17 83:5 92:12	amend 136:12,13	asked 48:10 60:15	18:5,9
academic 101:3	92:22 105:18 144:5	137:19,20,22	68:25 78:11 79:6	availed 129:17
accept 32:17 108:16 109:19 110:1,13	adult 48:25 109:10	amended 122:23	85:18 86:10 102:19	avenue 126:20
accepted 97:25 98:3	adversely 125:11	123:2,12,24 129:8,9	104:8,16,18 110:12	award 145:25
98:19,20 129:25	advice 53:22	135:2,2 137:19	110:13 142:8 143:6	awarded 132:6
141:13	advise 14:17 147:22	145:11	143:12	awards 104:3
access 124:24 125:7	advised 7:25 52:6	Amendment 70:19	asking 10:22 33:9	aware 14:25 28:24
130:2,6 136:1	139:8	71:11,16,21	54:4 72:18 86:21	72:20 78:15 87:2
148:15,22	advises 150:13	amount 23:16 88:13	111:25 129:11,18	
accidents 80:15,20	advising 85:14	anger 107:20	135:19 136:12	B
80:25	affidavit 118:5	angry 54:3	138:20,22,24	B 1:11 3:11 16:21
accountant 32:21	affirm 33:19 99:14	Ann 100:11	142:13	138:11,16
acknowledgments	aforementioned 11:9	announce 5:6	assert 142:13	back 4:20 8:1,14,19
104:2	afraid 62:25	announced 29:15	asserted 139:11	11:3 12:1 35:4,4,9
act 24:2 111:16,20,21	afternoon 17:22,23	answer 42:12 72:19	142:22	37:1,1 39:4 49:9,18
115:24,25 117:22	34:4	83:24 103:20	asserting 142:13	50:17 55:11,13
113.47,43 117.44		-		
	•	•	•	•

	1	1	1	1
56:2 57:22 59:11	124:2 143:25	Caballero 1:11 74:5	109:2,7,9,10,11	27:11,12,16 30:1
59:12 60:2 61:1,21	Bellevue 7:21 68:13	call 4:20 5:1 15:4	116:15 131:1,25	31:10,12,16 32:20
81:21 87:8 88:19	best 24:3,25 42:7	21:20 28:17 70:20	133:7	33:9,10 34:8 37:18
112:22 121:8 133:4	48:7 125:1 126:21	85:11 88:2 96:5,8	cause 53:8 82:15	42:5,21 43:15
137:13 150:14,16	127:19 135:23	96:14,16,24 134:11	111:15,17 113:23	44:11,13 45:7 46:3
backpack 61:24 62:7	139:5 147:19	called 16:25 21:20	114:16 115:20	47:2 51:21,24
73:13	148:13	46:11,13 70:22	116:12 117:21,23	52:12,20 53:4,13,13
bad 13:3 64:24	better 24:2	71:9 90:11 131:8	149:22	55:6,16,21 56:11
bailiff 146:6,11 150:4	biopsychosocial	calling 5:7	caused 9:10 82:15	59:15 61:16 62:21
150:11,16	107:11	calls 79:5 84:16,19	148:6	65:1,12 68:3,5
Baker 65:19	birth 6:24 7:3,6 68:7	84:22,25 85:2,4,5	causes 113:15	71:13,14 72:4
ball 22:23	70:13,21 71:4,14	88:14	cell 84:24	76:13 86:19 87:24
based 7:6 9:19 11:9	bit 106:21 112:3	cancel 12:2	Center 100:12	89:6,7,8,9,12 90:14
11:15 24:10 25:16	blank 146:4	capital 102:9	102:11,13	90:22,25,25 91:20
26:11 27:2 29:22	blood 65:13	car 48:17 59:10,10	certain 23:18,19,25	93:17 94:9,10,24
30:23 31:5,9,20	body 58:4,6 81:14	60:3,7,17	certainly 25:4,10	95:8,8,11,12 109:11
44:9 69:23 71:14	bones 57:23	care 12:18 35:4	27:1 100:20 107:16	109:12,17,18,19,22
88:24 91:5,19	born 7:1,3 34:19 35:1	65:12,13 67:24	certificate 3:8 6:24	110:10,15 111:3,16
92:19 93:1,11	53:11 65:3 71:20	106:14 108:2	7:4,6 70:13,21 71:5	111:18 112:6,18,20
109:17 110:24,25	71:24	112:22	71:14 151:1	113:1,14,14,21
111:20 114:12	box 120:23	careful 142:9	certified 138:11	114:11,19 115:22
118:1 120:6,8,11,22	boy 81:4	case 1:4 5:2,4,18 7:6	139:24	117:5,22,24 118:7
122:22 124:7,8	boyfriend 116:20	13:9 14:16,18,21	certify 151:7,12	119:6,9,13,19,20,20
125:18 126:24	break 129:3 134:24	18:10 19:22 22:11	chance 12:7	119:24 120:15,18
127:6 129:23 130:4	briefly 66:10 100:18	23:17 25:3 26:14	change 64:22 65:6	120:20 121:2,17,18
135:22 136:7	bring 19:12 20:6	27:3 28:5,16,22	137:22 140:6	122:10,13,16,18,24
137:22 138:21,23	22:17 50:4 51:18	29:5 30:15 32:10	changed 49:2 67:23	123:12 124:8,10,21
139:14 142:23	55:11 63:21 94:25	34:23 39:23 64:4	85:17	124:25 125:2,7,13
143:5 144:1 145:6	96:6 111:9 149:12	73:24 77:13 87:13	chapter 111:12	126:15,22 127:19
145:7,8	149:15	87:20 89:16,18,19	114:21,21 115:5	128:16,25 129:1,7
basically 45:20 51:11	bringing 22:22 57:6	91:22,24,25 92:2,5	116:8,16 117:8,15	129:14,21 130:2,7
basis 10:23 11:16,17	brought 25:18,19	92:14,15,16,16	117:18 118:11,16	132:5,6 133:4,12,13
17:19 24:17 25:15	26:1 59:9 98:12	93:13 94:16 96:5	118:19,22,23,24	133:19 134:3 135:3
32:22 91:18 130:20	112:15 131:5 143:3	110:5,14 111:1,24	119:2	135:5,6,19 136:2,2
130:22 136:23	149:20	114:5,25 115:6	character 65:1	136:13,14,16,18,20
bathroom 61:4 63:1	Broward 47:25 48:3	116:3,4,13,25 117:1	Chatham 101:7	136:21,23 137:3,7
batteries 106:15	48:9 77:9 124:22	118:12,21 120:5,6	checks 132:18	137:17,23 138:15
Beach 7:3 71:20,25	133:15,16,16,25	122:19 124:20	chest 81:20	138:16 139:5,9
becoming 103:22	134:4,19	125:10 127:11	child 6:4,25 7:1,2,4	140:4,22 143:9,13
began 64:18	bruise 35:5 38:21,22	130:11,23,24 131:2	7:12,16,19,23 8:7	143:18,19 144:6
beginning 12:9 34:22	40:15 58:7	131:9,13,17,19,20	8:14,17,19,21 10:8	145:13,16 146:8,8
begins 96:22	bruises 56:23,25	131:25 132:5,5,19	10:10,18 12:1,16,19	146:15,21 147:4,9
behalf 5:11,13 10:18	brushing 61:4	133:10,15,16,18	12:25 14:10,10,19	147:24 148:4,6,15
22:19 24:15 34:7	bump 41:9	134:1,3,4,20 138:2	14:23 17:8,9,16	148:19,21,22 149:3
37:18 122:18 129:4	burden 15:10 89:2	138:5,7 141:6	18:1,1,5,6,13 19:6,7	149:21 150:1
behave 146:25	122:16	142:14 143:2,23	19:7,9 20:18,20,21	ChildNet 100:24,24
behavior 67:25	burn 49:16	144:25 145:8 149:4	21:9,15,16,21 22:3	105:24 106:4,20
behaviors 11:7	business 16:17 90:2,4	cases 20:10 27:13	22:17,19,21,23	107:15,16,25 108:2
believe 15:18 17:20	100:11	28:11,12 29:7	23:11,12,13,15,18	108:7 109:6,9
29:15 48:10 69:8		30:17 100:23	23:19,21,22,24,24	children 18:2 22:8
80:6 81:18 85:8	<u> </u>	105:25 106:6,7	24:2,3,16,19 25:1,8	25:21 32:3 64:1,9
88:21 90:16 120:24	C 2:1	107:17 108:19,21	25:17,18,18,25	107:3 109:7 111:23
L				

	I	Ì	1	
112:8 119:15	committed 9:25	consider 66:17	92:5,8 94:1,4,23	48:9,12,21 49:14
122:25	114:14 127:12	consideration 139:6	99:25 124:12	50:1,5,8,11,13,16
Children's 1:14	139:13	consistent 6:19	125:23 127:10	50:19,21,22 52:2,6
child's 73:13 89:13	committing 12:11	112:19	128:7 129:25	52:10,23,24 55:9,9
112:16 126:21	common 95:23	constantly 11:4 35:21	132:16 140:18	58:2,15 59:5,14
140:6 148:13	communication	67:11	147:20 151:13,15	60:5,14,18 61:14,17
Chin 13:5	83:12,14,16 84:5,10	contact 10:10 11:11	counseling 101:7	62:17 63:19 64:13
CIRCUIT 1:1,1	comp 102:7	42:22 45:24 46:3	counsels 147:21	66:6,16 67:16,18
circumstance 60:7	company 102:18	88:25 117:4 124:16	counsel's 77:12	68:9,20,23 69:2,6
circumstances 59:2	competency 97:16,17	124:19,23,24 125:7	county 1:2,14 5:20	69:13 70:3,24 71:2
60:23,25 61:1	97:20 99:3,4,23	130:2,6 136:1	7:22 12:4,16 22:14	72:13,15 73:3,11
122:8 144:1 145:7	102:11	149:1	47:25 48:3,9 77:9	74:4,6,18,20,22
civil 7:10 20:12	complete 32:6 112:10	contacted 4:10 70:19	97:6 98:4 124:22	76:14,17 77:23
cjdpa1@aol.com	151:10	96:2 119:16 126:17	133:16,25 134:4	78:1,4,10 82:16
2:10	completed 102:4	contacts 47:1	151:3	83:3,8,13,24 84:1,5
claim 16:6	completing 102:5	contain 89:25	couple 14:3 47:14	84:11,24 86:3 87:6
claiming 7:1	comply 127:8 144:17	contained 24:13	61:6 81:22	87:8,17,24 88:1,5
clapping 69:20 70:7	composite 39:20	37:14	couples 100:21	88:11,12,17,18,22
clarify 18:12	40:25 41:1 121:3	contempt 7:10 36:4	course 87:19 90:2	89:6,14,18,20,23
clarifying 7:15	conceal 68:5	45:16	94:17 96:23 111:7	90:15,18,21 91:8,12
clear 21:12 24:14	concern 47:22 53:8	continually 67:8	114:22 147:1,10	92:2,5,10,15 93:8
25:19 26:21 28:8	82:15,19 113:15,24	continuance 124:2	148:10,23	94:7 95:15 96:5,10
29:2 138:19	114:16 116:12	continue 15:7 46:16	court 1:1,3 4:3,7,10	96:13,18,23 97:6,23
clearly 9:13 72:16	137:6	continued 67:25 95:1	4:10,11,16 5:3,6,12	98:1,4,9,13,15,19
89:9	concerned 34:16,18	123:16 124:4	5:16 6:6,12,13,16	98:21,22,23 99:1,4
CLERK 5:1,4 33:18	63:4 66:1 81:13	continuing 9:3 124:3	6:20,22 7:11,25 8:5	99:9,15,24 100:2
33:25 39:23 40:2	122:11 125:12	conversations 126:25	8:10,20,24,25 9:2	101:20,23 102:22
73:16 83:4 98:6	126:2 127:3	convinced 126:21	9:14,15 10:1,16,22	103:19 104:9,11,15
99:14,20 105:15	concerning 133:12	cooperating 17:15	12:8,17,20,25 13:2	104:20 105:4,5,8,11
client 12:24 17:6,7	concerns 14:7 15:2	сору 72:2,12,19,20	13:9,16 15:10,16,17	105:16,20 106:3,6,9
19:6 26:13 29:17	58:21 61:19 114:4	73:4 77:12	15:20 16:11,14,20	106:16,19,21 107:7
72:16 76:15 129:5	119:24 125:9	corners 11:13 31:20	17:16,18,23 18:4,4	107:14,17 108:7,14
137:14 149:23	concluded 113:4	98:25	18:6,7,8,15,21,24	108:16,25 109:2,5
client's 33:3	150:14,19	corpus 12:24 129:20	19:8,11,11,15,17,23	109:11,14,22,25
clingy 11:8 62:25	concludes 146:3	140:22	20:7,12,14,25 21:2	110:6,12,18,21,25
clinical 97:9,10,11	concluding 145:19	correct 28:14 44:12	21:3,11,12,18 22:5	111:2,7,12,22,25
108:24	conclusions 24:24	52:3,5,8 56:6 73:25	22:13,15 23:4,9,10	112:8 113:2,6,8,17
close 9:7 16:17	condition 54:23	75:20 77:2,7,10	24:2,9 25:10,14	113:18 114:2,8,10
closed 111:25 120:5	92:20	80:10,11 85:21,22	26:20 27:4,12,14,15	114:20,25 115:3,4,7
closing 14:13	conditions 23:19	86:1,2 87:23,25	27:16,20,22,23,24	115:13,25 116:1,3,7
clung 93:24	conduct 100:20	119:1	28:3,9,11,16,19,21	116:13,18,18,23,25
Coast 102:10	conducted 90:17	correctional 106:13	28:23,25 29:5,9	117:2,6,11,14,18
code 91:17	91:11	correctly 141:7	30:4,6,9,12,15 32:4	118:8,12,23,24
come 26:5 27:17	conducting 102:6	costs 123:1 146:1	32:13,15 33:3,20	119:3,10,12 120:21
31:23 59:7 89:14	conference 8:23	couch 40:16	35:13,17,24 37:2,17	121:11,12,19 122:7
134:13 141:19,21	confinement 67:2	counsel 2:6,11 9:20	37:21 38:13 39:9	122:8,9,10 123:10
150:14,16	confused 70:11	13:21 16:16 17:11	39:17,19,22,25	123:19,25 124:11
comes 27:8 112:22	confusing 20:24	17:14,20 18:12	40:10,13,22,25	124:14,23,23,25
coming 11:6 48:9	connect 4:12	20:18 23:6 24:22	41:15,22,23,24 42:2	125:8,16 126:1,5,9
commend 110:7	connected 151:15	29:1,16 38:11 73:4	42:4,7,8,10,20	126:11,12 127:7,15
Commissioner 15:17	connection 71:4 88:2	73:7 76:19,20,24	43:10,14,25 44:9,23	127:21 128:2,10,14
16:2 70:5 127:1	consent 21:16,18	77:2,6,10 85:13,18	45:18 47:25 48:4,7	128:21 129:8,12,18

129:19,23 130:14	custody 7:11 10:10	62:14,19 78:23,24	8:9 9:16 13:10	146:2 147:8 150:8
130:17 131:2,7,9,14	11:12 12:5,24	decide 106:25	15:13,19 16:10,15	differ 13:1
131:18,21,24 132:2	14:23 44:1 45:4,7	decision 16:1 127:6	18:11,18,22 19:1	difference 56:14
132:4,14,17,20,23	111:3 128:16 131:3	142:1 144:16	20:8,13 21:8,14	different 6:22 15:1
132:25 133:3,8,17	132:6 133:11,12,19	decisions 15:5	23:2,6 24:4,10	19:12 22:23 41:10
132:25 135:5,6,17	134:2 136:20	140:14	25:12 26:14,18,20	51:4 52:16 53:1,24
134:20 135:1,9,10	137:18 138:11,17	declined 8:20	28:7,12,23 29:1,13	54:1 56:22 57:20
135:14,20,21,22	138:23 139:17,25	decree 138:11,17,23	31:6 32:12,19	95:3 112:3 115:14
136:4,8,10,12,15,17	143:18 145:13,18	139:2,17,25 140:16	35:15 37:12,20	120:22 133:22
136:19 137:1,3,14	146:7	142:16	38:11,25 39:11,14	difficult 148:10
137:16,21 138:3,3,4	customarily 31:2	deep 96:3	39:18 40:8,23	dire 3:6,7 96:21 97:1
	95:16	default 120:13	41:16 42:1,6 43:11	98:15 100:4 106:8
138:7,12,18 139:1,7				
139:12,25 140:13	customary 95:21	128:13,17	48:6,20 49:13,25	Direct 3:3 34:2
140:13,15 141:4,7	cut 134:6	Defender's 102:9	50:2,4,18,20 51:1	directed 88:5
141:14,16,18,19,25	cuts 35:4	degrees 101:3	57:5,10,13,17 58:1	direction 15:1
142:8,11,17,22,23	Cynthia 2:8,8 5:13	delineates 16:3	58:10,14 59:4,13	director 100:14
142:25 143:3,11,14	D	demeanor 59:16	60:4,13 61:10,12	103:7
143:15,25 144:4,7,9	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D} 3:1}$	denied 5:21 9:8	62:9,15 63:11,17	directs 136:19
144:11,19,24 145:3		88:22 118:23,24	66:3,14,18 67:17	Discarded 55:19
145:6,9,12 146:3,12	dad 54:10 119:8	deny 9:17 96:13	68:11,25 69:3,9,14	discipline 31:1,4,7
146:20,24 147:14	134:19 149:25	122:17 145:20	69:25 70:10,15	disclose 19:15,19
147:16 148:4 149:6	Dade 12:4	147:1 148:15,20	71:8,12,18,23 72:6	20:2,3 21:5 22:12
149:9,13,16,19,20	danger 89:1 122:17	department 6:25	72:11,18,23 73:13	22:25
149:24 150:4,6,10	date 1:12 34:10	7:21 18:2 32:3 64:1	73:15,19 74:24	disclosed 11:2,4 19:5
150:10,12,17	57:10,15 132:22	64:8 68:13 70:20	76:8,12,16,18 77:24	19:21 20:1
Courthouse 1:14	133:16	111:13,23 117:19	78:6,13,14 82:21,23	disclosing 19:24
courts 100:24 113:19	dated 88:12 151:18	119:15	83:7 84:2 87:5,12	discussions 113:25
148:2	dating 132:19	dependency 14:16	89:5 90:9,23 91:4,9	dismiss 11:15 87:13
Court's 111:9 131:5	day 31:17,23 33:16	15:4 100:23 105:25	91:24 92:3,7,13,23	89:4 96:11,20
137:13 144:17	40:19 41:12 44:17	106:7,19 108:1,3,7	94:2,6,8,14,18 95:5	110:4,25 114:15
148:14	45:10 59:1 60:3	114:23 120:6	95:10,14 96:3,11,16	143:15
court-appointed 6:18	67:11 70:20 82:11	depicted 79:18	96:21 97:2 98:11	dismissed 9:11 16:7
court-ordered 86:5	123:11 124:15,15	deputy 146:16,19	98:18,24 99:7,22,25	116:6 121:11
86:22	125:4,7 126:14	147:5 149:7 150:3	100:3 101:18,21	130:21 131:25
CPI 13:24	151:18	150:5	102:19 103:13	137:24 138:6,7
CPT 14:11,12 119:19	days 14:2 18:25	describe 40:13 49:12	104:8,13 105:5,10	dismisses 112:9
119:25	81:22 82:3 93:16	54:22 57:8 79:18	106:1,5,9 110:3,7	displayed 11:7
crazy 53:15 56:17	120:3,4 141:11	81:3 100:18	110:23 113:7 115:2	dissolve 122:24
credentials 109:25	DCA 30:15,16	desire 9:4	115:11,17 116:9,11	123:13 129:12
credible 29:25	DCF 10:11 13:13	detailed 15:25	116:24 117:7	distraught 147:2
credit 138:13,24	15:4 17:3,7 19:5	determination	122:21 123:6,16,23	division 15:22 30:8
140:1	31:24 64:8 75:2,7,8	109:15 135:1	124:1 125:5,20,23	135:16,18
criminal 97:22,23	81:6 90:15,17,18,19	determinations 24:25	127:9,24 128:1,5,15	docket 50:14,16
98:21	90:19 91:2 95:3	136:18 148:4	128:18 129:6,10	52:15 127:2 128:22
cross 68:9	112:6,11,24 113:3	determine 23:12 93:9	130:12 131:11	dockets 6:7
Cross-Examination	113:13 114:1 116:9	118:19 124:23	132:11 133:6 135:4	doctor 26:11 56:19
3:4 68:10	116:11,14 117:19	determined 148:3	135:14,25 136:5,11	86:21,22,22 90:1
cross-examined 32:8	118:21 124:19,23	devices 62:2	137:8,11,15,19	92:16 93:6,16
currently 100:16	126:16 140:20	diagnosis 95:20	138:1,10,22 139:18	doctorate 101:4
103:6 114:6	dealing 26:3 64:25	didactic 103:10	139:23 140:15	doctors 80:1 92:17
curriculum 104:25	dealt 127:22	Dienstag 2:8,8 3:4,6	141:13 142:2,5	119:23
105:16 106:17	December 6:21,23	4:5 5:13,13,17 6:11	143:8,12 144:22	document 11:13
100.10 100.17	, -		1 10 10 JIM 1 1 11 MM	
	1			1

	I			
31:14,20 104:24	E 2:1,1 3:1,11	enter 39:7,9,19 40:20	89:24,25 90:17,20	98:6
dog 121:25	ear 49:16,18	114:18 116:19	100:24,25 101:1,1,2	excused 110:15,20
doing 8:1 48:3 53:16	earlier 89:8 95:15	118:10,16,20 125:1	102:12 106:4	executed 137:17
61:21 90:16 95:4	earliest 118:9	125:6 126:16 130:1	107:10,11,12,13,22	exercise 8:20,25 10:1
100:11 104:5	easily 11:7	130:6 136:5,21	107:22,23,24 108:6	exercised 49:3
139:19 140:17	eat 57:25	138:15 139:9	109:6	exercising 124:6
domestic 5:19 10:25	education 102:4,5	142:14,16,23	events 5:17	140:24
16:6 18:4 19:11,13	107:19	143:19 149:6	Eventually 148:16	exhibit 3:12 40:2,3
19:24 20:7,10,15,16	eight 53:14 75:12,14	entered 7:14 9:18	everybody 124:18	40:21,25 41:1,14,18
20:17,25 21:3,6,22	either 19:2,21 20:13	15:24 37:3 58:11	126:17 127:5	58:16 73:17 83:5
22:1,12,13 23:22	23:3 27:16 32:8	70:5 74:9 113:19	evidence 14:9 15:6	105:3,15,17,18
25:8 27:23 28:3,13	35:23 36:3 110:4	116:16 120:12,13	30:24 32:3 39:8,10	121:3
29:6,20 31:9 32:24	134:17	120:14 122:9 124:3	40:4 41:2,19 42:7,9	exhibits 20:3
34:7 53:19 66:16	ELEVENTH 1:1	124:6,9,12,15,20	44:10 45:19 48:7,9	exist 145:7
74:8 81:7 87:13	elicit 17:13	126:14 129:3 131:2	48:24 58:11,17	existence 128:10
88:10 89:2 94:15	elicited 79:12	135:21,24 136:1	73:8,18 74:12 75:8	existing 138:16
94:20 96:12 98:25	eliciting 63:19	138:1 140:22	75:20 79:19,23	exists 111:18 117:24
106:12 107:20	Elizabeth 3:5 16:21	142:11 144:11	80:4 83:6 84:18,21	expect 65:7
108:21 109:3,4,20	98:8 99:17,21	145:12	85:1,2,11 91:17	experiencing 23:24
109:23 112:4	100:7	entering 149:24	105:19 110:25	expert 19:4,13 20:6
113:22 115:14,19	email 85:17	entertain 117:2	111:18 113:7,10,20	20:10,11,14,16,20
116:7 119:4 122:22	emails 74:12,16,19	148:25	113:22,22,24 114:2	22:9,10,22,25 23:3
122:25 124:9	85:15	entertained 122:8	114:8,9,12,16	23:7,10 25:4,6,12
129:11 132:18,19	emergency 10:2,16	entire 48:9 50:5	115:12,20,22,22,24	26:4,5,12,13,14,16
134:5 135:16 142:5	10:23 11:17,22	76:22	117:24 121:1,20,23	26:25 27:9,14,15,17
142:20	12:2,10 33:16	entitled 20:6	122:1 127:17 136:8	28:3,4,6,15 29:6,21
domesticate 141:8,22	36:12 82:16 96:7	entry 139:13	138:16 139:6 140:3	29:25 30:22 31:4,7
141:22 142:7	114:7,8 122:20	escort 150:11,12	140:5,9,10,10,20,24	31:7,8,15,21 32:1
domesticated 134:9	124:6,7 125:24	especially 29:17	141:2,3,4	32:11,12,13,14,16
domesticating	128:24 129:1,2	106:23 114:4	ex 8:3,12 118:10	32:18,19,20,23,23
142:19	130:20 135:15	149:19	exactly 46:14 49:17	32:25 63:20 87:18
domestication 130:9	137:4	essence 13:20	82:12 84:16,17	90:10 91:4,5,9,12
135:11,13 141:12	emotional 146:21	establish 66:8 98:16	146:22	91:12,15,23 92:3,7
door 44:18 45:11,12	employed 100:10,11	100:1 122:16	examination 3:3,6,7	92:12,14 93:6,10,12
63:2	102:15	established 66:4 97:5	34:2 63:25 97:1	93:14,20 94:2,22
Dr 3:5 16:13 17:24	employee 151:13,14	eval 46:5	100:4	97:5,12,13,19,25
27:10 49:23 51:10	enable 30:19	evaluate 19:7,8 90:21	examine 59:16 63:23	98:3,13,19,20 99:8
63:15 86:18 91:13	enables 30:22	110:10	examined 14:10	99:23 100:1 104:12
97:4 99:17 100:8	encourages 147:9	evaluated 17:17	17:25 33:23 64:3	104:17,19 105:13
119:22	endangerment 48:2	20:22 21:9,15	99:18	105:14,20 106:22
drama 146:9	ended 65:2	22:23 23:11 30:2	example 26:8	107:1 108:17 110:1
drastic 82:13 120:25	enforce 129:19 130:8	90:1 94:9 95:8	exception 61:13	110:10,14
Dudgeon 38:3	135:10,12 136:10	107:3 109:12,18,22	89:15 90:3	expertise 92:9,24
due 36:19 37:1 134:5	139:2 140:25	113:14 119:19,20	excited 59:18,25 60:2	97:8,10 98:13
141:24 144:2,19	141:18,20 142:16	119:23,24	60:9,15 69:17,19	103:14,16 104:14
duly 33:23 99:18	143:13	evaluating 23:18	excitement 59:20,22	experts 20:2 30:19
DuPage 5:19 7:22	enforcement 7:15	evaluation 20:19	68:12	31:1 89:24 92:1
duration 76:22	9:21,22 111:13	22:8,21 24:25 26:6	excluded 63:18	expert's 92:20
duties 102:17	117:19 138:13	27:2 30:3 42:23	exclusive 8:21 9:3	expired 38:5 54:17
DV 92:16 108:20	enroll 71:13 141:21	43:2 91:11 94:13	11:16 13:1 114:6	88:21
E	enrolled 7:4 134:7	122:6	128:5 139:8 140:21	explain 30:20 54:12
	enrolling 121:22	evaluations 25:7	Excuse 5:1 57:13	55:5 64:13 107:14
	1			l

	1	I	1	I
explained 14:21	138:2,5 139:12	74:6 88:11 115:7	first 10:19 16:13	formalities 141:5
45:17	149:4 150:10	117:9,11,14 118:4	17:10 18:13 20:8	formed 27:2
explore 96:1	far 55:1 87:20 90:24	120:6 126:24 131:7	21:21 23:2 24:11	formulate 95:20
exposed 146:9	father 5:22 6:16 7:10	131:18	25:21 26:18 30:16	formulating 31:3
expressed 125:9	7:17,18 8:8,19 9:24	filed 7:18 9:12 10:5	33:13,23 35:2	FORT 2:5
147:17	15:3 16:6 17:17	10:12,17 13:15	36:21 45:6 49:7	forth 118:5
expressly 9:2	21:17 25:2 30:2,9	14:22 21:22 24:12	55:3,25 59:11 73:4	forthrightness 110:8
extend 88:23	36:1 40:7,9 42:23	25:22 27:19 45:23	99:11,18 111:6	FORTUNADO 3:7
extended 36:13 38:6	45:20 51:7,18 52:4	66:6 77:13 89:10	114:20 123:11	Fortunato 2:3,3 3:3
38:7,8 88:20 90:18	52:12 54:5,8 61:22	89:12 93:11 115:4	131:19 132:21	4:8,15,23 5:10,10
extending 124:16	62:18 68:18 69:21	115:15 116:14,22	133:1 150:1	13:7 15:9 16:12,13
extensive 12:5	70:8 79:24 91:11	117:1 118:21,23	five 10:11 28:4 51:13	17:22,24 18:17,20
106:17	93:19 109:17 114:6	121:9,14 122:20	62:13,13	19:10,16,18 20:23
extensively 13:22	114:19 119:12	128:23,24 129:4,8	fixed 106:15	21:4,10 22:9 23:11
extent 31:2 39:16	120:8,14,21 122:10	129:10 130:22	FL 1:15 2:5,10	24:6 25:4 26:3,16
extremely 11:8	122:17 124:24	131:10 132:3,12,23	FLAGLER 2:9	26:19,25 27:21,25
eye 35:5 38:21 41:8	125:18 128:15	133:6,8,8,9,20	fled 127:8	28:18,20 29:8,10
	129:20,21 136:14	134:16,17,19 137:2	flee 125:12 126:22	30:10,13,16 32:2
<u> </u>	136:22 140:23	138:4,7 142:4,9	137:8 139:9	34:3 35:19 37:24
face 57:22 80:17	143:18,19 144:3,10	143:2 145:8	flesh 65:13	38:14 39:1,3,7,13
fact 19:3 20:13 21:21	145:17,18 146:7	files 8:3 10:11,15	flight 127:3	39:21 40:5,11,20
21:23 22:2,10,17	148:15 150:8,14	11:22 12:2,10 13:5	Florida 1:2,17 8:13	41:3,13,20 42:3,11
23:3,4 25:13 26:15	favor 114:6 142:11	13:11 36:3,4 72:15	10:1,6,16,25 20:9,9	43:13 48:10,14,22
26:19 28:15 33:2	fear 11:16 33:7,8	filing 18:22 19:2	22:6 25:22 28:13	49:19 50:1,3,10,12
64:20 65:7 90:9	35:22 64:14 67:20	24:15 81:6 116:9	30:18 36:14,19,23	50:15,24 51:3 57:6
111:4 114:4 126:12	74:11 113:21 114:3	118:8 119:7 121:12	36:24 37:3 43:23	57:7,14,19 58:3,13
148:23	feared 31:17	132:25 133:4 138:9	44:4 51:8,18 52:18	58:18 59:6,17 60:6
facts 31:10	fears 10:7	final 118:17,18 131:2	55:11 86:9 88:16	60:17,19 61:11,15
factual 70:4	February 7:13 8:11	finally 4:14 7:22	90:13 91:16 100:12	61:18 62:11,20
failed 89:1	8:16 46:20 54:25	68:14	101:10 102:13	63:13,22 66:12,19
failure 111:20 115:24 118:1	55:3 56:3,4,8 83:20	financially 151:16	113:8 139:4,4	67:19 68:8,19,21
	84:3,8 128:15	find 14:8 17:1,20	151:3,6	69:4,10,22 70:2,14
faith 138:13,24 140:1 fall 113:11	132:25 133:9,9	46:12,14 47:25	focused 75:5,12	70:18 71:1,10,16,21
falling 80:14	feel 17:18 57:22	48:4 51:21 52:20	folks 130:17 150:17	72:5,10,14 73:2,9
false 8:10 142:5,21	82:19	52:22 61:24 62:1,7	follow 126:9 128:3	76:10 77:22 78:8
familiar 15:24 16:2	feelings 61:7	88:18 89:1 90:24	139:16 148:13	82:22 87:7,15,23,25
68:13,25 69:15	feels 76:13 126:20	112:11 122:15	follows 33:24 99:19 footnote 117:6	88:4,8 89:16,22
families 100:20	fees 123:1 145:25	130:18 135:22 144:19 147:23		91:3,22 92:1,4 94:1 94:4,9,17 95:2,7,11
107:17 119:16	felt 13:18 16:4 120:19	144:19 147:25	foregoing 151:8,9 forehead 41:9	100:5 101:24
family 1:3 15:21 18:2	Feucht 1:16 151:5,24	finding 9:18 52:17	foreign 142:23	100.3 101.24 102:21,23 103:17
18:3,7,8 19:11	field 101:17,21,22	findings 9:17,22	forensic 32:21 97:12	102.21,25 105.17
20:24 22:18 27:22	102:24 103:5 104:3	14:12,14 18:10	97:13 102:10	103.23 104.10,18
28:9 30:6,8 32:3	102.24 105.5 104.5	25:15 41:22 64:6	forewarning 44:18	104.23 103.2,12,22
43:10,14 64:1,9	fifth 62:17,18 70:18	70:5 111:24 112:1	forgery 7:5	108:15 109:20
98:1,4,19,21 104:17	70:25,25 71:11,16	113:14,18 120:1	forget 31:25	110:9,16 111:5,8
111:23 121:25	71:21 91:2	138:21 145:9	forgot 131:4	114:24 115:6,9
122:19 124:20	fight 65:1	finds 112:23 119:10	form 25:9 35:15 40:8	116:5,10,17,21
126:11 129:23	figured 51:23	fine 149:5	42:6 43:11 48:6	117:1,9,13 123:4,15
130:23 131:24	file 14:13 34:6 48:8,9	finish 26:24 125:10	101:18 104:13	123:18,22 125:14
132:4,5 133:14	48:13 50:1,5,8	finished 14:4 112:24	139:24	125:17,21,25 126:3
134:1 135:16,17	73:20,22,24 74:1,4	113:4	formal 102:4,5	126:6 127:4,13,16
Í Í				12010 12/11,10,10
	1	1	1	

	•	1	1	1
127:25 128:3,12,17	151:12	17:13 20:18 21:9	habeas 12:24 129:20	21:6 22:12 23:1
128:20 130:8,13,15	Furthermore 145:15	21:16 23:10 25:16	140:22	25:24 28:2,8 29:4
131:4,12,16,23	future 113:1	25:25 31:25 32:5	hair 38:24 39:4	29:20 33:16 36:13
132:1,9,15,22,24	F-o-s-s-u-m 30:13	33:6 35:10 37:22	hand 33:18 73:4	72:8 94:24 115:18
133:1,23 134:8,11	i o b b u m b 0.15	38:10 39:1,19 41:4	99:12	118:9,17,18 123:14
134:19 135:10	G	59:8 60:14 61:5,17	handing 73:20	123:15 124:4,4,10
136:25 137:9,12,24	GAL 55:8	64:22 65:2 67:13	handled 108:20	124:13,14,25 125:3
139:16,19 140:8	game 22:24	70:3,3,18 71:2	hands 13:2 69:20	126:13 138:18
141:2,17 142:3	Gantine 1:5,8 2:13	76:15 87:21 88:2	handwriting 132:13	141:11 145:19
145:2,4 146:16,22	5:14 43:25 45:7	93:19 96:6,6,13,16	happen 89:10 93:7	150:19
147:5,10 149:5,7,10	46:16,23 47:2,8	98:10 99:11 103:19	111:4	hearings 4:13 6:22
149:23 150:2,5,7	64:14 65:14 67:22	104:24 109:16	happened 4:21 9:1	19:25 44:24
forward 20:6 24:11	70:19 72:3 74:13	111:8 113:2 120:6	10:15 12:8 23:17	hearsay 24:11,21,23
29:18 63:21	79:8 83:9,14 84:6	120:8 122:17	25:25 34:15,23	25:17 26:2,12
forwarding 47:18	84:10,15 85:3,13,16	126:17,19 133:21	36:11,21 40:18	27:12,16 28:14
Fossum 30:10,13	85:19 86:15,15	120.17,19 135.21	43:17,19 44:6,14	29:8,9,24 30:23
foster 108:2	87:2 133:13 137:12	144:24 145:10,20	45:10 46:8 48:15	31:2,5,22 32:10
found 7:23 9:20 14:9	146:10			48:7 49:25 50:7
16:7,24 23:18	garbled 26:22	145:23,24 146:6,13	51:14 54:4 55:1,5	
35:13 48:2 52:16	general 105:21	146:24,25 148:16	55:18 65:21 118:12 148:2	58:1 59:4,13,15 60:4,13 61:10
53:18 68:14 73:12	107:13	148:16,21 149:13		-
	generally 103:15	150:1,2,6,10	happening 51:14 61:3 65:11	68:19,21 69:22,24 80:7 14 00:12
90:24 92:13,21	getting 9:6 22:20	Goldstein 6:12,19		89:7,14 90:12
116:7	65:5 85:5 125:18	50:18,20,21 51:1	happens 64:17 113:1 116:14	91:20,23 92:17
foundation 32:15,17	give 13:17 15:11 21:4	good 17:22,23 34:4		93:14,17 94:3,11
92:11	28:4 33:6,10,19	77:16,17 147:20	happy 106:18	95:18
four 11:13 31:20	39:1,17 89:18 92:4	150:17	harassing 84:16,18	heavier 81:24 82:2
37:19 38:1 42:19		goodbye 146:17	84:21,25 85:2,11,15	held 7:9 8:23 101:3
72:9 87:1 98:24	99:9,15 138:24 141:9,23 144:15	149:8	harassment 75:1	102:3 103:3 118:9
122:4		grabbing 67:2	88:14	help 64:22
Fourteen 102:16	given 19:6,8 21:19 95:25 122:5 124:18	gradual 122:11	hard 17:20	Hillman 15:18 70:5
frame 62:9 66:4,8,8	135:19 136:24	144:13	harm 42:21 146:9,20	126:25 127:1
66:15,18,20		grant 89:3 118:14	147:4	Hillman's 16:3
frankly 33:5	137:17 gives 24:8	145:10,10,23	harmed 148:7	hire 6:14
fraud 9:13 10:1,14	gives 24:8 giving 26:11 67:15	granted 7:12,14 12:4	harmful 148:24	hired 86:18,23 91:13
12:11 70:22 71:4	0 0	25:24 36:12 38:3	head 35:5,12 38:20	93:16
114:14 127:12	120:14 140:10	130:18 134:22,24	62:6 71:7	history 23:17,22,23
137:14 139:13	go 4:7 12:19 15:3	granting 11:16 12:24	healing 47:16	37:11 41:22 88:9
fretful 17:14	27:4 28:2,21 42:12	110:4 131:3 145:15	health 6:25 63:4,7	112:17,18,19
Friday 4:13 45:18	44:13 45:22 47:11	grants 143:16	100:12 102:13	114:14
friends 122:1	47:17 52:17 56:1	Great 4:15	hear 72:13 99:24	hit 35:11
front 40:12 57:23	57:8 63:1,14 73:3,5	greatly 125:11	126:15 131:14,15	hold 100:16 101:12
149:12,15	75:15 76:16 87:8	ground 10:14	132:15 136:9	home 36:3 37:2
frustrate 9:21	106:18 111:7	grounds 137:23	147:14 148:23	44:17 48:17
full 112:10 128:10	119:12 127:20	guardian 5:23 6:4	heard 4:19 8:16	honest 47:15
138:12,24 140:1	146:11,12,13	25:2 46:14 78:18	44:10,17 48:23	Honor 4:5,23 11:20
further 11:11 42:5,21	149:25 150:1,2,9	85:14 91:10 127:10	89:13 91:14 126:14	13:7 15:13,19
68:8 88:23 98:16	God 54:25	guess 13:4 56:19	127:10,17 130:14	16:10,15 17:22
99:10 106:8 108:15	goes 76:12 121:8,15	guy 126:8	136:8 140:2	18:11 20:8 23:2
124:22 125:1,6	146:17	guys 61:21 66:1	hearing 1:11 4:18,21	24:4,10 27:22 28:7
126:16 130:1,6	going 4:18,24 6:17	Н	4:22 6:3,10 11:23	28:14 29:13 32:2
136:6 143:19	8:1,2 9:11 11:25		12:3,6,14 16:20	34:24 68:8 69:4,9
145:22 146:18	15:11 16:11 17:2	H 3:11	17:11 18:13,25	72:14 87:5,7,12,16
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

88:4,8,15 89:17	140:21 142:17	10:4,5,9,25 11:10	interview 26:5 27:7	51:6,11 53:11,18,23
91:22 96:21 98:11	144:18	18:23 22:25 24:12	81:6 112:11	71:9,15,19 75:5,8
105:3,12 106:18	Illinois's 134:5	25:20 29:18,21	interviewing 90:25	75:19 133:13
108:15 110:3,17	immediately 16:25	31:15 36:8 37:13	introduce 17:21 76:8	Jacob's 68:18
113:7 114:24 123:5	34:14 35:7 55:19	37:25 38:2 53:18	76:11	jail 35:24
123:6,8,9,23 124:3	59:7 136:20 143:17	66:7 88:3 89:3 91:6	introduced 42:9	January 7:8,12,24
132:11 134:13	145:17,18	109:16 111:16,18	75:20 80:4 105:9	8:6,7 45:9 46:20
138:22 140:1,8	imminent 89:1	112:1,2,4,5,9 113:3	105:11 121:1,22	51:15 52:1 55:13
145:2 146:18 147:6	122:16	114:15 115:15,18	invalid 141:25	68:14 82:7,8,19
149:5	important 9:13 11:21	115:21 116:6,15,19	investigate 31:24	JILL 2:8
Honorable 1:11	72:7 147:8	117:3,10,15,22,24	investigated 23:13	job 47:14
honors 104:2	impressive 110:1	118:4,16,20,22	investigation 7:6,25	joint 7:20
Hooper 3:5 16:13,21	imputing 32:22	119:4 122:23,24	14:8 17:5 24:18	judge 4:11 5:1 7:8,14
17:1,4,5,24 63:15	incidents 11:9	123:13 124:9	30:3 32:6 70:21	8:14,16,17 9:7,16
97:3,4 98:8 99:17	inclined 24:9	129:11 131:22	71:4 90:16 91:2	9:18 11:21 13:21
99:21 100:7,8	include 24:20 108:20	132:20 135:16	111:11 112:10,16	14:19 15:14,16,18
105:6	included 24:16 29:22	137:25 138:5 142:6	112:25 113:4	15:21,21,24 28:24
hope 147:21	including 93:19	142:20	117:17 119:17	34:22 35:15 36:12
hopes 11:5	income 32:22	injunctions 33:10	120:7 124:19	36:23,23,24 38:3
hotel 61:1,3	incorrect 135:23	34:6 74:9 81:7	investigations 10:11	39:24 48:18,23,23
hour 16:23	independent 100:25	87:13 114:21	investigator 14:12	52:14 74:4 75:22
house 121:24	Indiana 6:25 7:1	118:10,14 124:16	52:19 81:18 119:18	95:10 96:2 114:1
huge 40:14	70:16	129:13 130:21	investigators 75:2	116:6,23 125:9,15
hugged 59:11	indicate 13:14 23:7	injured 79:22 80:13	114:1	126:7 127:1 130:23
	69:16 71:19	injuries 35:9 37:1,10	involved 18:16 25:3	134:23 135:24
$\frac{I}{11 + 16225610041}$	indicated 17:2 24:22	41:7 49:10,12	109:7	136:9,9 140:9,9,18
idea 16:22 56:10 94:1	29:14 72:1 92:8	53:15 54:25 64:17	involvement 6:9	144:25 145:12
identify 41:5	106:11 113:24	65:5 75:15 79:17	involving 44:24	146:11 149:17
identifying 106:14 Illinois 4:10 5:20 6:2	116:11 120:24	79:18,19,22,23	109:7	150:11
6:13,23 7:8,22 8:1	130:5	80:22 112:23	irrelevant 37:16	judges 25:2 91:10
8:21,24 9:2,4 10:21	indicates 17:12	injury 38:19 40:15 41:8	90:12	124:17 126:11
11:23 12:19 14:19	indicating 40:8 70:16 indication 121:6	41:8 innate 93:10	isolated 121:21	140:19
14:24 23:15 36:9	individual 107:19	innace 93:10 innocent 148:20	issuance 111:17 115:21 117:23	judge's 140:12
36:15 37:19 41:23	individuals 107:19	inquired 40:15	issue 20:17,17 29:8	judgment 110:24 120:13 131:3
41:23,24 42:4 43:6	infer 13:5,8	insane 53:15	33:12 42:16 76:12	120.13 131.3
43:14,22,22 44:14	inflict 66:2	insanity 65:4	89:11 92:18,25	judicata 127:24
44:23 45:8 46:12	inform 15:5	inside 121:21	94:18 99:22 109:14	128:6,18
46:17,21,23 47:1,5	information 6:20	instruct 146:6	111:16 117:21	judicial 1:1 48:11,12
47:9 49:2,4,8,20	8:10 18:2 47:19	instructing 71:3,5	121:8 130:17 134:5	69:7 72:15 74:5
50:1,5,9,14,17,21	75:1,2 93:17 95:25	intends 14:13	137:6 142:25 143:4	88:10 105:6 106:10
50:22 52:10,15	106:10,22 112:14	intent 13:6,12 21:14	issued 7:9 36:24 38:4	112:18 134:25
53:22 55:5 73:20	114:13 120:23	21:20 77:13 78:7	42:13 119:11 143:4	140:16
73:24 74:1,6 75:20	123:20 125:4 139:6	interest 148:13	issues 99:1 102:7	June 1:12 10:15
75:22 76:20 77:6	informed 4:16 14:6	interested 151:16	issuing 127:18	11:23 21:25 22:2,3
80:5 84:12 88:11	64:17 144:24	interests 24:3 25:1	items 62:7 73:12	24:13,19 34:11
88:18 114:1,7	initial 128:24 132:25	125:2 126:22		63:18 94:24 123:11
119:12 120:14	initially 34:10	127:19 139:5	J	123:24 129:16,23
121:18 122:4,7	initiated 111:11	interim 149:7	J 1:16 2:8 151:5,24	130:4 135:7,25
125:10 126:25	117:17	interns 100:21,22	Jacob 1:5 34:13 35:1	139:15 151:18
129:19 131:1 134:1	initiative 112:8	103:7	36:25 41:23 42:4	jurisdiction 8:20,22
134:5,10 140:18,21	injunction 5:19 8:11	interrupted 24:6	45:22 46:9 47:15	8:25 9:3,5 10:2,17
B				

[2
10:23 11:17 13:1	82:12 84:17 85:7	leeway 39:17	102:14 104:5,6	39:21 71:1 72:1
14:19 16:1,4 30:5	86:14 87:4 88:22	left 5:15 48:16 56:8	102.14 104.5,0	86:10 89:22 132:24
36:15 37:4 49:2		66:10 84:12 120:19		
55:10 82:16 111:9	89:6 90:24 91:3,16		longer 47:9	mean 40:9 56:20 78:10 81:2 90:9
114:7,10 116:1,19	93:4,25 94:4 95:24 106:7 109:15 110:9	122:13 144:6,16	long-term 106:14	117:16 126:10
		lend 32:25	look 10:3 24:9 25:6,7	
117:2 118:13,25	111:22 112:6 120:3	length 101:16	30:16 56:21 116:4	131:21 148:21
123:8 124:7,11	120:5,10 121:4	lengthy 23:16	117:6 121:5 123:19	means 106:7 measures 42:14
125:1,6 128:5 129:16,18,22 130:1	122:15 125:15	letters 74:12	130:17 133:21 143:7	
	126:7,23 127:21	let's 5:3 26:4 33:3,13	looked 52:12 64:23	mediation 86:6 medical 79:25 90:20
130:5 135:8,15	130:16,24 133:3,20	34:25 44:13 56:1	82:14,14,18 121:5	
136:3,15,17 137:25	143:6 144:1,21	87:2,21 91:1 96:6		92:15,20 94:15,19
138:3,4,6,8,8,9	145:5 146:13,21,24	96:23 110:6 116:3	looking 14:14 25:20	meet 51:7 63:6,10
139:1,8,12 140:21	146:25 147:2,16,17	license 101:12	29:5 45:20 73:6,7	89:2
140:24,25 141:8,14	147:20,22 148:18	licensed 6:2 101:10	130:25 143:6	meeting 86:8
142:8,10,12,14,15	149:14,18	101:14,15,22,25	looks 75:25 76:3	Melody 2:3 5:10
142:22 143:1,3,21	knowledge 43:5,9,24	103:22 104:6	121:4 134:6	membership 103:24
144:7,21 145:22	46:7,24 51:17	lied 35:23 48:17	lose 56:18	mental 63:4,7 100:12
148:5	65:14,19 69:23	64:23 67:24	loss 82:13 112:23	102:13 106:15
jurisdictions 91:15	120:16	lies 44:9	120:25	meritless 9:19
127:12 128:19	known 70:9	life 112:16 147:12,15	lost 56:17 82:10	met 16:5 17:11 24:18
J.M 1:8	knows 147:10 150:9	light 114:4 134:13	137:25 138:3	51:5,6 63:9,18
K	L	likelihood 111:19	lot 34:24,24 45:19	81:18 94:23 122:15
	label 93:14	115:23 117:25	54:6 75:11 112:14	Miami 1:15 2:10 8:14
keep 53:12		limited 143:1	147:13	9:2,7 46:15 52:2,13
keeps 65:4,10	laboratories 30:21	line 10:4 37:23	luck 150:17	52:13 72:3 141:20
Kenny 5:24 6:1	lacked 117:2 118:13	Linn 30:10,12	M	Miami-Dade 1:2,14
50:20 78:25	Ladies 128:14	listen 5:25 130:15		12:16 97:6 98:4
kept 121:21,23	Langberg 119:22	147:21,21	main 47:22	102:8 124:22 151:3
kidding 130:12	large 1:17 41:9 151:7	listening 91:1	maintain 111:25	Michael 1:8 2:13
kidnap 138:14	LAUDERDALE 2:5	lit 69:3,19	112:2 113:3	5:14
kidnapped 11:3	law 7:15 9:22 20:24	litem 5:23 6:4 25:2	majority 24:20	Michelle 1:5 2:13 3:2
140:4	21:8,10 22:18	46:14 78:18 127:10	making 79:7 119:25	33:22 34:1,5
Kidnapping 138:14	25:23 64:24 89:16	litigated 77:1,6,9	143:6	middle 41:9
kids 149:16	111:13 113:8	litigating 14:23	malpractice 92:15	midwife 7:2
kind 49:15 54:2	114:25 117:19	106:15	94:16,19	mind 61:11,12,15
66:13 80:19 140:10	139:5 141:6 147:22	litigation 102:9	management 107:20	145:4
149:16	laws 25:5	133:11	manner 30:20	minimum 130:4
kinds 64:19	lawyers 25:3 45:1	little 10:13 29:11,12	March 7:14 66:22	minor 10:18 22:8,19
knock 44:17	126:3	70:11 106:21 112:3	Marcia 1:11	23:11,15 43:15
knocked 45:11	lay 32:15,17 90:5	live 52:13	Mark 6:12,18 50:18	51:21 53:4 122:24
know 4:21 6:8,8,9 8:5	92:11	lived 52:18 66:21,23	50:20,21	123:12 124:21
10:20 11:20,21,25	Leading 42:1 48:20	119:8	Marked 40:2	129:7,14 135:3,5
12:11 13:9,13	learned 45:6	lives 112:7	marks 49:16 58:4,19	136:20 138:15
14:15,25 18:17	leave 30:8 47:20	living 43:21 81:1	master's 101:5,7,8	139:5 143:9,13,19
19:20 20:14 27:24	54:11 55:9 63:1	locate 7:16	material 124:17	minute 74:17
31:11,15,22 32:7	86:12 120:22	location 1:14 51:21	maternal 121:25	minutes 28:5 33:17
44:19 45:1 46:11	125:22 126:19	locked 121:23	matter 91:7 93:8	96:4,8
46:14 47:8 49:15	136:3 144:16	log 29:12	94:20 100:18	misled 135:24
53:3 54:9,10 55:13	leaving 144:9	logged 29:11	126:10 127:21	missed 6:22 93:25
63:25 64:6,7,21	lecture 103:9	long 24:7 37:25 38:8	139:12 143:3 146:3	missing 7:18 45:21
65:9,10,12,24 68:6	lectures 103:4	42:18 51:23 52:20	matters 4:17 110:14	45:23 94:14
77:19 81:12,19,20	led 90:16	101:14,21,25	ma'am 4:8 15:9	misstate 16:18
	l	I	l	l

	I	I	1	
mistake 118:14	motion 4:19 5:24	90:24 128:21 145:5	notification 21:19	149:11
mitigation 102:9	11:15,22 12:2,10,17	146:5	notified 21:15 120:22	occasions 51:20
Mm-hmm 67:5 82:9	25:22 27:19 28:8	needing 31:8	notify 45:1 120:20,21	119:9
83:23	30:7 89:3,10,12	needs 18:9 23:21	144:9,10	occupation 100:8
modification 44:21	96:7,11,19 110:4,22	87:16 126:22	Nova 101:4,6,9	occur 40:17
modified 88:20	110:23,24 111:15	130:18	November 47:7	occurred 21:25 22:2
modify 137:16	117:21 122:20,21	neglect 14:9 48:2	66:22 85:24 133:17	79:23 85:20 93:5
mom 16:5 119:5	122:23 123:10,12	neighbor 118:15	134:4	occurring 67:6
126:24 137:6,9	123:21,24 124:7,14	neither 19:7,21	no-contact 37:19	111:20 118:1
143:2 147:1 149:25	125:3,24 126:4,13	124:10	42:13,16 54:15	October 35:2 38:4
moment 23:25 68:20	126:18 129:1,2,4,8	neuropsychological	122:3	42:17
Mommy 54:11 59:12	130:18,22,23 132:3	102:6 107:12	number 5:2 107:17	offender 118:6
mom's 13:21	133:5 135:2 137:1	never 19:4 22:3	107:21 131:20	offer 41:13
Monday 45:18	137:4,4 138:9,21	24:18 25:1 51:9	numbers 39:24	offered 103:16,17
Monica 13:5	139:21,22 143:5,7	64:17,21,24 80:21		104:15
Monis 100:11	143:10,14,22	90:15 98:18,20	0	office 7:22 16:23
month 81:24 82:2	144:22 145:1,10,15	104:16 109:18	object 16:15 124:12	102:9 107:16
months 7:19,20,23	149:1	110:12 122:13	130:10 141:10,24	officer 68:24 89:20
31:13 35:3 51:12	motions 7:12,13	125:14,17,25 126:7	objecting 76:15 90:6	111:13 117:19
51:13,25 52:21	126:2 128:24,24,25	127:4 134:7,8	objection 13:7 26:23	150:13
55:14 57:21 66:11	130:20 134:18,21	145:4	35:15 37:12 39:9	officers 7:16 9:22
86:10 102:16	134:23 135:1	new 6:24 31:20 145:4	39:14 40:8,22,24	68:22
112:21	148:22	nicks 35:4	41:15,17 42:1,6,8	offices 30:21
morning 14:6 16:19	motive 53:16	night 16:16	43:11 48:6,8,20	oh 5:3 29:9 45:19
119:18	move 12:1 18:11	nightmare 55:7	49:25 50:5 58:1,10	56:15 58:12 69:13
mother 4:18 5:11,18	85:23 87:12 98:11	nine 64:19	58:14 59:4,13 60:4	73:5 74:22 77:19
5:20,21 6:14,21 7:9	moved 21:18 47:12	Nodding 62:6 71:7	60:13,14 61:10,17 63:11 66:3,14	77:21,25 102:21
7:24 8:9,13,18,19	47:13 86:6,16 88:5	noise 63:2	67:17 68:19,21	110:23 131:21
8:24 9:9,18,21,23	118:22 143:8	noises 11:8	69:4,22 70:18	150:6
9:25 10:6 11:2,4,9 11:18 12:15 13:2	moving 24:11 29:18 mrf@mfortunatola	nonstop 36:5 normal 80:24 81:5	71:10,10,16,21 72:5	okay 4:7,15,23 16:14 23:9 28:25 40:2
13:11 14:22 17:2	2:5	normally 14:1 28:1	72:10,13,14 73:11	58:15 59:16 68:9
21:24 24:15,19	Multiple 65:18	32:18	73:13 76:10 77:22	69:13 71:6 73:3
26:17 28:18,19	M.D 30:10	Northwest 1:14	78:1,8,9 82:21	74:23 87:6,8 100:3
30:6,8 31:10 87:22	WI.D 30.10	nose 40:15	101:18 102:19	101:23 115:7
88:14 89:8 90:14	N	Notary 1:17 151:6	103:13 104:8,13	130:14 133:1 150:4
91:1,13,20 93:3,12	N 2:1 3:1	noted 130:25	105:4,8 149:9	150:12,15
93:24,25 113:11,13	name 15:18 33:25	notice 13:6,12 14:13	obligations 16:5	old 35:3 51:13 80:10
113:16,19 114:14	34:4 50:25 63:14	16:17 21:14 29:7	observe 55:24 56:16	80:13,19 81:1
114:18 117:13	70:12,16 98:7	44:23 48:11,12	62:21	84:24
119:13 125:11,12	99:20 100:6 133:13	53:23 54:1 56:14	obtain 43:20,22,23	once 31:11 64:17
125:21,22 128:7	140:6	56:23 67:16 69:7	46:5 51:8 53:21	126:16 137:5
135:19 136:16,24	named 71:15	72:16 74:5 77:12	130:19	ones 85:9,10
137:1 139:9,11	Nancy 49:23	78:7,10,11 88:10	obtained 6:24 8:13	ongoing 7:5 14:21
140:3,17 142:2,9,12	nature 17:4 23:7 28:1	105:6 106:10	43:25 45:7 53:18	34:19
142:13 143:16,17	85:16 93:20 105:25	112:19 123:20	141:5 144:3	open 14:7
143:20,23 145:14	near 72:9	125:19 126:13	obtaining 7:3 8:12	opening 4:6,24
145:21 146:15	necessary 18:9 29:19	127:17 131:9,12,16	144:6	operation 139:4
147:11 148:25	118:19	140:16 141:23	obviously 28:4 41:21	opine 23:8
mother's 13:5 87:20	need 13:16 15:3 18:7	noticed 30:7 62:25	88:18 122:7 125:2	opinion 25:9 26:11
112:22 117:3	20:14 28:3 33:15	115:18 123:4,7,14	126:10 127:22	30:22 31:3,5 92:18
131:19 141:3 145:9	70:14 78:3 88:19	123:23	130:16 134:23	140:12
	l	l		l

		I	1	-
opinions 27:2 30:19	144:2,7,12,20	paramour 117:4	64:24 90:1 111:14	121:2
95:16,20	145:11,15,16 146:4	118:13,25	117:20	physical 54:22 55:1
opportunity 13:17,23	146:14,14 149:2,6	parent 100:25 107:23	personally 33:7	57:20 67:1 81:11
15:11 33:6,11	149:24,25	118:15	pertaining 110:15	81:12,13
47:14 90:7 96:1	ordered 5:20,21 7:16	Parental 138:14,25	petition 10:3,15,18	physically 83:9
99:9 112:11 122:6	8:18 20:4 32:14	parenting 107:18	12:10 16:7 24:12	physicians 23:14
130:9 141:10,23,24	43:6 46:6 86:3,11	parents 27:14 107:17	24:14 29:18,20,23	PI 52:16
144:15	107:18 122:12	130:3,7	31:9,14 32:24 33:4	pick 31:23 56:1
opposing 16:16 17:10	135:18 136:23	part 26:6 27:6,7 30:2	37:15,18 66:6	122:23 123:12
17:11,14,20 20:18	144:13,14 148:12	30:23 31:5 50:8	73:23 87:21 88:2	135:3 138:15
23:6 24:22 29:1,16	orders 6:7 8:1,2 13:2	54:15,15 69:2,5,6,8	89:2,9 91:6,7 93:11	145:11
92:8 94:23 99:25	15:23 28:1 36:4	70:4 82:18 86:7	93:21 94:8,15,20	picked 8:7 31:11,17
124:11 129:25	42:7,8 44:4,6 48:8	92:19 108:2 111:12	96:12 98:25 115:4	34:14 40:19 44:15
140:18	72:1,8,17 73:24	117:17 118:3	115:7,11,13,15,18	54:22 55:23 56:3,4
option 42:22	120:13 125:1,6	143:10 145:10,20	116:9,14 117:3,10	56:8,13 60:8 62:22
oral 111:5	126:16 129:3,19	parte 8:3,12 118:10	117:12,14,16 118:4	62:24 81:17 82:11
order 7:15 8:3,12,13	130:1,6,8,10,11	participate 86:11	118:5,8 119:2,3	93:23 136:22,24
8:18 9:8,9,10 15:24	131:6 135:24 136:6	144:15	121:10,13,14 122:7	picking 142:12
16:3 17:16 19:10	136:19 142:11,16	participated 85:19	122:18,19 128:7,22	pickup 8:13 9:10
19:18,20 20:1,2,5	142:23,23 143:16	particular 31:1	128:23 131:17,21	36:4 43:25 44:4,6
20:21 21:1 24:23	143:17,20 144:17	parties 9:4 144:14	132:1,2 133:4	53:21 60:3 82:15
25:23 27:16 36:12	146:4,5 148:14	151:13,14	134:16,17,21	83:21 84:4,9 85:14
36:16,20,21,25 37:2	ore 138:20 143:5	party 30:22 124:10	petitioner 1:6 2:6	114:18 122:22
37:19 38:5 42:13	149:1	141:23	10:4,6 11:1 118:3	123:2 124:6,8
42:16 43:10,12,14	original 139:13	patient 95:19	119:8 121:20,25	128:25 129:1,12
43:18,19,20,25 44:1	outcome 4:21	Patricia 1:16 151:5	129:6,14 135:4	132:4 133:23
45:15 46:1,19	outrageous 64:20	151:24	143:9,13	134:22 135:7,17,18
53:21 54:15,17,19	outside 22:18 63:2	pattern 9:1	petitioner's 3:13,14	136:12,14,21,23
54:19 69:2 72:2,12	96:8	pediatrician 35:7	3:15,16,17,18 15:7	137:16,20,21
72:19,20,21 73:5	out-of-state 138:11	86:19,25	39:22 40:3,25 41:1	138:10 139:10,24
85:20 93:14 114:18	overall 24:8	pending 12:9,25	41:18 58:16 73:16	142:6,18,21,23
119:11,11,14,14	overrule 42:10	28:10,12 74:9 78:4	73:17 105:15,17,18	143:4,24
120:11 121:9,10,18	103:19 104:20	119:3,17 128:8	121:3	picture 38:17,20 82:3
122:4,9,22,23 123:2	overruled 66:7	people 26:5,6 27:7,8 64:25	petitioner/mother	82:6,24
123:12 124:3,6,8,9 124:12,15,20,22	oversee 100:23 108:24	percent 12:5	11:10,12 petitions 4:7 12:22	pictures 40:12 57:5 75:19,23,25 76:6,9
124.12,13,20,22	overseeing 103:7	perform 108:9	15:6,8 18:22 19:2	79:18 80:9 81:21
127:18 128:8,9,9,18	oversees 110:10	period 11:5 26:17	21:22,25 22:1,5	81:23 82:1 113:10
128:25 129:1,3,4,9	overt 111:20 115:24	56:10 77:3 85:25	33:7 44:20 138:5	place 42:14,18 46:1
129:12,13,15,23,23	118:1	135:11	phone 29:12 55:8	122:14
130:4,19 132:4	O/B/O 1:5 39:25	permanent 5:19 33:9	57:12 83:16 84:23	placed 137:18 145:18
133:17,23 134:22	O/D/O 1.3 37.23	109:16 118:20	84:23,24 85:4,6,10	placing 39:23 145:13
133:17,23 134:22	Р	permissible 26:2	phonetic 15:14	plan 5:22
135:17,18,21,21	P 2:1,1	permission 17:17	119:22	pleading 70:24,25
136:1,12,14,17,21	page 3:12 25:21	19:6,8 30:1,9 55:8	photo 57:11 121:1,7	115:25
136:23 137:16,20	119:7	140:19	photograph 38:22	pleadings 9:19 12:25
137:20,21 138:10	pages 24:7 151:9	permitted 25:9,21	58:8	13:19 14:22 15:23
138:15 139:10,14	Palm 7:3 71:20,25	26:12,13 27:9,10	photographs 37:5,8	110:24 112:19
139:24 140:6 141:4	paragraph 10:24	32:10	37:13 38:24 39:11	145:7
141:18,19,25 142:6	paragraphs 10:20	person 16:22 17:19	40:6 41:4 62:5	please 4:3 5:9 33:14
142:18,21,21 143:4	parameters 18:8	25:14 26:10 32:18	photos 37:10 39:10	33:18 34:20 57:8
143:9,12,14,24	42:20	45:21,24 54:4	57:4,8,15 73:7,11	57:10 70:14 72:2
L	-	-	-	-

	I		1	1
73:1,10 94:7 98:7	117:22	47:21 120:20	psychopharmacolo	ran 40:16
100:6 106:16	Prevention 138:25	protected 118:7	101:8	reach 16:1 24:23
128:21 132:15	previous 23:14 94:11	protection 8:4,18 9:9	PsyD 16:21 17:25	30:19
141:17,20 146:19	134:22 145:12	10:24 34:7 36:13	Public 1:17 102:8	read 6:6 33:5 68:17
plenary 38:5	previously 10:12	36:20,22 37:3	151:6	69:11 89:19 106:16
PO 120:23	25:7 46:5 60:15	53:19 88:19 112:2	publications 103:11	116:13 130:20
point 15:2 31:6 32:9	106:24 113:17	112:5 115:19 119:4	publish 76:14	132:7,15,17 143:11
81:21	144:12	120:11 121:8,10	published 103:11,15	readdress 33:12
police 7:21 11:5 36:3	primarily 47:21	122:25 123:13	103:21	148:2
45:11 48:17 59:9	printed 16:19	protective 111:11	pull 28:5,16,21 37:10	reading 69:5,11
62:3 68:13,23 69:5	prior 19:24 22:2,25	117:16 119:20	92:5 115:9	95:22
69:10,15,16,23	25:24 29:4 37:11	prove 31:16 85:5,8,9	purportedly 8:9	ready 4:3,25 16:8
70:20 85:14	51:14 54:19 57:21	provide 25:10 47:18	purpose 30:18	29:15
posing 121:2	93:4 124:25 135:24	72:2 143:18 144:8	107:10 140:11	real 82:19
position 100:16	private 17:12 52:19	provided 52:4,9,13	purposes 22:7 36:15	really 15:3 88:24
102:14	86:21 102:8	52:23,24 53:6	pursuant 25:22	109:14 147:16
positions 102:3	privately 6:15	55:15 75:1,7	105:12 111:12	realm 113:11
possession 7:17	privilege 71:11,17,22	108:13 116:14	112:1 113:4,8	reason 30:5 47:13
135:6 140:23	probably 67:13 96:3	provides 111:10	117:17 119:14	53:12 54:13 90:20
possibility 113:12	109:4	providing 6:20	pursue 42:24 54:20	113:15 124:5
possible 118:9	probative 93:18	provision 139:20	pursuing 123:2	reasonable 11:15
possibly 29:24 112:9	problem 25:14	140:25	put 40:12 42:14	111:15,17,19
postdoctoral 100:22	127:13 137:5	psych 46:5 54:20	87:19 93:3 95:2	113:20 114:3
101:8 102:6 103:8	149:10	psychiatric 89:25	131:1 134:1 140:12	115:20,23 117:21
poster 112:25	problems 64:24	psychiatrist 27:18	147:24	117:23,25
posts 103:3	130:11 146:8	psychiatrists 95:17	P.A 2:3,8 100:11	reasons 29:14,16
potential 111:24	149:22	psychiatry 27:7	p.m 1:13,13 39:15	34:13 47:14
pounds 56:20 81:23	procedural 22:14	psychological 22:20	150:19	recall 80:8
82:2,10,13	procedure 20:12 21:2 21:4 25:23	42:23 43:2 65:22 89:24 101:1 107:13	Q	receive 45:3 89:23 107:18
practice 6:2 102:8 103:10	proceed 4:4,24 15:8	107:23 108:6 109:5	qualifications 90:5	received 3:12 19:25
practicing 101:16	16:9 29:15,17 33:3	112:13 121:24	qualified 97:12,19	104:3 135:17 139:7
practitioners 108:23	99:4 110:3,4	122:6	98:17 105:13	142:19
prayed 11:4 31:11,12	proceeding 115:2	psychologist 6:14,16	qualify 107:1	recess 33:17 96:6,8,9
31:23	133:11,13,14	6:18 16:25 17:3,12	question 35:16,18	recognition 138:13
praying 149:14	proceedings 4:1	17:16,25 19:8	42:7 43:12 48:7	138:25
predoctoral 103:7	151:8,10	21:17,20 26:5 27:5	71:6 75:18,18	recognized 106:23
pregnancy 66:10	process 6:13 50:23	27:18 28:19 29:3	76:17 78:4 85:18	recommendation
premises 139:10	55:12 141:24 144:2	33:13 43:21 51:8	89:7 92:21 98:16	49:24
prepared 108:6	144:19 148:9	63:6,9,11 65:10	99:10 101:19	recommendations
present 2:13 5:11,14	profession 95:23	86:8,8 95:12 96:17	104:14 108:18	6:9 14:11 23:20
15:6 29:3 30:22	professional 31:3	98:6 100:9,15,17	143:1	24:1 93:20 95:21
48:25 64:15 83:9	103:24 151:5	102:1 103:18 104:6	questions 68:8 98:17	119:25
88:16 100:13	proffer 23:9	105:23	108:15	recommended 86:19
presented 45:15	proffered 32:5 89:8	psychologists 22:7	quick 96:7	reconsideration
89:13 91:25 113:10	proffering 94:22	23:14 25:6 95:9,17	quickly 28:2	129:25
113:22,25 114:9	prohibit 143:20	psychology 27:6 97:9	quite 106:17	reconsidered 136:7
122:9 138:12	prohibited 145:21	97:9,11 100:22,22	R	139:15
139:25	proper 31:4	101:4,6,13 102:25		record 5:7 21:12
prevail 11:14	properly 92:21	103:5,7,12,15	R 2:1	26:21 29:3 90:4
prevent 22:20,21	proposing 87:17	105:14,21 106:23	raise 33:18 99:12	120:24 138:19
42:21 111:16 117:3	protect 41:24 42:4	108:17	raised 53:11 68:7	151:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

redirect 87:6	05.17 19	146:14	114.10 120.6 14 20	mial 64.14 07.16 17
refer 107:21 133:15	95:17,18 relying 26:9 89:11,20	required 18:3 27:21	114:19 129:6,14,20 135:5 143:9,13,18	risk 64:14 97:16,17 97:20 98:22 99:2
referred 86:24	remedies 118:7	48:18 129:6	returned 8:8 35:3,8	97:20 98:22 99:2 102:12 127:3
108:19 123:9	remember 44:8	requires 134:25	37:5 40:14 44:11	Rivas 27:10 49:23
referring 133:7	49:24 80:21 81:2	requiring 129:14	53:13,14 55:6 65:5	51:10 86:18 91:13
refers 107:16	91:2	135:4 136:21 143:9	75:14 79:5 136:13	role 51:10
reflected 58:8	remorse 64:21 65:11	143:12,17	136:14,22 145:17	room 63:1
regard 23:20 25:5	67:23	res 127:24 128:6,18	returns 64:16	Rorschach 26:9
43:15 45:3 48:4	remove 119:13	researching 16:24	reunification 5:22	RPR 1:16 151:24
59:21 68:3 113:2	removed 8:17 124:21	29:2	6:13 43:18 50:23	ruined 147:12,15
regarding 16:1	render 31:5 92:11	reserve 144:7 145:25	51:5 85:19,25	rule 19:23 20:23 21:5
121:23 148:4	114:9 120:2	reserved 9:2 125:5	86:11 122:12	21:5,10 22:13,14,15
regards 16:5 20:9	renewing 110:21,23	129:16	144:14 148:9	22:24 125:2 130:15
22:6 63:20 64:20	repeat 54:2 79:21	reserves 124:25	reunify 23:21	ruled 125:11
74:25 75:8 79:7,8	80:17 84:7 98:7	135:7	reunited 53:23	rules 18:8 19:12,23
79:13,17 80:9	132:19	reside 46:16,21,23	reuniting 11:1	20:7,12,24,25 21:2
83:21 84:3,8 97:20	repeated 55:12	47:6	reveals 106:2	21:8 22:7,11,15,18
109:23 120:25	repercussions 65:6	resided 52:7	reversed 56:5 119:1	25:5,23 91:17
124:19 125:6 130:2	rephrase 35:18	residence 52:22	review 63:6 124:17	105:12
136:18	report 7:19,19 14:2,2	121:21	126:24 127:2	ruling 110:16,18
register 139:17	24:7,18 45:21,24	resident 102:6	reviewed 6:7,7	126:8 139:14 147:3
Registered 151:5	50:6,8,11 55:1 64:3	residential 52:23	revoke 149:11	Ryan 70:12,17 71:9
regular 90:1,2 116:22	68:13,17 69:5,10,16	residents 100:23	re-filing 145:2	71:15,19
129:22	69:16 92:18 120:2	103:8	ribs 56:20 57:23	résumé 106:11
reheard 139:15	151:8	residing 47:5	Ridgley 2:3 5:10	
rehearing 129:24	reported 18:1 35:20	respect 143:15	ridiculous 127:19	S
136:7	35:21 62:3	respectfully 114:12	right 8:5,9 13:10	S 2:1 3:11
reiterate 10:20 15:15	reporter 1:16,16 3:8	114:17 143:15	15:10 17:11 19:1	safety 10:8 31:17
related 17:5 19:5	21:12 95:19 151:1	respond 17:6,6 71:5	19:14 28:12 30:25	33:8,8 34:16,18
23:12 96:11 131:1	151:6,25	104:21 113:6	33:18 38:23 39:20	42:14 53:17 61:19
131:9,12,16 132:19	reports 64:1 69:24	respondent 1:9 2:11	50:15 52:2,4,10	74:11 113:21,21
133:7	79:25 89:23 95:16	5:14 10:7,9 11:11	57:1,1 58:7 59:9	114:3
relates 37:14	representations	121:16 129:15	60:18 63:19 67:4	sanction 30:5,6
relating 20:11	135:22,23	135:5	67:16 70:24 73:20	sanctioned 9:15 16:8
	represented 76:19,20		83:17 87:19 90:23	121:12 140:17
relative 5:17 104:14	76:23 77:1,6,9	83:3,5 123:11	91:8 92:23,24	sanctioning 9:17
151:12,14	125:23 127:9	response 88:7	96:13 98:23 99:7	sanctions 9:18
relevance 37:12	representing 13:11	responsible 111:14	99:12 106:5 110:21	113:19 123:1 146:1
39:15 40:23 41:16	79:13	117:20	111:7,8,22 115:10	saw 18:17 22:3 75:22
66:3,14 77:22 78:2	request 5:24 9:8	restrain 10:9 11:11	115:17 116:10,17	81:12,16,19
78:9 87:18 103:13	88:23 111:13	restraining 36:16	116:21 117:15	saying 11:2 12:3,11
relevant 22:4 29:24	114:12,17 117:18	46:1	120:15 123:1,25	12:18 25:18 26:23
37:13 61:13 66:7	137:22 138:12	restricts 72:2	125:5,8 126:6	27:13 37:22 69:6
66:17 95:6,7	139:21,22 145:11	result 7:19 124:5	129:10 130:19	90:23 92:24 95:23
103:15	145:25 146:14	135:6	131:23 132:1	126:6,15 132:3,12
relied 24:23 94:10	requested 5:18 7:10	resulted 112:7	133:25 134:2,8	134:20 135:14
137:3	8:25 51:20 53:3	results 108:13	135:25 136:4	136:17 142:3
relief 143:16,20	requesting 10:9	retain 9:5 10:16	138:20 142:18	says 10:4 11:1 22:24
145:22,24	11:12 145:21	114:10	143:25 145:3,23	23:4 25:20 26:10 27:16 29:5 30:17
relies 92:17	requests 140:1	retained 8:21 9:3	147:2,23 149:6,25	
relocation 7:11	require 29:21 48:23	retardation 106:15	rights 137:3	31:10 32:10 39:15
rely 25:10 26:7 31:2	114:18 145:16	return 8:19 65:7 67:9	ripped 112:22	50:6 58:25 89:9
				l

	I	1	1	1
94:8 116:3,25	series 103:10	son 11:3 31:22 34:13	standing 69:25 70:1	street 1:14 2:4,9
117:15 120:15	served 44:20	35:4 38:15 44:24	stands 89:21	146:6
131:2 132:7,14	services 7:2 18:3	45:12 55:24 56:14	start 34:22,25 44:2	strike 12:1 18:11
133:18 134:1,2,7	32:4 64:1,9 107:18	57:2 58:21 63:10	started 4:9 16:23	21:19 58:2 70:4
136:1,15 139:18	111:24	64:3,14,18 67:10	35:1,11 69:3,20	98:11
141:6 142:10,18	sessions 51:11	68:15 69:17 71:9	96:4,19	strong 9:17
147:8	set 11:24 12:23 41:4	71:19,24 75:25	starving 57:24 121:6	struck 35:12 64:18
scared 11:8 149:17	118:8 123:19,21,22	76:6 78:18 79:8,13	state 1:17 6:22 7:5	subject 5:24 31:15,21
school 45:13 51:15	123:24 124:2,14	79:19,20,22,24 80:9	8:4 15:14 27:11	32:22 91:6 93:10
68:6 71:14 121:16	125:3,24 126:13	80:12,19 81:3,6,23	33:25 36:15 37:2	93:13,21 94:2,20,22
121:22	setting 106:13 118:5	82:6 83:22 84:4,9	43:21 47:4,9 49:4	100:18 126:10
seat 59:11,12 60:2	seven 62:13,14 66:11	86:16 91:7 92:25	51:18 52:18 61:11	133:12
seated 4:3	seventh 62:17,18	93:22	61:12,15 99:20	subjected 47:24
Seattle 7:20	seven-year-old 117:5	son's 70:16 85:14	100:6 101:10	subpoena 16:17,20
second 10:24 36:19	severely 76:4	sorry 16:18 44:3 49:7	111:14 117:19	substance 101:1
39:1 40:6,9,18	sex 106:14	50:16 51:12 64:21	120:12 127:8	107:19,22
56:13 86:20 111:1	sexual 132:19	74:18 78:5 79:21	133:12 135:12	successful 67:15
118:3 128:21	shaky 10:14	84:7 99:24 114:24	141:18 144:16	suicide 65:15 67:9
129:10	shaved 38:21	147:14	148:14 151:3,6	SUITE 2:4,9
section 30:19,21	sheet 123:20	sought 41:24 118:8	stated 9:4 13:20,21	summarizing 119:6
119:5 139:3	sheriffs 136:19	143:16	29:16 61:2 82:12	summary 108:25
see 9:12 17:3,8 19:6	Sheriff's 7:22	SOUTHEAST 2:4	95:15 119:17	superior 132:20
30:17 38:11,25	shirt 82:4	Southeastern 101:5,6	statement 30:24 60:3	supervise 100:21
49:20 50:25 54:13	Shorthand 1:16	101:9	68:21,23 69:1,24	supervised 36:24
56:20,25 57:5,23	151:25	speak 6:3 13:21,24	statements 25:11	42:24 48:18,25
58:19 60:9 69:20	show 31:12 32:16	14:1,4,5 15:17,22	26:7 27:17 30:23	54:21 61:21 108:22
70:14 81:17 87:18	38:10 41:4,10	21:11 25:6 26:24	59:20,22 60:21	109:3 149:2,11
89:19 92:6 96:15	104:24 114:25	55:16 64:11 90:7	89:11,25 92:17	supervisor 13:25
99:10 112:3 128:22	showed 45:16	90:19 128:2 140:19	93:1,2,15 95:19	108:23
128:22 133:21	showing 38:11 78:11	141:16,17	111:23	supplemented 6:1
143:7 148:25 149:3	side 22:11 134:17	speaking 26:20	states 10:5,6 114:15	supposed 4:20 11:23
149:17 150:1	141:9	special 123:19,23	122:5 128:19	85:25 94:25 141:6
seeing 9:1 87:22	significant 23:16,22	specialist 102:10	status 4:17 13:9	sure 4:9 15:15 32:9
seek 17:21 145:24	23:23 88:9,13	specific 9:22 93:22	14:17 65:24 130:24	47:10 52:11 81:5
seeking 10:1 11:10	127:2	102:17 105:23	statute 28:13 66:17	106:21,22,24 134:6
11:24 78:15 118:4	signs 12:17 14:9	118:6	114:23 115:14,15	148:21
143:20 145:21	sitting 19:20 59:10	specifically 12:14	116:2 120:4	sustain 35:17 40:10
seen 11:20 13:13	59:10	75:15 139:3	statutes 20:9,9 22:6	60:14 61:17 70:3
15:23,23 17:16	situation 14:15 148:1	specifics 103:14	30:18 90:13 91:16	77:23 101:23
18:13,18 21:17,21	148:18 situations 147:24,25	spoke 4:11,14 14:6 32:4 36:23 81:9	113:9 139:4	sustained 42:2 48:21
54:5,7,8,9 77:15 78:12 121:1		119:18	statutory 22:15 stay 12:13,15	58:2 59:5 60:5
self-help 147:18	six 53:14 54:5,7,8,9 54:13 75:14	spoken 14:18 25:1	staying 148:8	67:18 78:1,9 102:22 104:9
Self-serving 76:10	skinny 31:25 35:6	78:24 79:2 91:10	Stenograph 1:16	swear 33:19 99:14
send 14:1	57:22	94:10,11 95:8	151:25	swear 33:19 99:14 sworn 33:23 98:9,10
sends 36:3	sleeping 35:6 54:3	spoof 85:4,5 88:14	stenographically	99:11,13,18 137:2
senior 15:21 100:14	slept 93:24	stack 37:9	151:8	system 35:24 100:23
sense 54:2,3	slow 29:12	staff 100:14	stepfather 70:8	108:3
sense 54.2,5 sent 16:17 35:23	sidw 29.12 smiling 121:3	stalked 61:22	stepped 87:11	100.5
separate 114:22	societies 103:25	stalking 132:20	stint 51:25	T
115:1,1 123:20	somebody 24:18	stand 33:14 72:17	stop 26:20	T 3:11
separated 67:4,8	someplace 71:20	standard 112:4	story 45:17	table 73:3,5 87:9
P P				, í
	1	1	1	1

	1	1	1	1
take 12:18 13:16	tendered 90:4 91:5	theory 27:5	three-month 11:5	tons 56:17
15:1,11 33:14	99:8	therapist 6:11 18:12	Thursday 4:12 14:10	total 120:3
38:17 48:11 50:17	tendering 105:13,20	18:16 49:20,22	time 1:13 9:12 10:3	Totally 56:22
52:20 55:10 57:15	106:25	50:22 94:11	10:18 14:16 17:10	Tracking 62:2
67:13 68:3 105:5	tenus 138:20 143:5	therapy 85:20 86:11	18:14 32:6 35:8,9	training 100:14
106:10 116:1	149:1	100:20 107:19	35:10,22 37:3	103:6 107:19
120:15 121:18	test 26:6,9,9 27:8	122:12	40:14 41:11,11	transcribe 26:22
122:10 124:10	106:15	thick 131:7	45:6,23 46:6,17,21	transcript 69:12
126:11,15 136:20	tested 18:1	thin 121:4	46:23 47:4,8 49:3	88:12 151:9
141:7 142:8 146:7	testified 6:19 33:24	thing 6:17 34:19 99:2	51:12,17 52:21	transcripts 6:6 50:12
146:16,19 147:18	55:8 72:8 73:12	108:4 109:20	54:24 55:2,3,25	50:13,14 69:8
148:14 149:8 150:6	88:9 99:19 104:11	132:12 134:16,25	56:1,7,10,13 57:24	88:11
taken 21:16 34:14	104:19,22 105:24	140:17 147:19	59:18,24,25 60:20	transfer 7:11,16
44:13 45:12 51:15	109:21 112:17	things 20:3 28:1,2	61:3 62:9 64:15	transferred 36:14,19
69:7 72:15 74:5	113:13,16 120:18	34:15 54:6 61:24	66:4,5,8,8,9,15,18	37:4 134:4
81:21 88:10 90:15	testifies 33:12	64:20 65:8 66:13	66:20,23 67:14	transition 24:1
96:9 111:3 112:18	testify 6:15 17:19,24	67:3 93:4 105:25	77:3 83:8,13 84:4,9	144:13
140:4,16 148:7	18:5,6,9 19:4,13	106:11 108:25	86:5 87:12 88:16	transitional 139:20
takes 112:8	20:19 22:19,22	147:23 149:20	88:22 90:19 94:7	transpired 15:25
takings 119:10	24:20 25:16,22	think 6:17 11:14 15:3	96:14 101:16	trauma 23:12,24
talk 26:13 27:10 75:5	26:1 27:1,5 28:6	17:14 18:21 26:8	110:14 111:10	traumatized 146:18
84:14 106:13 140:9	32:1,7,21 33:6,11	29:13 65:13 69:2	116:15 117:16	147:6
talked 11:21 64:8	59:14 70:23 76:15	74:16 76:3 78:11	118:9,11 119:2	Trawick 28:24 96:2
78:17 93:18 127:5	87:16,22 89:9	95:15 102:21 120:7	123:7 127:15	Treasure 102:10
talking 39:4 59:25	90:11,14 91:18	121:13 122:10	131:14 133:24	treat 19:6
61:5,7 63:12,17	93:6 106:3	131:18 133:15	139:21 145:6,23	treated 23:15 27:11
64:18 66:15 68:12	testifying 20:15	138:18 144:18	148:21	treatment 65:22
112:21 131:24	25:15 50:6 90:6	147:17 148:23,24	timeline 5:17 8:6	90:20 102:11
talks 132:17	testimony 3:2,5 5:25	149:16	13:18,19	107:20,21 108:25
tangent 32:9	6:8 9:20 15:12	third 30:15 41:6	times 43:4,6,24 44:1	trial 16:20 19:10,18
tapes 74:12	17:13 22:4 23:7	58:12,12	44:3 51:6 65:17	19:20 20:2,3 21:1
taught 102:24 103:1	25:24 29:22 31:8	Thomas 5:23 38:3	84:14 104:11,18,22	27:25 99:5 117:2
103:4	31:16,21 32:1,20,23	50:20 78:25	107:5 137:11	128:10,12
Team 119:20	32:25 33:2,4,13,19	thorough 112:15	time-sharing 49:3	tried 4:12 12:12
technically 26:11	37:23 63:19 79:25	Thorp 15:18,24	61:22	15:17 114:5 140:5
teeth 61:5	82:17 88:21,24	127:1	title 100:13	trouble 96:4
telephone 5:25 55:15	89:13 91:19 92:18	thought 10:17 32:5	today 4:20 14:4	true 18:15 27:13
55:18	93:11,21 94:3,22	70:7,12 74:22	65:24 76:1 85:2,4	91:11 111:3 119:15
tell 5:8 34:20,22 35:10,11 45:14	96:1 99:14 106:1	116:23 147:18	106:25 114:9	119:17 120:4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	112:15 114:13 120:17 122:2 127:4	149:13 threat 88:25	118:18 120:17	125:20 148:10 151:9
49:16 55:20 59:2,7	120:17 122:2 127:4	threaten 36:2	123:4,17,21,22 126:18 134:14	truly 12:23
60:24,24 65:8 86:15 94:12 119:21	144:10 148:10	threatened 35:23,24	143:6 145:7	truth 33:20 93:8
119:22 146:23	testing 23:12 105:23	67:8	told 12:3,7,12,15	99:15
148:15	105:24	threatens 35:25	14:17 15:2,16,20	truthful 121:13
telling 64:19	tests 27:1 91:3	threats 67:10,12 68:3	34:15 40:16 45:12	try 6:17 46:12
tells 28:5	108:11 112:12	three 6:22 28:11,12	45:20 54:5 58:25	trying 13:23 26:8
temporarily 118:17	thank 4:23 5:6,12,16	31:13 37:16 44:3	59:3,8 64:18 68:18	65:1 93:13 99:25
temporary 8:3,11	16:10 54:25 84:1	51:6,25 52:21	75:2,13 77:21,25	turn 16:11
118:10 122:24	87:10 89:5 110:18	55:14 57:21 112:21	82:17 90:15 91:20	Twenty 107:8
123:13	113:6 146:2,10	114:15 127:12	120:9 126:1	twice 43:7 44:3 51:6
ten 82:3	150:17	137:11	tomorrow 4:20	114:5 137:5
	100.17	1.57,111		111.0 107.0
	1		1	1

			, 	
utilizing 22:7	62:13,13,13,14,17	wasn't 10:14 13:3	witness 16:13,16	
usual 18:7	41:6 49:8 54:16	141:20	128:7 144:22	X 3:1,11
uses 92:16	visit 35:2 40:6,9,19	140:5,16,20 141:1	73:23 125:24 126:3	X
107:22 140:11	142:20	133:10,18 136:11	withdrew 12:22	
91:23 101:1 107:19	134:5 135:16 142:6	131:3 132:7,21	withdraws 12:9	wrote 16:25 64:3
use 27:10 42:20	129:11 132:18,20	121:9,11 127:1	145:1,3	wrongfully 135:6
upper 57:1 58:7	122:25 124:9	119:13 120:12	77:20,25 126:2	wrong 48:4 112:6
updates 108:25	116:7 119:5 122:22	85:23 86:6,12,16	withdrawn 4:19 73:2	written 13:19
unlicensed 108:23	113:23 115:14,19	76:21,23 77:1,13	77:13 78:7,15	write 14:2 146:4
101:9 103:3	109:3,4,21,23 112:4	56:5 68:15 71:13	withdraw 13:6,12	129:19 140:22
university 101:5,6,7	107:20 108:21	47:12,13 55:6,7,9	wite 129.17 wish 15:7	writ 12:23 55:11
United 128:19	98:25 106:12	15:14 23:15 44:15	wife 129:17	worldn't 67:9
98:21	94:15,20 96:12	9:8 10:22 13:4,11	Whiteshawl 15:14	worth 39:18
unified 1:3 28:9 98:1	88:10,13 89:3	8:4,12,15,17,24,25	86:15	works 141:12 worried 10:13
147:25	74:8 81:7 87:13	Washington 7:5,21	whereabouts 53:3	works 141:12
unfortunately 112:7	34:7 53:19 66:16	warrant 7:9 45:16	93:18	working 148:8
unfortunate 148:18	29:6,20 31:9 32:24	146:22	21:7 47:2 88:25	workers 102:7
57:9 76:4	25:8 27:23 28:3,13	39:9 145:24 146:12	whatsoever 14:9,12	102:8,10,12 106:6
underweight 54:24	20:17,25 21:3,0,22 22:1,12,13 23:23	wants 15:8 25:16	We've 31:24	worked 79:15,16
undertook 20:19	20:17,25 21:3,6,22	108:17	115:2,17 117:7,8 135:19 142:3,24	WORK 5:21 7:20 108:24
understands 27:15	19:24 20:7,10,15,16	wanted 17:3 42:24	94:14 98:24 112:21	words 80:13 126:23 work 5:21 7:20
135:9 137:5 147:2 147:3	16:6 18:4 19:11,13	147:1,3,16 148:20 149:21	29:18 32:9 63:17	witnessing 90:25
135:9 137:5 147:2	violence 5:19 10:25	141:18 146:20	27:21 28:9 29:15	29:6 94:25
undergone 43:2 understand 4:17	violated 127:13,16 violation 20:5	130:15 138:19 141:18 146:20	20:5,6,16,17 24:11	19:20,22,24 21:6
undergo 122:6 undergone 43:2	violated 127:13,16	130:15 138:19	we're 9:1,6,7 11:18	witnesses 13:12
	vindictive 10:7	111:9 112:25 113:6	137:13	witnessed 113:13
unattended 48:16	108:20,21	63:20 86:24 106:24	99:12 126:11	110:15,20
139:2 140:12 141:5 141:9 142:10	victim 35:13 147:25 victims 107:21	27:14 28:7,21 29:2 39:11 47:16 54:11	we'll 44:2 96:8,15	109:13,24 110:7,13
133:6,9 134:12	versus 30:12	16:18 18:6 19:12	71:25	108:16,22 109:4,9
131:11 132:12	verify 21:24	want 4:9 15:5,13	West 2:9 7:3 71:20	105:13,14 107:8
128:19 130:13	124:7 128:25 137:2	walking 12:17	weren't 4:13	104:12,17,19,22
127:14,16,20 128:3	verified 12:17 118:4	walk 146:6	96:18	100:1 103:21
-	verdict 88:6	waive 4:24	went 37:1 55:14 56:4	99:3,6,7,8,16,21,23
UCCJEA 126:9	verbally 113:13		well-informed 16:8	98:3,8,12,13,19,20
U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	112:10 130:14	welfare 10:8	96:14,22 97:6,20,25
typewritten 131:6	value 93:18 verbal 84:5,10	wait 15:20 110:6	120:25	92:13 94:23 95:5
types 25:11 67:2	value 93:18	W	81:16 82:13 112:23	90:8,10,10 91:5
113:10 133:13	validated 140:2	98:15 100:4 106:8	weight 56:17,18	87:17,20 88:1 90:5
type 42:20 67:1,6,12	valid 128:9 141:25	voir 3:6,7 96:21 97:1	weeks 14:3 120:2	83:25 87:10,11,15
Tyler 71:9,15,19	vacated 44:7,8,10 123:3	106:17	weekend 46:12	74:21 76:11 78:3,5
two-year-old 81:4	144:23 145:11,16	vitae 104:25 105:16	141:4	71:7 72:22 74:19
two-year 38:4	143:15,22 144:20	75:12,13	week 4:12 13:3,24	57:18 66:9 70:1,7
129:17 131:25	135:2,20 143:7,8,10	54:21 62:23 64:16	way 95:3	49:15 51:2 57:12
91:15 119:9,23	129:9,12 130:18	42:24 49:9 53:14	149:12,18	33:21 34:1 38:12
80:12,19,25 81:7	123:12 124:1 129:4	visits 35:1,8 36:25	68:12 147:6,12,15	31:4 32:23 33:1,14
64:19 75:13 80:10	122:21,23 123:10	visitations 149:2	33:22 34:1,5,6	28:15,17 29:19,21
44:5 48:16 58:11	vacate 8:2 28:9 30:7	54:18 149:24	Watts 1:5 2:13 3:2	25:13 26:15,16,19
39:12 40:12 41:12	v 30:10	41:21 48:3,19 49:1	144:2	22:10,22,25 23:5,8
37:16 38:7 39:11	V	visitation 36:24	70:2 86:22 123:18	21:21,23 22:2,9,10
28:10 34:13 35:11		82:4 86:20 150:13	55:2,12 60:2 68:6	20:11,13,14,21
two 15:6,8 18:25	U.S.C 139:1	62:17,18,19 75:11	15:22 20:4 35:5	17:21 19:3 20:11

				_
Y	2nd 10:15 21:25 22:2	28 139:1	7	
	24:13 34:11	29th 128:15		
yeah 18:24 29:10	20 1:12 56:20 81:23	2)th 120.15	763:18	
39:13 56:15 73:21	82:2,10,13 104:22	3	7th 2:4 8:23 22:3	
76:16 81:2 96:18	107:6 141:11	3 3:15 41:18 111:12	24:19 94:24	
99:4 121:4 123:13	20th 5:18,23 38:5,9	117:17	711 2:4	
133:23 149:18	42:19 43:16 151:18	3rd 1:14	73 3:17	
150:16	2007 66:21,22	3:00 33:17	741 115:8 118:22	
year 45:9 49:13 56:9	2007 00:21,22 2008 35:1 66:22	3:45 28:24	741.30 115:16 119:5	
57:17,18 62:24	74:13 83:11	30th 7:24 9:25 11:19	8	
66:15 82:7,8 83:10	2009 34:25 35:1,2,2	56:9 145:13	8th 7:8	
83:15,18,20 86:1	36:6,7 39:15 40:24	31st 10:3 13:4,10	83 3:19	
119:9 122:12	101:15 102:2	24:12 34:11 57:16	894 30:13	
years 37:19 38:1,7	112:20	77:14 81:18,19	894 30:15	
42:19 51:13 54:5,8	2010 36:8,22,23	119:16	9	
54:8,9,14 72:9	41:16 49:7,14	33128 1:15	9th 56:3,4 123:11,14	
80:10,13,19 81:1	62:14,14,15,18,19	33130 2:10	123:24 129:16,23	
87:1 93:4 122:4	80:10 88:20 93:5	33301 2:5	130:4 135:7,25	
yesterday 4:12,14	133:17 134:4	34 3:3	130:4 135.7,25	
13:22 64:12	2011 38:4 42:17 49:7	39 114:21,21 115:5	9/24/2012 88:12	
0	2011 36:19 87:2	116:8,16,22,23	90.704 30:18	
09F 73:23	88:19 134:6	117:8,9,15,18	904 30:21	
09-2504191 134:3	2014 43:10 78:23,24	118:11,16,19,23,24	97 3:6	
07-2304171 134.3	127:11	119:2	974 30:14	
1	2015 5:18,23 6:5,21	39.504 111:10 112:1	<i>у1</i> 4 <i>5</i> 0.14	
1 3:13 39:22 40:2,3	6:24 38:5,9 42:19	113:5		
1st 81:19	43:16 47:7 78:20			
1:58 1:13	84:13,14 85:16,21	4		
10:56 39:15	85:24 87:2 88:22	4 3:16 58:16 121:3		
100 3:7 12:5	128:8	134:4 151:9		
105 3:18	2016 7:8,13,13,14,18	4th 133:17		
12 2:4 24:7	12:9 46:20 128:11	40 3:13		
12.407 25:23	128:15	41 3:14,15		
13th 8:16	2017 1:12 7:24 9:25			
15 96:4 109:4	13:4,10 31:12	5		
15-day-out 28:2	51:15 54:25 55:3	5 3:17 73:16,17		
150 2:9 151:9	68:14 74:13 77:14	5:44 1:13 150:19		
151 3:8	84:3,8 145:13	50th 67:14		
155 1:14	151:18	58 3:16		
16 35:3 51:12	2017-013378 87:14	6		
17th 56:8	2017-013616 87:14	6 3:18 105:15,17,18		
17-02552 7:7	111:1	6 3:18 105:15,17,18 6th 8:11 132:25		
17-21981 133:18	2017-013616-FC-04	6/7/2017 18:20		
1738A 139:1	1:4 5:5	6/9 18:24		
18 7:23 51:13	2017-13378-FC-04	60 14:2 120:3,4		
18th 7:14,18	5:4	61.501 139:3		
19th 11:23	2017-13616 40:1	61.517 4:9		
	23rd 9:6,11 16:3 70:6	61.528 135:11,13		
$\frac{2}{22144025411}$	25th 131:10 132:13	139:16		
2 3:14 40:25 41:1	133:6	61.542 139:4,20		
117:6 2d 20:12	27th 8:7 45:9 68:14	68 3:4		
2d 30:13	2710 2:9			
		I		I